

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Business Impact Statement**

**(NRS 237.090)**

**Clark County Code Title 6, Chapter 6.76 (Burglar Alarm Systems)**

Upon request, a copy of the Business Impact Statement can be obtained from the Clark County Department of Business License and such requests should be sent to:

Clark County Department of Business License  
Chapter 5.02  
500 S. Grand Central Pky., 3<sup>rd</sup> Flr  
Box 551810  
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1810

or a copy may be obtained from the following website:

[www.clarkcountynv.gov/businesslicense.](http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/businesslicense)

**Description of the proposed ordinance or rule:**

The proposed amendments to the Clark County Code will:

1. Change the title of Chapter 6.76 to Electronic Security Systems.
2. Add definitions related to electronic security systems.
3. Require every person who provides electronic security services to have a business license.
4. Exclude from the requirements of this chapter any merchant who sells one or more components of an electronic security system if the merchant does not perform installation, monitoring or on-site services.
5. Remove the requirement of a bond.
6. Allow for the sheriff to have more discretion in the denial of an employee work identification card.
7. Revise the duties and responsibilities of persons providing electronic security services regarding the verification of an alarm signal, when Metro should be notified, and the installing and maintaining of the electronic security systems.

**Intent of the proposed ordinance or rule including issues to be resolved or other factors to be considered:**

The intent of this proposed ordinance is to update terminology for electronic security systems; add definitions; and clarify duties of an electronic security services provider as suggested by the Nevada Security Association.

**Description of the manner in which public comment, data or arguments was solicited from affected businesses and/or community stakeholders:**

On March 31, 2022, the County sent a Notification of Proposed Amendments to Clark County Code, Title 6, Chapter 6.76 (Notification Letter, Attachment #1) and the Proposed Ordinance to various business associations, chambers of commerce, attorneys, burglar alarm companies, locksmiths and other interested parties. The Notification and proposed ordinance were also available on the Department of Business License's website. Recipients of the Notification Letter were encouraged to respond with any comments or concerns regarding the proposed ordinance.

**Summary of public comment, data or arguments including the number of public comments received:**

Following a comment period that lasted 20 working days (ending on April 28, 2022), no comments were received.

**The estimated economic effect of the proposed ordinance or rule on businesses:**

**1. Adverse effects**

The proposed ordinance will require an electronic security services provider to verify the validity of an alarm by attempting to notify the end user associated with the alarm to verify validity of the alarm signal. If the licensee is unable to verify the validity of the signal, the licensee must dispatch an employee or security guard to the location. If the signal is verified as valid or if the licensee is unable to verify the validity of the signal, then the licensee shall be responsible for notifying Metro.

**2. Beneficial effects**

The proposed ordinance removes the requirement of a surety bond saving the licensee bond costs.

**3. Direct effects**

The proposed ordinance clarifies the duties of an electronic security services provider.

**4. Indirect effects.**

The proposed ordinance would provide the sheriff more flexibility in the denial of the issuance or renewal of a work identification card. Current Code requires denial if an employee has been convicted of certain crimes with time limit. The proposed ordinance

would allow the sheriff to consider issuing a work card if certain the conviction of certain crimes occurred beyond a certain number of years.

**5. Other economic effects to be considered.**

No other economic effects were identified.

**The estimated cost to the local government for the enforcement of the proposed ordinance or rule:**

It is estimated that the cost to enforce the Proposed Ordinance will be absorbed by current staff.

**The estimated cost to the local government for the administration of the proposed ordinance or rule:**

It is estimated that the cost to administer the Proposed Ordinance will be absorbed by current staff.

**If applicable, explanation of a new fee or increase to an existing fee including a projection of the annual revenue expected to be collected and the manner in which the revenue will be utilized:**

There are no new fees or increases to existing fees created by this ordinance.

**Assessment of provisions of the proposed ordinance or rule, which may duplicate or are more stringent than Federal, State or local standards regulating the same activity:**

The proposed amendments do not duplicate and are not more stringent than Federal, State or local standards.

**If applicable, explanation of why the duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:**

Not applicable.

**Description of the methods that local government considered to modify the proposed ordinance or rule; or otherwise reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses, the parties involved, and a statement of the methods used:**

After having received no public comments and analyzing any potential impacts on businesses it was determined that modifications of the proposed ordinance are not necessary and, therefore, no changes were made.

**The reasons for the conclusions regarding the impact of the proposed rule on businesses:**

In order to modernize terms and clarify duties of electