

CLARK COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

FIRST AMENDMENT
To

Contract To Prepare An
Environmental Impact Statement
for the
Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport

Name Of Firm	<u>Landrum & Brown, Incorporated</u>
Contact, Name & Title	<u>Rob Adams, President</u>
Address Of Firm	<u>4445 Lake Forest Drive, Suite 700,</u> <u>Cincinnati, OH 45242</u>
Telephone Number	<u>(513) 530-1201</u>
Email	<u>rob.adams@landrumbrown.com</u>

**FIRST AMENDMENT TO
CONTRACT TO PREPARE AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR THE SOUTHERN NEVADA SUPPLEMENTAL AIRPORT**

This First Amendment to Contract to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport ("Contract") is made and entered into this _____ 2025, by and between CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, on behalf of its Department of Aviation (hereinafter referred to as "OWNER"), and Landrum & Brown, Incorporated (hereinafter referred to as "CONSULTANT") (collectively, the "PARTIES").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the OWNER awarded the Contract to CONSULTANT on June 20, 2024, which Contract authorized an initial budget allowance not to exceed \$1,016,510.00 for Phase One, including all travel, lodging, meals, and miscellaneous expenses, to complete Phase One work; and

WHEREAS, the Contract contemplated that the scope of work for subsequent scopes of work would be forthcoming, at which point CONSULTANT and OWNER will subsequently negotiate a reasonable fee for these additional Phases, and OWNER will amend the Contract accordingly; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") and the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") (collectively, the Joint Lead Agencies ("JLA")) have reviewed and concurred in the Scope of Work to be undertaken for Phase Two A pursuant to this Contract; and

WHEREAS, the CONSULTANT and OWNER have conducted cost negotiations for the added Scope of Work, which negotiations were conducted consistent with federal guidelines, through which the Parties determined that the reasonable fee for the added Scope of Work for Phase Two A is \$13,548,176.00; and

WHEREAS, the negotiated fee to complete the work of Phase One and Phase Two A is \$14,564,686; and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT has the required licenses and/or authorizations pursuant to all federal, State of Nevada, and local laws in order to conduct business relative to this Contract; and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT and the JLA will subsequently develop additional scopes of work for Phases Two B and Three.

NOW, THEREFORE, OWNER and CONSULTANT agree as follows:

- Action 1: Delete Exhibit A (Scope of Work) attached to the Contract in its entirety and replace it with the Exhibit A attached to this First Amendment.**
- Action 2: Delete Exhibit G (Schedule) attached to the Contract in its entirety and replace it with the Exhibit G attached to this First Amendment.**
- Action 3: Delete Exhibit I (Fees and Rates) attached to the Contract in its entirety and replace it with the Exhibit I attached to this First Amendment.**

Action 4: Delete Section V (Compensation and Terms of Payment), Subsections A and B in their entirety and replace them with the following:

A. Not to Exceed Limits

1. Phase One. OWNER initially agrees to pay CONSULTANT for the performance of services described in the Scope of Work – Phase One. OWNER's obligation to pay CONSULTANT for the Phase One, cannot exceed the amount of \$1,016,510.00, based on the hourly rates as shown in **Exhibit I** (Fees and Rates), subject to the provisions set forth below. Rates used in the cost estimate for Phase One, as set forth in **Exhibit I**, shall apply only to Phase One. Rates for future Phases under this Contract may be adjusted. However, all adjustments are subject to negotiation and agreement of the PARTIES as reflected in future Phases. In no case will rates be increased by more than 3% per year. Further, negotiated rates will reflect fully loaded costs and will not be adjusted after the fact to reflect changes such as adjustments to audited overhead rates.
2. Phase Two. OWNER agrees to pay CONSULTANT not more than \$13,548,176.00 for the performance of the additional services described in the Scope of Work – Phase Two A based on the hourly rates shown in Exhibit I (Fees and Rates) for Phase II work, and subject to the provisions set forth below. As a result, OWNER's obligation to pay CONSULTANT for all services contained in the Scope of Work for Phase One and Phase Two A cannot exceed the amount of \$14,564,686.00. It is expressly contemplated that Phase One and Phase Two A will be able to be completed within that budget, subject to delays, changes in scope, or other circumstances not contemplated as of the date of execution of this Contract. It shall be OWNER's responsibility to manage the budget appropriately so that the EIS can be completed within that budget, to the maximum extent practical and absent unforeseen circumstances.
3. Phase Three [Subject to future amendment]

B. Personnel Hours

1. Travel time to and from the PROJECT site will not be paid for. Travel expenses will be reimbursed consistent with Paragraph D(8) of this section.
2. All hours for Phase One will be paid for at the rates identified in **Exhibit I**. All hours for Phase Two A will be paid for at the rates indicated for Phase Two in Exhibit I. CONSULTANT may negotiate revised rates for Phase Three. OWNER will not pay increased rates for "overtime."


* * *

Except as modified by this First Amendment, the Contract shall remain in full force and effect. As amended hereby, the Contract is hereby ratified and confirmed in its entirety.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the PARTIES have caused this Contract to be executed the day and year first above written.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
District Attorney

By: 
JOHN P. WITUCKI
Senior Attorney

OWNER:

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

By: _____
ROSEMARY A. VASSILIADIS
Director of Aviation

CONSULTANT:

LANDRUM & BROWN, INCORPORATED

By: *Rob Adams*
ROB ADAMS
President

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

INTRODUCTION

This scope outlines the services provided by Landrum & Brown, Incorporated (CONSULTANT) to complete an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Resource Management Plan Amendment (RMPA) for the Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport (SNSA) as proposed by Clark County, Nevada (COUNTY). This effort aligns with legislative mandates from the Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-362), the Clark County Conservation of Public Land and Natural Resources Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 107-282), and Section 3092(i) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Pub. L. 113-291). The EIS/RMPA process will be executed on behalf of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), collectively known as the Joint Lead Agencies (JLA).

This EIS/RMPA scope is divided into three distinct phases. Phase One, includes Task 1, addresses initial project mobilization. Phase Two comprises Draft Tasks 2 through 15, and has been further broken down into two subphases. Phase Two (A) aims to handle the preliminary EIS tasks up through the development of the affected environment. Phase Two (B) includes the environmental consequences analysis task through the public release of the Draft EIS/RMPA, including organizing and conducting public meetings. Phase Three is designed to follow the release of the Draft EIS/RMPA, encompassing the publication of the Record of Decision (ROD), preparation of the Administrative File, and providing litigation support as required.

The initial contract execution will incorporate a finalized scope for Phase One, as sanctioned by the JLA, along with a draft scope for Phases Two and Three. The CONSULTANT and the JLA will develop and finalize the scopes for the subsequent phases. Upon JLA approval, these scopes will be integrated into Exhibit A of the contract. Following JLA approval of the scopes for Phases Two and Three, the CONSULTANT and the COUNTY will negotiate fees for these phases. Amendments to the contract will be made to accommodate the scope and budget adjustments for the additional phases.

COUNTY'S PROPOSED PROJECT

The COUNTY has proposed the construction of a supplemental commercial service airport in the Ivanpah Valley, approximately 30 miles south of Harry Reid International Airport (LAS), along the east side of Interstate 15 (I-15), north of the Nevada-California border (the Proposed SNSA Project).

The proposed SNSA is intended to provide supplemental airport capacity to accommodate the anticipated passenger and itinerant general aviation business jet growth in the Las Vegas and southern Nevada market, which LAS is not projected to be able to accommodate because of runway capacity limitations and development constraints. To date, LAS has been the primary gateway to the Las Vegas metropolitan region, accommodating approximately 50 percent of the annual visitors to the area, and it is the only airport in the region that can accommodate current air carrier demand. Demand for airline service to the Las Vegas area, both domestic and international, is expected to continue to increase at a relatively rapid rate. The COUNTY does not have the capability to meaningfully expand the runway capacity at LAS. Given predicted future demand, LAS is expected to exceed its practical runway capacity later this decade. The purpose of the Proposed SNSA Project is to expand the COUNTY's existing airport system by constructing a supplemental commercial service airport that will effectively accommodate future aviation demand and permit the COUNTY's system of airports to accommodate the forecasted air service demand for the Las Vegas metropolitan region. Accordingly, air carrier aircraft operations at the proposed SNSA could include domestic, international, and charter flights similar to those at LAS, with the expectation that existing airlines at LAS may opt to remain at LAS. SNSA would also accommodate cargo and general aviation operations.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

TECHNICAL APPROACH

This document outlines the technical approach required to deliver the scope of services necessary for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Resource Management Plan Amendment (RMPA) for the proposed SNSA. The EIS will adhere to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. §§ 4321-4335). Compliance will also extend to the applicable environmental directives from the Department of Transportation and Department of Interior, including FAA Order 1050.1F, *Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures*, FAA Order 5050.4B, *National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions*; and their respective desk references, along with the Department of the Interior Implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act 43 CFR 46, Resource Management Planning 43 CFR § 1610.2, BLM Handbook H-1790-1, BLM Land Use Planning (LUP) Handbook H-1601-1, BLM's land use planning regulations cited in 43 CFR 1600, and the Department of the Interior Departmental Manual Part 516, *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*.

During development of the EIS/RMPA, the CONSULTANT will independently verify and rely on the data contained in previous studies and other materials already prepared by the COUNTY to the extent possible and will supplement and update that data as appropriate.

The EIS/RMPA will coordinate its analysis of impacts on historic and cultural resources with the concurrent statutory review process under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), as amended, its implementing regulations, and Protection of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 800). The EIS/RMPA team will coordinate its analysis of impacts to publicly owned parks, recreational areas, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and public and private historic sites considered resources protected under Section 303 of Title 49 of the U.S. Code (Section 4(f) of the U.S. DOT Act of 1966).

Additionally, the JLA will conduct coordination and evaluations in support of permitting approvals required by other Federal agencies under relevant special purpose laws.

The following tasks comprise the scope of services for the EIS/RMPA for the proposed SNSA.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

PHASE ONE

TASK 1 – MOBILIZATION

Task 1.1 – Review and Verify Existing Planning Studies

1.1.1 Literature Review

In this task, CONSULTANT will begin to form a library of planning studies related to the COUNTY's Proposed Project and the Affected Environment, including but not limited to the COUNTY's 2025 Feasibility Study. It is expected that the COUNTY will provide the JLA and the CONSULTANT with access to all project-related documentation prepared to date. The CONSULTANT will review the provided documentation for relevance and applicability to the Proposed Project. The CONSULTANT will also simultaneously conduct a Literature Search for any additional documentation relevant to the proposed study area and potential alternatives. The CONSULTANT will assist the JLA in reviewing the documentation provided to identify potential additional areas of study required prior to proceeding with the EIS/RMPA. Additionally, the review process will assist in the determination of the project elements.

1.1.2 Review Previous EIS Effort

The CONSULTANT will review the previous environmental studies conducted as part of the initial SNSA EIS prior to suspension of the EIS in 2010. The CONSULTANT will make recommendations on which studies need to be updated and propose a methodology to verify/update the previous environmental studies in coordination with the JLA.

Task 1.1 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 1.1. The CONSULTANT will:

- Document areas of study for the various environmental impact categories for both the FAA and the BLM.
- Identify and seek concurrence from the JLA for methodologies to be used to update previous environmental studies for inclusion in the EIS/RMPA.

TASK 1.2 –SCOPE OF WORK / SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT

1.2.1 Cost Estimate for Phase One

The CONSULTANT will prepare a cost estimate spreadsheet for the work in Phase One (Mobilization). The spreadsheet will be provided to the COUNTY so that it can prepare an Independent Fee Estimate. The CONSULTANT will then complete its cost estimate for the work through preparation of the Draft EIS/RMPA. The CONSULTANT will engage in cost negotiations with the COUNTY to reach a reasonable fee for the work in Phase One.

1.2.2 Scope of Work for Phase Two

CONSULTANT will create a Scope of Work for Phase Two through the public release of the Draft EIS/RMPA, including organizing and conducting public meetings of the Draft EIS/RMPA using the preliminary scope outlined in Exhibit A to the Contract. This Phase Two Scope of Work will require approval from the JLA and will incorporate feedback from the COUNTY. This Phase Two Scope of Work development will rely on the outcomes of Task 1 and include consultations with the JLA and the COUNTY, and potentially Cooperating and Participating Agencies.

1.2.3 Cost Estimate for Phase Two

The CONSULTANT will prepare a cost estimate spreadsheet for the work in Phase Two (work through the Draft EIS/RMPA). The spreadsheet will be provided to the COUNTY so that it can prepare an Independent Fee Estimate. The CONSULTANT will then complete its cost estimate for the work through preparation of the Draft EIS/RMPA

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

public release of the Draft EIS/RMPA, including organizing and conducting subsequent public meetings. The CONSULTANT will engage in cost negotiations with the COUNTY to reach a reasonable fee for the work in Phase Two.

1.2.4 Preliminary EIS/RMPA Preparation Schedule

CONSULTANT will prepare a preliminary EIS/RMPA preparation schedule, identifying estimates of duration for task completion and the critical path of tasks necessary for the EIS/RMPA to advance.

Task 1 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 1.2. The CONSULTANT will:

- Cost Estimate Spreadsheet for Phase One
- Cost Estimate for Phase One
- Finalize scope of work for Phase Two
- EIS/RMPA preparation schedule
- Cost Estimate Spreadsheet for Phase Two
- Cost Estimate for Phase Two

NOTE: After the CONSULTANT has prepared, and the JLA have approved, a Scope of Work; and after the COUNTY and CONSULTANT have agreed upon a reasonable fee for the work through Phase Two(a) and (b), the approved Scope of Work shall be incorporated by reference in the most updated version of Exhibit A to the Contract between the CONSULTANT and COUNTY. Any additional changes to the Scope of Work requested by the JLA and agreed to by the COUNTY shall be incorporated as the most up to date Exhibit A accordingly.

TASK 1.3 – AGENCY COORDINATION

1.3.1 Agency Coordination

In compliance with NEPA regulations, the CONSULTANT, under the direction of the JLA will identify and invite relevant agencies to act as either Cooperating or Participating Agencies, as appropriate. This includes Federal, state, county, and city agencies involved in permitting or other decisions crucial to the EIS/RMPA process.

1.3.2 Permitting Timetable

The CONSULTANT will assist the JLA in preparing a Permitting Timetable that provides intermediate and final completion dates for environmental review and authorization for the COUNTY'S Proposed Project. Specifically, the Permitting Timetable will identify the actions and associated milestones for applicable environmental reviews, authorizations, and application submittals for each agency in accordance with the reporting requirements of the Federal Infrastructure Permitting Dashboard. The CONSULTANT will submit electronic versions of the Permitting Timetable to the JLA for iterative review and comment. Once the Permitting Timetable has been accepted by JLA, the CONSULTANT will assist JLA in distributing it to the Cooperating and Participating Agencies for their review and comment. Once any comments from the Cooperating and Participating Agencies have been addressed, the Permitting Timetable will be submitted to the Cooperating Agencies for written concurrence, prior to the release of the Notice of Cancellation of the current EIS process /Notice of Intent of the new EIS/RMPA Process (NOC/NOI). This task will also include identifying key points-of-contact for all stakeholders and applicable agencies. The CONSULTANT will work to coordinate meetings and assist in identification of tasks necessary for the respective agencies to proceed to permit issuance. Under this task, the CONSULTANT will assist the JLA in establishing meeting dates and locations for any meetings conducted prior to formal scoping; the CONSULTANT may be required to obtain meeting locations and travel to support this effort.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

Over the course of the preparation of the EIS/RMPA, COUNTY and the JLA anticipate that there will be monthly conference calls for coordination between the CONSULTANT, the JLA, and the Cooperating and Participating agencies.

Task 1.3 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 1.3. The CONSULTANT will:

- Provide agency coordination meeting materials to JLA for review.
- Provide invitation letters for prospective Cooperating and Participating Agencies to JLA for review.
- Provide versions of Permitting Timetable to the JLA and to the Agencies for review.
- Prepare a project schedule that incorporates the Permitting Timetable.

TASK 1.4 – MOBILIZATION AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR PHASE ONE

This task item establishes the parameters for ongoing management of the EIS/RMPA. Though it will not be completed prior to issuance of the new Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare the EIS/RMPA, it is necessary to lay the framework for the EIS/RMPA from the beginning and provides for the necessary administrative functionality to support the ongoing efforts identified above. This task would incorporate meeting facilitation, agendas, meeting notes, establishing action item tracking, formalizing communication protocols and document formatting, setting up electronic file sharing, initiating the administrative file, and other assorted tasks to allow the CONSULTANT to assist the day-to-day management of the project on behalf of the JLA.

Until the cost has been agreed upon and the COUNTY has issued Notices to Proceed for Phase Two, work under this Task may include all tasks as necessary to proceed towards Phase Two (e.g, work toward documenting the Proposed Action, efforts to set up the Project Website, etc.).

Task 1.4 Meetings

The following meetings and participation are anticipated for Task 1.4.

- Attend kick-off meeting with JLA and the COUNTY
- Regular coordination meetings with the JLA
- Regular coordination meetings with the JLA and COUNTY
- Participate in conference calls/on-line virtual meetings with the JLA and Cooperating and Participating Agencies to establish the Permitting Timetable.
- Participate in pre-scoping agency coordination meetings.
- Participate in monthly coordination meetings with the JLA and Cooperating and Participating Agencies

PHASE TWO (A)

Phase Two comprises Draft Tasks 2 through 15 and has been further broken down into two subphases. Phase Two (A), aims to handle the preliminary EIS/RMPA tasks up through the development of the affected environment. Phase Two (B) includes the environmental consequences analysis task through to the public release of the Draft EIS/RMPA, including organizing and conducting public meetings.

TASK 2 – DESCRIPTION OF THE COUNTY'S PROPOSED PROJECT

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

The CONSULTANT will develop text to fully describe the COUNTY's Proposed Project (construction and operation of SNSA), connected actions, required federal actions, Resource Management Plan Amendment and the timeframe for implementing the Proposed Project as required for the EIS/RMPA. This description will build upon the Draft Description of the County's Proposed Project developed in Phase I that was prepared to understand the extent of the study areas, as required for the EIS/RMPA. The description of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project will be the basis to determine impacts for each environmental resource category. The CONSULTANT will submit the description of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project to the JLA for iterative review and comment. Once the description of the Proposed Project has been accepted by the JLA, the CONSULTANT will submit it to the COUNTY for COUNTY review and comment. Comments from the COUNTY will be addressed based on direction from the JLA. The description of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project will be incorporated into a Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives (DOPAA) that forms both the Purpose and Need and Alternatives chapters of the EIS/RMPA (see Task 5). The DOPAA describes the purpose and need for the proposed project, the alternatives to be considered, and the rationale used to arrive at the proposed project. The DOPAA will unfold as writing of the Purpose and Need and Alternatives Chapters progress. The DOPAA can change during the internal scoping and public scoping process, especially as ideas and issues become clearer, and as new information makes changes necessary.

Task 2 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 2. The CONSULTANT will:

- Provide an initial draft and revised versions of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project in the form of a DOPAA for JLA review.
- Provide a JLA-approved draft version of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project in the form of a DOPAA for COUNTY review.
- Provide revised versions of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project in the form of a DOPAA per JLA disposition of COUNTY comments for JLA review.

TASK 3 – PUBLIC COORDINATION PLAN

3.1 Develop Public Coordination Plan

The CONSULTANT will develop a methodical, multi-faceted public and stakeholder involvement plan that identifies stakeholders, outlines the involvement goals and process, and explains the communication tools to be used to engage audiences throughout the preparation of the EIS/RMPA. The public involvement plan will be prepared consistent with applicable NEPA law and FAA Order 1050.1F, as well as BLM Handbook H-1790-1, Resource Management Planning 43 CFR § 1610.2, BLM's land use planning regulations cited in 43 CFR 1600 and the Department of Interior (DOI) Departmental Manual Part 516, *Managing the NEPA Process* (December 10, 2020). The CONSULTANT will submit the Public Coordination Plan to the JLA for iterative review and comment. Once the Public Coordination Plan has been approved by the JLA, the CONSULTANT will submit it to the COUNTY for COUNTY review and comment. The JLA may consider comments from the COUNTY on the Public Coordination Plan. However, the JLA will approve the plan prior to implementation. Note, this Public Coordination Plan will fulfill the requirement under the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024, which requires a Public Coordination Plan to be established within 90 days of publication of the NOI. This will include, after consultation with and the concurrence of each participating agency for the project and with the State and project sponsor, a schedule that covers a range of interim milestones and deadlines for agency activities necessary to complete the environmental review.

3.2 Develop Collateral Materials

To establish an identity for the EIS/RMPA, the CONSULTANT will work to create a consistent "look" and branding guidelines for the project to ensure consistent communication to the public and stakeholders. The CONSULTANT will develop a message framework, or over-arching message, and project objectives. Project tools, including

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

PowerPoint and fact sheet templates, will also be created. Content will be updated, as appropriate, throughout the EIS/RMPA process.

3.3 Stakeholder/Mailing List

The CONSULTANT will prepare a project database of key stakeholders and public outreach for the EIS/RMPA. This database will be supplemented from existing COUNTY communications and further populated using new contacts generated during project development, including the public and stakeholder attendance at meetings and the project website. The CONSULTANT will develop the database to contain email addresses and physical mailing addresses. The database will be established in coordination with the JLA and the COUNTY. The CONSULTANT will use this database to send announcements and notifications about opportunities to provide comments and public meetings and/or project updates or announcements.

3.4 EIS/RMPA Website

The CONSULTANT will maintain the following project website: <http://snvairporteis.com/>, compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), to provide information to the public about the EIS/RMPA. The website will be live prior to issuance of the Notice of Cancellation/Notice of Intent (see Draft Task 5). Upon approval from the JLA, the CONSULTANT will upload collateral materials to the project website as well as project documents and information regarding scoping, the release of the Draft EIS/RMPA, public workshops, and the ways that the public can participate and comment. Upon direction from the JLA, the CONSULTANT will create content, update, and provide information on the website including notification of meetings, EIS/RMPA project updates, public information materials, and electronic copies of EIS/RMPA documents throughout the EIS/RMPA process. This will serve as a resource for people interested in attending a public meeting or hearing, following progress, reviewing documents, and submitting comments. The design of this website will be consistent with the project branding. The Website will remain active through completion of construction of the proposed airport, in the event the JLA approves the proposed project.

3.5 Stakeholder Meetings

The CONSULTANT will coordinate with JLA to prepare and give presentations on the project elements and environmental issues associated with the COUNTY'S Proposed Project and alternatives to governmental agencies and stakeholder groups at key milestones in the project. It is assumed that up to 5 presentations will be prepared. It is assumed up to 5 CONSULTANT staff would be present at each presentation.

Draft Task 3 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 3. The CONSULTANT will:

- Provide an initial draft and three revised drafts of the Public Coordination Plan to the JLA for review.
- Provide a JLA approved draft version of the Public Coordination Plan for COUNTY review.
- Provide two revised versions of the Public Coordination Plan per JLA disposition of COUNTY comments for JLA review.
- Maintain a stakeholder mailing list and EIS/RMPA website.

Draft Task 3 Meetings

The following meetings and participation are anticipated for Task 3.

- Plan, coordinate and facilitate pre-scoping and public meetings. It is assumed that five meetings will be held in Las Vegas and attended by up to five CONSULTANT staff.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

TASK 4 – NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OF CURRENT EIS/NOTICE OF INTENT TO PREPARE NEW EIS/RMPA (NOC/NOI)

The JLA will provide the CONSULTANT with the text of the NOC/NOI. Once finalized, the NOC/NOI will be published by the JLA in the *Federal Register*. The CONSULTANT will publish the NOC/NOI in local newspapers of general circulation in the project area, as well as on the EIS/RMPA website to coincide with publication of the NOC/NOI in the *Federal Register*. The CONSULTANT will prepare messaging to be used on FAA and BLM social media and webpages. FAA, as the administrative lead for the JLA, will arrange for publication of the NOC/NOI in the *Federal Register*.

Draft Task 4 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 5. The CONSULTANT will:

- Publish the NOC/NOI in local newspapers of general circulation, and on the EIS/RMPA website.
- Prepare messaging for FAA and BLM social media and webpages.

TASK 5 – DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES (DOPAA)

The CONSULTANT will prepare a Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives (DOPAA). The DOPAA will be the Purpose and Need Statement for the JLA and as discussed below, the Alternatives Analysis.

Prior to issuance of the NOC/NOI (see Draft Task 4), the CONSULTANT will begin to prepare both the Purpose and Need and Alternatives portions of the DOPAA. After scoping has been completed (see Draft Task 6), the CONSULTANT will provide a draft of the DOPAA to the JLA for changes/corrections.

5.1 Purpose and Need Portion of the DOPAA.

The purpose and need portion of the DOPAA will include background information on the proposed SNSA Airport, the current FAA approved forecast of operations and enplanements¹, runway length and other safety requirements, present the problem being addressed, describe what the COUNTY is trying to achieve with the COUNTY'S Proposed Project, the preliminary rationale that supports the need for the proposed airport and the RMPA. The DOPAA will provide the parameters for defining a reasonable range of alternatives to be considered. The CONSULTANT will utilize available documentation, including the SNSA Feasibility Report. The Purpose and Need portion will be described in terms understandable to individuals who are not familiar with aviation activities.

5.2 Alternatives Portion of the DOPAA.

The Alternatives Portion of the DOPAA will identify the No Action Alternative, the COUNTY'S Proposed Project, and any other reasonable alternatives. These other reasonable alternatives will include alternatives developed by the COUNTY, as well as alternatives that are identified during the scoping process. Each of the alternatives will be described in sufficient detail to provide an understanding of the alternative so that each alternative may be subjected to a rigorous and consistent screening process. The DOPAA will also identify the FAA and BLM's respective major federal actions required for the COUNTY'S Proposed Project to proceed. The DOPAA will also identify the federal actions for Cooperating Agencies, if applicable.

The CONSULTANT will develop a screening process to determine the alternatives that will be analyzed in detail in the EIS/RMPA. The process will identify the screening criteria for each screening step and the rationale for why

¹ Passenger boardings at airports that receive scheduled passenger service are also referred to as Enplanements. FAA does not use deplanements as a metric.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

those screening criteria are appropriate. The criteria in the first step in the screening process will be based on the Purpose and Need. The criteria in the second and any subsequent steps in the screening process will be coordinated with the JLA.

Once the range of alternatives has been identified and the criteria for the screening process has been approved, the CONSULTANT will conduct the first step of the screening process and identify those alternatives that do not meet the Purpose and Need. The No Action Alternative and each alternative that meets the Purpose and Need will be subject to the second and any subsequent steps in the screening process. The alternatives that are determined to pass all steps in the screening process will be identified and retained for detailed analysis in the EIS/RMPA. The level of analysis for the alternatives screening may include, but is not limited to: high-level cost estimates for the relocation of major infrastructure and roadways, estimates for the number of homes and businesses to be relocated, and potential terrain impacts (Part 77, TERPS, One Engine Inoperative (OEI)). The CONSULTANT will submit the results of this screening process to the JLA for iterative review and comment.

5.3 Review and Comments on the DOPAA.

The CONSULTANT will compile all comments, prepare responses, and make the appropriate revisions to the DOPAA, and discuss the revisions with JLA staff.

After approval by JLA staff on responses to any JLA comments on the DOPAA, the CONSULTANT will revise the DOPAA and submit it to the COUNTY and any Cooperating and Participating Agencies at the JLA's direction for a concurrent review. Once any comments from the COUNTY, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies have been addressed, the DOPAA will be submitted to the Cooperating and Participating Agencies (as appropriate) for written concurrence. Conference calls to discuss associated alternatives documentation and comments will occur as needed. Additional iterations and conference calls to review the DOPAA will be scheduled, as needed. The DOPAA will be used to inform the public during the scoping process for this EIS/RMPA.

5.4 Virtual Public Meeting.

A virtual public meeting will be held describing the content of the DOPAA with a 30-day public comment period.

Task 5 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 5. The CONSULTANT will:

- Provide an initial draft and three subsequent revised drafts of the DOPAA to the JLA for review.
- Provide a JLA-approved draft version of the DOPAA for COUNTY and Cooperating and Participating Agencies review.
- Provide two revised versions of the DOPAA per JLA disposition of COUNTY and Cooperating and Participating Agencies comments for JLA review.
- Provide an initial draft and two subsequent revised drafts of the DOPAA to the JLA for review.
- Prepare the approved DOPAA for publication in similar fashion to the Purpose and Need and Alternatives Working Papers that was used during the initial EIS process
- Preparing the notice of the virtual public meeting.
- Logistical planning for the virtual meetings.
- Preparing and reproducing all meeting materials
- Retaining one stenographer for all public meetings and translation services (if needed).
- Attending, participating, and assisting the JLA in facilitating the public meeting.
- Publishing one legal advertisement in the Las Vegas Review Journal

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

- Publishing one display advertisement in the Las Vegas Review Journal and one display advertisement in the Las Vegas Business Press
- Developing press releases FAA social media (distributing them to up to 50 newspapers, television stations, and radio stations).
- Preparing letters and materials for relevant political offices.
- Publishing notifications for the project website
- Distributing notifications for the meetings through email to the project contact database
- Distributing notifications for the meetings through physical mail to the project contact database (up to 150 mailers)
- Preparing for and facilitating the virtual meeting. This includes up to two practice sessions. The virtual meeting would be recorded and posted online with closed captions.

Task 5 Meetings

The following meetings and participation are anticipated for Task 5.

- Participate in as needed conference calls with the JLA to discuss comments on the DOPAA. It is assumed that up to 10 calls will be held with up to six CONSULTANT staff attending.
- Participate in as needed conference calls with the JLA, the County and Cooperating and Participating Agencies to discuss comments on the DOPAA. It is assumed that up to five calls will be held with up to six CONSULTANT staff attending.
- Plan for, coordinate, and participate in agency and public meetings.

TASK 6 – SCOPING

Approach and Logistics for Scoping

The CONSULTANT will provide all coordination and logistics for and will assist in the facilitation of both agency and public scoping meeting(s). The format of the meetings will include a combination of virtual and in-person meetings. The CONSULTANT will host and facilitate one virtual agency scoping meeting, two in-person public scoping meetings, and one virtual public scoping meeting. The in-person public scoping meetings will be held at a venue or venues easily accessible to the public. The scoping meetings will occur at least 30 days after the publication of the NOC/NOI has been published in the *Federal Register* as prescribed in FAA Order 1050.1F.

The CONSULTANT will be responsible for:

- Identifying and notifying the agencies to be invited
- Preparing the scoping package and letter inviting agency representatives to attend the agency scoping meeting.
- Preparing the notice of the public scoping meetings.
- Logistical planning for the scoping meetings including facility rental.
- Preparing and reproducing all meeting materials printed and digital
- Retaining one stenographer for all public scoping meetings and translation services for American Sign Language [ASL] and Spanish language.
- Attending, participating, and assisting the JLA in facilitating both the agency and public scoping meetings. Audio/visual equipment will be provided/organized for each in-person scoping meeting.
- Publishing one legal advertisement in the *Las Vegas Review Journal*.
- Publishing one display advertisement in the *Las Vegas Review Journal* and one display advertisement in the *Las Vegas Business Press*.
- Developing joint press releases for social media (and distributing them to up to 50 newspapers, television stations, and radio stations).

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

- Preparing letters and materials for relevant political offices.
- Publishing notifications for the scoping meetings on the project website.
- Distributing notifications for the scoping meetings through email to the project contact database.
- Distributing notifications for the scoping meetings through physical mail to the project contact database (up to 150 mailers).
- Distributing scoping package and letter for agency representatives to attend the agency scoping meeting through email.
- Preparing for and facilitating the virtual meeting. This includes up to two practice sessions. The virtual meeting would be recorded and posted online with closed captions.

Attendance at Scoping Meetings

The CONSULTANT will assist the JLA in facilitating both the agency scoping and public scoping meeting(s). Responsibilities will include staffing various topic stations and noting comments and questions raised, and managing the behind the scenes environment during the virtual meetings. It is assumed up to 20 CONSULTANT staff members will attend the in-person scoping meeting and up to 10 will attend the virtual scoping meetings.

Scoping Report

The CONSULTANT will prepare a scoping report that includes copies of the NOC/NOI, newspaper notices, all materials presented at the scoping meetings, sign-in sheets, a transcript of comments received during the scoping process, and a summary of the comments received.

Task 6 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 6. The CONSULTANT will:

- Provide an agency invitation letter, scoping package, social media messaging, and agency invitation list to the JLA for review.
- Provide an agency scoping presentation (if needed) for JLA review.
- Provide a public scoping notice for JLA review.
- Provide a scoping meeting agenda, display boards (up to 30), wayfinding signage, sign-in sheets, comment sheets and name badges for JLA review.
- Provide translator/interpreter and stenographer services.
- Provide audio/visual equipment and set up for the scoping meetings.
- Prepare the scoping report for JLA review.

Task 6 Meetings

- Participate in meetings to prepare for agency and public scoping meetings.
- Plan for, coordinate, and participate in agency and public scoping meetings.

TASK 7 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

The CONSULTANT will develop study areas that will be used throughout the EIS/RMPA. A “general study area” will be used for all environmental impact categories that could result in indirect impacts to resources located outside of the proposed project study areas, such as air quality. The “general study area” incorporates the COUNTY’S Proposed Project site and any alternatives and associated connected actions carried forward for detailed analysis in the DOPAA (see Task 5) and will cover the areas needed for construction and operations of those alternatives.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

The "detailed study area" will also be used for analysis of direct impacts from construction/operations of the COUNTY's proposed project. As different resource categories may have either direct or indirect effects which may differ in geographic scope from other resource areas, if needed, study areas will be identified in each resource section if they differ from the general and detailed study areas. These study areas will be presented on base maps using geographic information systems (GIS) and will be provided to the JLA for review and comment. One or more Areas of Potential Effects (APEs) will be developed according to the concurrent Section 106 process to account for both potential direct and indirect effects to archaeological and historic architectural and cultural resources.

The CONSULTANT will document the existing conditions, including reasonably foreseeable environmental trends and planned actions, within the general study area. The description of existing conditions will describe, the last 12 months for which data is available, the environmental impact categories identified in Section 4-1 of FAA Order 1050.1F, 5050.5B, BLM Handbook H-1790-1, Section 6.7 and BLM Handbook H-1790-1. The CONSULTANT will independently verify data not collected by CONSULTANT Team members. Any required data collection is described in the appropriate environmental resource area below.

Based on the findings made by the JLA during the initial EIS/RMPA effort, this scope of work assumes that coastal resources and wild and scenic rivers (under the larger heading of water resources) are not present, will not be affected, and will require limited discussion.

The CONSULTANT will prepare the Preliminary Draft Affected Environment chapter for each resource category listed below, assuming two rounds of review by the JLA.

7.1 Air Quality

An air quality modeling protocol document will be developed for coordination with JLA, the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and State and local air quality agencies to the extent necessary. The protocol will outline the assumptions and methodologies for conducting the air quality and climate inventory analysis; identify assumptions concerning models to be used; elements of the COUNTY'S Proposed Project and any alternatives carried forward that will fall under NEPA and the Clean Air Act. This will include the general conformity provisions, transportation conformity provisions, and the process to be followed. Additionally, the CONSULTANT will gather relevant data related to existing air quality conditions within the general study area and will provide a narrative that describes the existing conditions, including attainment status with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and any anticipated revisions or re-designations.

The air quality modeling protocol document will be coordinated with the appropriate agencies to ensure the level of analysis for the affected environment and the environmental consequences is appropriate for this project. For the affected environment, an existing emissions inventory for aircraft activity (including landings and takeoffs, taxiing, and auxiliary power usage) at LAS, Henderson Executive Airport (HND), and North Las Vegas Airport (VGT) will be prepared using the most recent version of the AEDT model. Additionally, the existing emissions inventory for LAS will include ground support equipment (using the AEDT model) and motor vehicles on and off-airport property (using the U.S. EPA's Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES)). This emissions inventory will include criteria pollutant emissions and hazardous air pollutants (HAPs).

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

7.2 Biological Resources, including Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Animal Species, General Wildlife and Special Status

An inventory of biological resources present within the detailed study area will be prepared, as the project study area may be home to various wildlife, plants, and habitat for these species. The purpose of the inventory will be to document species and suitable habitat present that could potentially be affected by the Proposed Project and any alternatives carried forward. The biological resources inventory will include the following efforts:

- Performance of a desktop evaluation involving review of relevant literature, scientific data, environmental inventories previously conducted for the SNSA EIS/RMPA, and available resource mapping to identify species and habitats that are potentially present within the detailed study area. The desktop review will involve generating a site-specific Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) report from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS), querying Nevada's Natural Heritage Program species occurrences, and identifying and reviewing the GIS data layers relevant to wildlife species and habitats that are available for the detailed study area. Coordination with Nevada Department of Wildlife to determine if State recognized species may be present in the detailed study area and recommended species protocols
- Reviewing the completed project special status species plant survey.
- Conduct a second special status plant survey as directed by the BLM in Spring 2026.
- Coordinate with the BLM to obtain a list of BLM sensitive species that could occur within the detailed study area.
- Performance of species-specific field surveys within the detailed study area in coordination with applicable state and federal agencies. Focus will be applied to the potential presence of any federally listed species, and whether suitable habitat exists for listed species, special status species, and migratory birds. The CONSULTANT will also obtain Migratory Bird and Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act clearances from USFWS.
 - Conduct desert tortoise surveys according to USFWS's *Preparing for Any Action that May Occur within the Range of the Mojave Desert Tortoise* (USFWS 2019).
 - Conduct burrowing owl habitat assessment and subsequent species-specific surveys according to JLA recommendations.
 - Conduct migratory bird and general avian surveys.
 - Additional species-specific surveys will be conducted to obtain project clearances, if necessary.
- Initiate pre-formal Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation discussions with the USFWS Southern Nevada Field Office.
- Coordinate with BLM and FAA on format and content for the preliminary Draft Biological Assessment for ESA consultation.
- Prepare the preliminary introduction and existing conditions sections for the Draft Biological Assessment.
- Review the recently completed Wildlife Hazard Assessment, prepared by COUNTY's consultant.

7.3 Climate Change/Greenhouse Gas

The study area for climate would be congruent with the scope of the air quality analysis. The CONSULTANT will provide a list of gases commonly associated with construction, airport ground transportation activities, and aircraft operations, which will be prepared for the air quality analysis and that also contribute to climate change. Additionally, this section will discuss climate change and how it is projected to affect the general study area. The CONSULTANT will provide an emission inventory.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

7.4 Department of Transportation, Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act

The CONSULTANT will consult with the National Park Service (NPS), the Nevada State Historic Preservation Officer and other applicable state and local agencies to identify any property subject to US Department of Transportation Act of 1966, Section 4(f) and Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, Section 6(f) in the general study area or noise study area whichever is larger. CONSULTANT will use the concurrent Section 106 consultation process to identify historic properties qualifying as Section 4(f) resources, and to inventory the qualities and features of the Section 4(f) resources in support of a Section 4(f) use determination.

This task also includes reviewing and documenting special designated lands including existing Preserves, Recreation Areas, Conservation Areas, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs) and Wilderness/Wilderness Study Areas (WA/WSAs) within/adjacent to the general study area or in the vicinity that could be affected by project development.

Properties subject to the provisions of Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) within the general study area will be identified on a GIS base map. Documentation of each Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) property located within the general study area will be compiled to identify location, size, activities, patronage, access, unique or irreplaceable qualities and attributes that contribute to its function, relationship to similarly used lands in the vicinity, officials with jurisdiction, and other factors to understand the existing attributes of each resource. This task will supplement the work completed in Phase I identifying the Section 4(f) resources and attributes within a 25-mile radius of SNSA and LAS.

7.5 Farmlands

The CONSULTANT will conduct a desktop evaluation involving review of available resource mapping, including the US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) online inventory and available state resources, to identify farmlands that are considered to be prime, unique, or of statewide or local importance within the detailed study area. If necessary, the CONSULTANT will work with the JLA to coordinate with the NRCS. The JLA would conduct the actual consultation with the NRCS. In the event of prime or unique farmlands are located within the 17,000 acre Noise Compatibility Area that would be disturbed by the COUNTY'S Proposed Project, the FAA, as the administrative lead for the JLA, must complete Form AD-1006 and submit it for completion to the NRCS under direction of JLA, to make a determination of whether the detailed study area contains farmland protected under the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

7.6 Fuels and Fire Management

The CONSULTANT will conduct a desktop evaluation consisting of available resource mapping and database reviews that include the Nevada Resource and Fire Information Portal, Wildland Fire Management Research, Development, and Application Interagency Fire Perimeter History, and the Wildland Fire Interagency Geospatial Services Group Interagency Fire Perimeters datasets, and other available state and local databases to compile the fire history, fire conditions and risk and fuel management data across the detailed study area. Review existing Fire Regime Condition Class (FRCC) data and work with JLA to establish/update FRCC general landscape fire regime and vegetation-fuel characteristics if sufficiently up-to-date information is not available for the study area. Coordination will occur with BLM's Fuels and Fire Management Officer in Southern Nevada.

7.7 Geology/Mineral Resources

The CONSULTANT will review available datasets and mapping to identify geological conditions and hazards, mining and mineral resources including the availability of fluid mineral leasing, salable mineral development, and locatable mineral development as well as existing and potential renewable energy resources within the detailed study area. Documentation from the 2010 Geology and Soil Resources Technical Report will be reviewed, confirmed, and

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

revised as necessary. The expectation is that minimal changes to soils, minerals, and geology have occurred over the last 15 years; however, leasable, saleable, and locatable minerals permitting, claims, and development will be updated to reflect current conditions.

7.8 Hazardous Materials, Solid Waste, and Pollution Prevention

The CONSULTANT will conduct detailed investigation and surveys, as necessary, to identify and characterize hazardous conditions and materials that may be encountered, including the potential for mines and mine drainage within the detailed study area. The CONSULTANT will perform an initial Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the detailed study area in accordance with the protocols of the American Society for Testing and Materials designation E1527. The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment will evaluate the potential for recognized environmental conditions associated with the subject property, based on readily apparent past and present conditions, and uses, of the subject and adjoining properties. The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment will consist of two principal activities: background research and field reconnaissance. Background research will include a review of applicable federal and state databases, readily available aerial photography and historical maps, available existing environmental site reports and title information. Field reconnaissance will include visual observations for readily apparent environmental concerns on the subject and adjacent sites. Photographs will be used to document existing conditions. Sampling and testing efforts are not standard requirements of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. However, if available information and document review identifies specific concerns, sampling and testing may become needed to evaluate site conditions. Depending on the circumstances a more comprehensive Phase II Environmental Site Assessment may be needed. A separate scope of work and budget would be developed if necessary.

Additionally, the CONSULTANT will identify local waste disposal facilities and summarize the local disposal capacities for solid and hazardous waste that would be generated from the COUNTY'S Proposed Project and any alternatives carried forward.

7.9 Historic, Architectural, Archaeological, Paleontological and Cultural Resources

The CONSULTANT will coordinate NEPA and Section 106 reviews and complete additional required identification and evaluation surveys for archaeological and historic architectural resources within the APE(s) in accordance with Section 106. Specifically, the CONSULTANT will:

- Identify and coordinate with the JLA to define and map the geographic extent of the direct and indirect APEs and will assist the JLA with coordinating the APEs with the COUNTY, Nevada State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the California SHPO (if the APE extends into California), and other Section 106 consulting parties.
- Identify Section 106 Consulting Parties, including Native American Tribes and individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in cultural resources within the APEs to identify any Native American concerns. Consulting parties may include Tribes and organizations outside of the project area that have historical connections to lands and cultural resources within the APEs. The list will be used by the lead federal agencies to determine consulting parties for the project. The consultant will review the previous Native American consultation efforts conducted by the JLA during the earlier EIS documentation effort.
- Produce a Class I Inventory Report: Complete background research and records review to produce a regional cultural resources overview describing precontact, ethnographic, and historical period traditions and themes and describe any previous cultural resource surveys, archaeological sites, architectural records, and other relevant information prior to the initiation of cultural resources fieldwork. The report will include narrative descriptions of previous surveys and known sites as well as appropriate tables, figures,

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

maps, research citations, and site forms. This will include but is not limited to incorporation and syntheses of documentation the JLA completed during the initial EIS effort and a detailed review of the NVCRIS datasets informing the results and extent of previous cultural resource investigations. The report will meet all Nevada SHPO and federal standards and guidelines for Class I survey reports.

- Conduct a Class III intensive field survey to locate, record, and provide preliminary NRHP eligibility recommendations for cultural resources identified in the APEs and provide a technical report acceptable to FAA and BLM. Fieldwork and reporting will follow methodological requirements consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Nevada SHPO, and applicable regional BLM and FAA standards for fieldwork and reporting results. Survey work is not limited to the documentation of precontact archaeological sites but will be an intensive survey aimed at identifying all cultural resources (e.g., archaeological resources, ethnographic sites, historical archaeological sites, historic built environment resources, tribal resources, traditional use areas). Site forms (new or updated) along with appropriate maps and photographs, will be included with the technical document. Survey efforts will consider and build from previous survey efforts in the APEs.
- Based on geologic units of the APE, identify the varying levels of paleontological sensitivity in the Project area using the BLM Potential Fossil Yield Classification (PFYC) system. The CONSULTANT will conduct museum record searches, paleontological database searches, literature and geologic map reviews, and field visits to confirm the geology and determine the PFYC rankings. A paleontological resources technical report that complies with federal standards will be prepared to document the results of the study.

7.10 Land Use and Realty

Existing land use, zoning, and realty trends within the general study area will be mapped. Planned and future land uses in the general study area vicinity will be assessed where available. The CONSULTANT will review relevant data and plans such as local zoning plans, land-use plans, and political jurisdiction plans, among others to prepare a narrative describing the existing local land uses and planned and future land uses in the general study area. Additionally, the CONSULTANT will review existing federal resource management plans and forest plans and will identify any portions of the National Trails system within the general study area. Trails systems are Recreation related and also historical. The CONSULTANT in coordination with JLA will identify supporting documentation for land use plan conformance determinations.

7.11 Livestock Grazing

The CONSULTANT will review available datasets and mapping to identify grazing allotments, available forage, access to identified allotments, and existing and planned grazing infrastructure and rangeland improvements within the detailed study area.

7.12 Natural Resources and Energy Supply

The CONSULTANT will gather relevant data and describe the existing utilities within the detailed study area including power, sewage, fuel, natural gas, and water. Additionally, utilities and resources required during construction will be identified such as asphalt, steel, water, fuel, and aggregate and where the suppliers are located.

7.13 Noise and Noise-Compatible Land Use

The noise analysis for the proposed SNSA will be conducted following the latest versions of FAA Orders 5050.4 and 1050.1, along with their corresponding Desk References. To address specific local issues and concerns related to the SNSA development, the analysis will be expanded as necessary. A noise modeling protocol document will be developed for coordination with the JLA and other agencies to the extent necessary. The CONSULTANT will use the most current version of FAA's Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT) available when noise analysis is

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

initiated to perform the noise contour analysis. This analysis will focus on the Yearly Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) noise metric, adhering to the detailed procedures specified in the FAA orders and AEDT user manual.

Noise contours for existing (2024) conditions will be generated for DNL levels of 60, 65, 70, and 75 dBA for LAS. Compatibility analyses will primarily focus on areas experiencing DNL 65 dBA and above, while the DNL 60 dBA contour will be provided for informational purposes only and clearly marked as such in all outputs. Existing conditions at VGT and HND will be based off of current documents prepared for those airports (Master Plan or NEPA).

A protocol will be developed for the use of Area Equivalent Method (AEM) to screen the potential for noise impacts at HND and VGT in the No Action. The protocol will be coordinated with FAA AEE staff. The AEM analysis will be completed to determine if additional noise analysis using the AEDT is required.

To determine the need for a detailed supplemental noise analysis of the project related noise changes at 4(f) locations in the vicinity of the alternatives, a noise screening analysis protocol will be developed following guidance in FAA Order 1050.1F and the corresponding Desk Reference. The noise screening assessment, coordination with agencies, and the protocol for the main noise analysis will be developed under this task.

7.14 Recreation

The CONSULTANT will coordinate with the JLA and Nevada Division of State Lands and will review existing land use, available recreation management plans and general or comprehensive land use plans within the detailed study area to identify recreational opportunities and activities within the detailed study area referencing the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum classifications and identifying Special Recreation Management Areas (SRMAs). The CONSULTANT will review existing Special Recreation Permit (SRP) for off highway vehicle (OHV) and other events, film permits, and other permitted and casual use recreation activities in the detailed study area. Additionally, will cross reference the Section 4(f) review to identify recreational land uses that are also determined to be Section 4(f) resources.

7.15 Socioeconomic Impacts (including Traffic) and Children's Environmental Health and Safety Risks

7.15.1 Socioeconomic Impacts (including Traffic)

The CONSULTANT will gather relevant data related to socioeconomics to establish existing conditions within the general study area. These existing conditions may include but are not limited to the size and density of local populations, the distance of the general study area to population centers, economic activity, employment, housing, and public services and social conditions. US Census Bureau, US Bureau of Labor Statistics, US Bureau of Economic Analysis, State, and local government data will be used to describe existing socioeconomic characteristics where appropriate.

The CONSULTANT will establish existing and future surface transportation demand and infrastructure conditions for a defined traffic study areas for purposes of evaluating surface transportation effects of the proposed SNSA. The traffic study area will include the I-15 corridor from north of LAS to the California State Line, as well as roadways around LAS that would be affected by traffic patterns diverted to SNSA. The new Brightline West high speed rail line that is currently under construction will be considered as applicable in this analysis. This will enable comprehensive evaluation of diversion of passenger and freight air trips between LAS and SNSA, including evaluation of scenarios.

The CONSULTANT will inventory current infrastructure conditions including lane configurations and intersection/interchange geometry, and obtain relevant data such as traffic signal timing from NDOT, county or local

FIRST AMENDMENT to Contract 24-003

Clark County Department of Aviation

Environmental Impact Statement For the Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

agencies. This will consist of field verification of road configurations from aerial photography available from NearMap and Google Earth. The CONSULTANT will obtain existing traffic congestion data from NPMRDS to evaluate travel time and reliability, as well as assessing implications of congestion variations around LAS, and effects of traffic queues on southbound I-15 due to the California State Inspection Station.

Existing vehicle traffic conditions including freight vehicles will be downloaded by the CONSULTANT from the NDOT TRINA traffic count database. This database includes all NDOT freeways and ramps, NDOT highways, and counts on county and municipal roadways. Seasonal traffic variations will be assessed using data from the permanent count station on I-15 at the California border. The future Phase 2 scope of work would include a supplemental traffic count program to obtain any missing traffic counts necessary to fill in missing information from the NDOT database, especially intersection turn movement counts.

The CONSULTANT will collaborate with NDOT to obtain a 5-year traffic crash history for I-15 and other traffic study area highways and develop an existing conditions safety assessment as a baseline for safety performance of the traffic study area.

The CONSULTANT will obtain available data regarding seasonal passenger and freight travel and official projections for LAS to ensure up-to-date existing conditions, and projected activity based on the most recent growth trends. This will include all airport-related travel trip purposes including employees, vendors, security personnel, etc. Parking pattern data would be requested to help determine the distribution of parking space usage, which affects parking lot ingress-egress traffic estimates.

The CONSULTANT will collaborate with the Regional Transportation Committee of Southern Nevada (RTCSNV) to conduct travel demand forecasts using the Las Vegas regional travel demand forecasting model (TDM). This model will be used to measure trip diversion, effects of California rail service to the Los Angeles area, multimodal and evolving new mobility options such as automated vehicles, and travel demand scenarios that incorporate ongoing growth of the Las Vegas metro area and economy affecting air passenger and freight travel demand. This model will be used as one source of measuring origin-destination (OD) travel patterns to and from LAS. The CONSULTANT will also conduct a query of the Replica on-line traffic pattern application to measure recent OD pattern experience and measure relative use of different routes to reach LAS as a basis to determine potential new routes to reach SNSA. OD demand and traffic growth data from these sources will form the basis for making both background and site-generated traffic growth projections within the traffic study area.

The CONSULTANT will develop a project subarea travel demand model to develop design traffic forecasts for the landside surface transportation (internal site roadways), freight transportation and the airport access area, and to develop forecasts for use in traffic analysis and facility sizing. This model will include unique travel purposes and modes for evaluation of airport landside traffic operations, parking and freight movement activity. This includes demand scenarios for unique high-demand events, and potential implications of automated vehicles and other travel modes on traffic and parking demand. The CONSULTANT will develop project traffic forecast tailored for use in traffic analysis, traffic event scenarios and environmental studies of air quality.

Pending development of project forecasts, the CONSULTANT will assess SNSA travel demand effects on NDOT highways and collaborate with NDOT regarding transportation improvement needs for opening year, near-term and long-term horizon year forecast scenarios. Both capacity and access changes to I-15 freeway must ultimately be evaluated by the Interchange Access Justification Study process. The CONSULTANT will conduct traffic and safety analysis suitable for evaluating I-15 access and capacity modifications including modifications to the Goodsprings

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

Road (NV 161) interchange at Jean and the proposed freight access interchange between Jean and Primm at the California border. The CONSULTANT will facilitate coordination with NDOT regarding improvement needs, planning and programming requirements and opening year needs that involve minor retrofits to the existing NV 161 interchange. It is anticipated that freeway operations can be assessed with Highway Capacity Manual techniques. Analysis of crossroads and intersections would be conducted using SYNCHRO software which facilitates HCM evaluation of signalized and unsignalized intersections, and potentially roundabouts or other junction forms. Evaluation of complex geometric roadway forms or landside passenger pick-up/drop-off operations will be conducted using a traffic simulation model such as VISSIM.

The CONSULTANT will develop maps, graphs, visualizations and presentation slides to support stakeholder review and/or public involvement processes associated with the NEPA process. The CONSULTANT will provide knowledgeable participants to any virtual or in-person meetings to address questions and issues.

The CONSULTANT will develop a traffic compendium document that will ultimately become a traffic report for the study. Initially, technical memorandums will be developed for major subject areas including existing geometric and traffic control conditions, current traffic volumes, crash experience, traffic forecasts, transportation model development, evaluation of traffic demand scenarios, traffic analysis of existing conditions, and future conditions under the No Action and Proposed Action alternatives as required for the NEPA evaluation. This will be coordinated with FHWA and NDOT, as applicable.

7.15.2 Children's Environmental Health and Safety Risks

The CONSULTANT will identify schools, daycare facilities, hospitals, and other similar facilities that may house children within the general study area. The CONSULTANT will gather relevant data related to children's environmental health and safety risks within the general study area and will provide a narrative that describes the existing population that are children grouped by age range and the number of schools, day cares, parks, and children's health centers.

7.16 Soils, Vegetation, Noxious Weeds and Invasive Non-Native Species

The CONSULTANT will conduct desktop research to identify and inventory the soil resources within the detailed study area, utilizing the NRCS Soil Survey Geographic Database, which delineates unique soil map units and hydric units. Ecological Site Description (ESD) desktop review or field verification maybe be required for BLM lands. The CONSULTANT will also research and identify in the detailed study area the applicable major land resource areas from the NRCS Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin, US Department of Agriculture Handbook 296, which provides currently available information for land use suitability for activities such as farming, ranching, forestry, and engineering. Information presented will include soil types, soil stability and erosion potential and factors.

Additionally, the CONSULTANT will conduct detailed investigation and surveys, as necessary to identify vegetative resources including any federal or state special status species as well as noxious weeds or invasive plant species in the detailed study area. Further, the CONSULTANT will research the applicable BLM administered Resource Management Plan to identify applicable planning guidance for vegetation and noxious weeds and invasive plant species. The vegetation resource inventory will include the following efforts:

- Integrated vegetation assessment including sensitive species, forestry, including Noxious Weeds and Invasive Non-Native Species.
- Inventory for special status species include *Penstemon albomarginatus*, *Penstemon bicolor*, Halfring Milkvetch and Mojave Milkweed

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

7.17 Visual Effects

The CONSULTANT will coordinate with the JLA on visual resource inventory and night sky data collection. The CONSULTANT will inventory visual resources impacts associated with the proposed action and any action alternatives. The inventory will include:

- An overview of applicable Federal, state, and local policies and guidelines regarding aesthetic resources;
- Review the BLM's updated Visual Resources Inventory (2011 and 2022 *Southern Nevada District Visual Resource Inventory Reports*) to determine if there are any changes to the existing Visual Resource Management (VRM) Classes in the detailed study area.
- Description of the existing regional visual character, area-specific landscape character, sources of light emissions in the detailed study area, and unique resources (e.g., historic properties, traditional cultural properties, parks, Dark Skies, etc.) which comprise the existing conditions;
- Maps identifying other existing visual and light-sensitive sites in the general study area, in particular protected visual resources and public lands with sensitive night sky environments (e.g., Mojave National Preserve, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Castle Mountains National Monument, Stateline Wilderness, Mesquite Wilderness, North McCullough, and South McCullough);
- Development of a geographic information system (GIS) based viewshed analysis (visual sensitivity analysis) will be prepared to identify areas from which the project has the potential to be seen and to inform selection of viewpoints for visual simulations and site inventory analysis photo points;
- Evaluation of the project using desktop resources to characterize the types of sensitive viewer present in the project area, help establish the level of sensitivity, and assess where potential views of the proposed project would occur, including extent of potential sky dome glare;
- Photo documentation of the existing visual environment of the detailed study area and incorporation of current existing National Park Service Night Sky data (there are currently 11 relevant sites); and
- Characterization of viewer groups and their responses to changes in views.

The CONSULTANT will follow standards of professional practice for aesthetic analyses to ensure environmental compliance. The assessment will be based on methods and protocol developed by the BLM Visual Resource Management (VRM) methodology, BLM Technical Note 457, Night Sky and Dark Environments: Best Management Practices for Artificial Light at Night on BLM-Managed Lands, in combination with elements of other applicable aesthetic resources assessment methods.

7.18 Water Resources, including Wetlands, Floodplains, Surface Waters, Groundwater, and Wild and Scenic Rivers

The CONSULTANT will identify any known wetlands utilizing the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory and will perform a delineation to determine the jurisdictional status of potentially impacted streams and wetlands within the detailed study area, as needed. Any necessary delineations will be conducted in accordance with current U.S. Army Corps of Engineers methodology.

The CONSULTANT will identify any regulated 100-year floodplains located within the detailed study area using the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and will provide a narrative that describes existing 100-year floodplain conditions within the detailed study area.

The CONSULTANT will prepare an inventory detailing existing surface waters, including consideration of resource values, classifications, and use. The existing conditions will be developed through desktop research, field reconnaissance (particularly with regard to use), and coordination with and information requests from the jurisdictional federal and state agencies.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

The CONSULTANT will review and document existing groundwater quality conditions in the detailed study area. The CONSULTANT will summarize the governing regulations related to groundwater quality in the detailed study area and existing water quality rights/permits that apply to activities in the detailed study area.

The COUNTY's Feasibility Study and backup documentation will be used and supplemented as necessary in this task.

7.19 Wild Horses and Burros

The CONSULTANT will review and document existing Herd Management Areas to determine the presence and extent of wild horses and burros in the detailed study area.

Task 7 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 7. The CONSULTANT will:

- Submit drafts of the study areas and APE depicted on maps for JLA review.
- Prepare an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of an Air Quality Modeling Protocol for JLA review.
- Provide a JLA approved draft version of the Air Quality Modeling Protocol for COUNTY and air quality agencies review.
- Provide existing emissions inventory for aircraft activity (including landings and takeoffs, taxiing, and auxiliary power usage) at LAS, HND, and VGT using the most recent version of the AEDT model.
- Prepare an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of Biological Inventory for JLA review.
- Provide an existing emission inventory that quantifies annual GHG emissions
- Prepare an initial list of Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) resources occurring within the 25-mile radius of SNSA and LAS.
- Prepare an initial list of known or potential historic resources occurring within the direct study area.
- Update documentation from the 2010 Geology and Soil Resources Technical Report will as necessary.
- Prepare an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Report for JLA review.
- Provide a summary of local waste disposal facilities and summarize the local disposal capacities for solid and hazardous waste that would be generated from the COUNTY'S Proposed Project and any alternatives carried forward.
- Prepare an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of a Noise Protocol for JLA review.
- Provide a JLA approved draft version of the Noise Protocol for COUNTY and applicable federal, state and local agency review.
- Prepare existing noise contours (DNL levels of 60, 65, 70, and 75 dBA) for LAS.
- Provide an initial draft and three revised drafts of the Affected Environment Chapter to the JLA for review to include in the Preliminary Draft EIS/RMPA.
- Provide an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of the Class I, Class II, and Class III cultural resource technical reports and associated resource documentation.
- Provide an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of Consultation letters (Initiation of Consultation, Description of the Undertaking, the APE, the Efforts to Identify Historic Properties, and the agency determinations of resource eligibility)
- Prepare an initial surface traffic modeling protocol and subsequent drafts for coordination with FHWA and NVDOT.
- Prepare surface traffic forecasts for use in the traffic analysis.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

- Prepare materials for coordination with resource agencies.
- Provide an initial draft and subsequent revised drafts of the paleontological resources technical report and associated resource documentation.
- Description of the existing regional visual character, area-specific landscape character, sources of light emissions in the detailed study area, and unique resources (e.g., historic properties, traditional cultural properties, parks, Dark Skies, etc.) which comprise the existing conditions.
- Maps identifying other existing visual and light-sensitive sites in the general study area, in particular protected visual resources and public lands with sensitive night sky environments (e.g., Mojave National Preserve, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Castle Mountains National Monument, Stateline Wilderness, Mesquite Wilderness, North McCullough, and South McCullough).
- Development of a geographic information system (GIS) based viewshed analysis (visual sensitivity analysis) will be prepared to identify areas from which the project has the potential to be seen and to inform selection of viewpoints for visual simulations and site inventory analysis photo points.
- Photo documentation of the existing visual environment of the detailed study area and incorporation of current existing National Park Service Night Sky data (there are currently 11 relevant sites).
- Preliminary Draft Affected Environment, sections for resources that will be carried forward for analysis in the Draft EIS/RMPA.

Task 7 Meetings

The following meetings and participation are anticipated for Task 7.

- Participate in as needed conference calls with the JLA to discuss comments on the Affected Environment Chapter.
- Participate in as needed conference calls with the JLA, the County and Cooperating and Participating Agencies, and Tribes for resource specific coordination.

TASK 8 – PROJECT COORDINATION MEETINGS

The CONSULTANT will host, as needed, in-person and virtual meetings and weekly conference calls with the JLA as well as reoccurring conference calls between the JLA and the COUNTY. The CONSULTANT will be responsible for setting up the meetings, preparing the meeting agendas, preparing the meeting minutes, and sharing information before and after the meetings.

Task 8 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 8. The CONSULTANT will:

- Prepare the meeting agendas and meeting minutes for as needed in-person and virtual JLA Team meetings.
- Prepare the meeting agendas and meeting minutes for weekly JLA coordination meetings.
- Prepare the meeting agendas and meeting minutes for reoccurring JLA and COUNTY coordination meetings.

Task 8 Meetings

The following meetings and participation are anticipated for Task 8.

- Participate in as-needed in-person and virtual coordination meetings with the JLA. It is assumed up to 3 in-person meetings and 12 virtual coordination meetings will occur with up to 6 CONSULTANT staff.
- Participate in reoccurring JLA and COUNTY coordination meetings. It is assumed up to 3 in-person meetings and 12 virtual coordination meetings will occur with up to 6 CONSULTANT staff.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

TASK 9 – PROJECT MANAGEMENT

9.1 Documentation Standards

The CONSULTANT will develop Documentation Standards guidance for CONSULTANT members. The Documentation Standards will provide guidance for developing written EIS/RMPA content to:

- Identify JLA expectations for writing standards in advance of draft documentation reviews.
- Provide specific guidance on project terminology.
- Support deeper technical content reviews early in the review cycles by reducing time spent on consistency and tone reviews.

The Documentation Standards will also include an EIS/RMPA outline that will be submitted to the JLA for review and approval. The standards will be updated, as necessary, throughout the duration of the project.

9.2 Management of the Administrative File

This task provides for the assembly, management, and maintenance of the Administrative File for the EIS/RMPA. The Administrative File provides a digital catalog and electronic and paper copy of all information used in developing the methodology, analysis, and the decision-making process for the EIS/RMPA. The information contained in this file may have to be included in the Administrative Record. This file serves as a centrally located, organized library for use by the project staff.

9.3 Project Management Responsibilities

The CONSULTANT will provide monthly invoices to the JLA and COUNTY for approval and processing. As part of the monthly invoice, the CONSULTANT will provide the JLA and COUNTY, and others as required, with monthly Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) and Women Owned Business (WBE)² participation reports. Additionally, the CONSULTANT will provide JLA and COUNTY with a bullet point summary of the progress or status on the project schedule, upcoming milestones, budget, and completion of tasks.

The project schedule initially developed in Task 1 will be refined throughout the EIS/RMPA process as needed and will include meetings, task completion dates, major milestones, etc. The refined schedule will clearly indicate decision points beyond which work will not proceed without JLA review and approval. Items anticipating JLA review and approval include technical papers, draft chapters of the EIS/RMPA, notices, presentations, and other items noted within this work plan.

Draft Task 9 Deliverables

The following deliverables are anticipated for Task 9. The CONSULTANT will:

- Prepare an outline of the EIS/RMPA for JLA review.
- Prepare Documentation Standards for use by the CONSULTANT team members for JLA review.
- Maintain the administrative file.
- Submit monthly project invoices and progress reports.
- Prepare updates to the project schedule as needed through the EIS/RMPA process.
- Prepare an updated Scope of Work for Phase Two(B)
- Prepare a Cost Estimate Spreadsheet and Cost Estimate for Phase Two(B)

² This is not considered a diversity, equity or inclusion program.

**REVISED EXHIBIT A
To Contract 24-003**

JLA-Approved Scope of Work

Task 9 Meetings

The following meetings and participation are anticipated for Task 9.

- Participate in as-needed project coordination meetings. It is assumed that up to 24 meetings will occur with up to 6 CONSULTANT staff attending the meetings.

[END OF PHASE TWO A]

REVISED EXHIBIT G
To Contract 24-003

Initial Schedule

- May 2025 – Publish NOI
- August 2027 – Publish Draft EIS for public review
- March 2028 – Publish Final EIS
- March-June 2028 – BLM Protest Period and Resolution Period
- May 2028 – Publish Record of Decision

**REVISED EXHIBIT I
To Contract 24-003**

Fees and Rates

APPROVED RATES FOR PHASE 1

Landrum & Brown, Incorporated

Position	Rate
Officer	\$360.00
Associate Vice President	\$315.00
Senior Managing Consultant	\$285.00
Managing Consultant	\$275.00
Senior Consultant	\$245.00
Consultant	\$210.00
Analyst	\$175.00
Analyst-Intern	\$140.00
Project Accountant	\$100.00
Operations Admin/Corporate Support	\$100.00
Administrative Assistant	\$85.00

SUBCONSULTANTS

Bargas Environmental Consulting, Inc.

Position	Rate
Director of Cultural Resources	\$184.00
Principal Paleontologist	\$184.00
Principal Archaeologist	\$169.61
Senior Archaeologist	\$112.60
GIS Specialist	\$112.60
Technical Editor	\$85.79

G.C. Garcia, Inc.

Position	Rate
Outreach Lead	\$410.00
Outreach Lead II	\$340.00
Outreach Specialist	\$290.00
Outreach Coordinator	\$155.00

ICF Jones & Stokes, Inc.

Position	Rate
Project Director	\$280.25
Sr Technical Analyst	\$242.25
Managing Consultant	\$228.00
Senior Consultant III	\$209.00
Senior Consultant II	\$185.25
Consultant I	\$152.00
Associate Consultant II	\$142.50
Environmental Tech II	\$118.75
Environmental Tech I	\$99.75
Technician	\$84.78

Mead & Hunt, Inc.

Position	Rate
National Practice Lead	\$360.00
Business Unit Leader	\$360.00
Planner 4	\$175.00
Administrative Support	\$110.00

Southern Nevada Environmental, Inc.

Position	Rate
Principal	\$150.00
Project Manager/Coordinator	\$130.00
Lead Biologist	\$120.00

TY Lin International

Position	Rate
Associate Vice President	\$299.52
Lead Aviation Engineer	\$263.56

REVISED EXHIBIT I
To Contract 24-003

Fees and Rates

WSP USA Inc.

Position	Rate
Senior Vice President (Transportation Planning)	\$386.92
Senior Vice President (Drainage)	\$350.37
Senior Vice President (Structures)	\$302.49
Senior Vice President (Civil and Traffic)	\$284.20
Assistant Vice President (Traffic)	\$239.85
Assistant Vice President (Traffic Modelling / Forecasting)	\$222.87
Assistant Vice President (Drainage)	\$210.37
Lead Consultant (Civil & Structural)	\$207.67
Senior Consultant (Drainage)	\$168.18
Lead Specialist, Design Support	\$133.94
Associate Consultant (Civil & Structural)	\$130.12
Associate Consultant (Drainage)	\$109.19
Coordinator, Design Support	\$81.84

**REVISED EXHIBIT I
To Contract 24-003**

Fees and Rates

APPROVED RATES FOR PHASE TWO

CONSULTANT

Landrum & Brown, Incorporated

Position	Rate
Officer	\$370.80
Associate Vice President	\$324.45
Senior Managing Consultant	\$293.55
Managing Consultant	\$283.25
Senior Consultant	\$252.35
Consultant	\$216.30
Analyst	\$180.25
Analyst-Intern	\$144.20
Project Accountant	\$103.00
Operations Admin/Corporate Support	\$103.00
Administrative Assistant	\$87.55

SUBCONSULTANTS

Bargas Environmental Consulting, Inc.

Position	Rate
Director of Cultural Resources	\$184.00
Principal Paleontologist	\$184.00
Paleontologist IV	\$168.90
Principal Archaeologist	\$169.61
Field Senior Archaeologist	\$168.90
Senior Archaeologist	\$112.60
Field Scientist III	\$144.77
Field Scientist II	\$128.69
Scientist III	\$96.51
Scientist II	\$85.79
GIS Specialist	\$112.60
Technical Editor	\$85.79
Project Coordinator	\$66.62

G.C. Garcia, Inc.

Position	Rate
Outreach Lead II	\$340.00
Outreach Specialist	\$290.00
Outreach Coordinator	\$155.00
Outreach Support	\$130.00
Outreach Administration	\$108.00

ICF Jones & Stokes, Inc.

Position	Rate
Project Director	\$288.66
Technical Director	\$262.65
Sr Technical Analyst	\$249.52
Managing Consultant	\$234.84
Senior Consultant III	\$215.27
Senior Consultant II	\$190.81
Senior Consultant I	\$173.04
Consultant II	\$164.80
Consultant I	\$156.56
Associate Consultant II	\$146.78
Associate Consultant I	\$133.90
Environmental Tech II	\$122.31
Environmental Tech I	\$102.74
Cartography	\$123.60
Technician	\$87.32

Mead & Hunt, Inc.

Position	Rate
National Practice Lead	\$360.00
Business Unit Leader	\$360.00
Subject Matter Expert	\$210.00
Principal Planner	\$175.00
Administrative Support	\$110.00

**REVISED EXHIBIT I
To Contract 24-003**

Fees and Rates

Southern Nevada Environmental, Inc.

Position	Rate
Principal	\$154.50
Qualified Botanical & Avian Biologist	\$140.00
Project Manager/Coordinator	\$133.90
Technical Writer	\$125.00
Lead Biologist	\$123.60
Senior Field Specialist	\$120.00
Senior Field Biologist	\$110.00
Field Biologist	\$95.00
GIS Manager	\$125.00
General Manager	\$87.00

TY Lin International

Position	Rate
Associate Vice President	\$299.52
Lead Aviation Engineer	\$263.56

WSP USA Inc.

Position	Rate
Senior Vice President (Transportation Planning)	\$386.92
Senior Vice President (Structures and Transportation Planning)	\$360.00
Senior Vice President (Drainage)	\$355.00
Senior Vice President (Structures)	\$302.49
Senior Vice President (Civil and Traffic)	\$292.00
Assistant Vice President (Traffic, Traffic Modelling / Forecasting)	\$247.00
Assistant Vice President (Traffic)	\$247.00
Assistant Vice President (Traffic Modelling / Forecasting)	\$228.00
Assistant Vice President (Drainage)	\$210.00
Assistant Vice President (Environmental)	\$190.00
Lead Consultant (Civil & Structural)	\$207.67
Senior Consultant (Drainage)	\$142.00
Lead Specialist, Design Support	\$137.00
Associate Consultant (Civil & Structural)	\$130.12
Associate Consultant (Drainage)	\$109.19
Contract Manager	\$105.00
Coordinator, Design Support	\$84.25

DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP/PRINCIPALS

Business Entity Type (Please select one)						
<input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship	<input type="checkbox"/> Partnership	<input type="checkbox"/> Limited Liability Company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/> Trust	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Profit Organization	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
Business Designation Group (Please select all that apply)						
<input type="checkbox"/> MBE	<input type="checkbox"/> WBE	<input type="checkbox"/> SBE	<input type="checkbox"/> PBE	<input type="checkbox"/> VET	<input type="checkbox"/> DVET	<input type="checkbox"/> ESB
Minority Business Enterprise	Women-Owned Business Enterprise	Small Business Enterprise	Physically Challenged Business Enterprise	Veteran Owned Business	Disabled Veteran Owned Business	Emerging Small Business
Number of Clark County Nevada Residents Employed:				0		
Corporate/Business Entity Name:		Landrum & Brown, Inc				
(Include d.b.a., if applicable)						
Street Address:		4445 Lake Forest Dr., Suite 700		Website: landrumbrown.com		
City, State and Zip Code:		Cincinnati, OH 45242		POC Name: Rob Adams Email: Rob.Adams@landrumbrown.com		
Telephone No:		+1 513 530 5333		Fax No: +1 513 530 1278		
Nevada Local Street Address: (If different from above)		4050 Dean Martin Drive		Website: landrumbrown.com		
City, State and Zip Code:		Las Vegas, NV 89109		Local Fax No: +1 702 522 7105		
Local Telephone No:		+1 702 522 7100		Local POC Name: Nelson Stone Email: Nelson.Stone@TyLin.com		

All entities, with the exception of publicly-traded and non-profit organizations, must list the names of individuals holding more than five percent (5%) ownership or financial interest in the business entity appearing before the Board.

Publicly-traded entities and non-profit organizations shall list all Corporate Officers and Directors in lieu of disclosing the names of individuals with ownership or financial interest. The disclosure requirement, as applied to land-use applications, extends to the applicant and the landowner(s).

Entities include all business associations organized under or governed by Title 7 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, including but not limited to private corporations, close corporations, foreign corporations, limited liability companies, partnerships, limited partnerships, and professional corporations.

Full Name	Title	% Owned (Not required for Publicly Traded Corporations/Non-profit organizations)
TYLIN International Group	Owning Corporation	100%

This section is not required for publicly-traded corporations. Are you a publicly-traded corporation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

- Are any individual members, partners, owners or principals, involved in the business entity, a Clark County, Department of Aviation, Clark County Detention Center or Clark County Water Reclamation District full-time employee(s), or appointed/elected official(s)?
☐ Yes ☒ No (If yes, please note that County employee(s), or appointed/elected official(s) may not perform any work on professional service contracts, or other contracts, which are not subject to competitive bid.)
- Do any individual members, partners, owners or principals have a spouse, registered domestic partner, child, parent, in-law or brother/sister, half-brother/half-sister, grandchild, grandparent, related to a Clark County, Department of Aviation, Clark County Detention Center or Clark County Water Reclamation District full-time employee(s), or appointed/elected official(s)?
☐ Yes ☒ No (If yes, please complete the Disclosure of Relationship form on Page 2. If no, please print N/A on Page 2.)

I certify under penalty of perjury, that all of the information provided herein is current, complete, and accurate. I also understand that the Board will not take action on land-use approvals, contract approvals, land sales, leases or exchanges without the completed disclosure form.

<u>Rob Adams</u> Signature	Rob Adams Print Name
President, Landrum & Brown Title	6/10/2025 Date

DISCLOSURE OF RELATIONSHIP

List any disclosures below:
(Mark N/A, if not applicable.)

NAME OF BUSINESS OWNER/PRINCIPAL	NAME OF COUNTY* EMPLOYEE/OFFICIAL AND JOB TITLE	RELATIONSHIP TO COUNTY* EMPLOYEE/OFFICIAL	COUNTY* EMPLOYEE'S/OFFICIAL'S DEPARTMENT
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* County employee means Clark County, Department of Aviation, Clark County Detention Center or Clark County Water Reclamation District.

"Consanguinity" is a relationship by blood. "Affinity" is a relationship by marriage.

"To the second degree of consanguinity" applies to the candidate's first and second degree of blood relatives as follows:

- Spouse – Registered Domestic Partners – Children – Parents – In-laws (first degree)
- Brothers/Sisters – Half-Brothers/Half-Sisters – Grandchildren – Grandparents – In-laws (second degree)

For County Use Only:

If any Disclosure of Relationship is noted above, please complete the following:

- ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the County employee(s) noted above involved in the contracting/selection process for this particular agenda item?
- ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the County employee(s) noted above involved in any way with the business in performance of the contract?

Notes/Comments:

Signature

Print Name
Authorized Department Representative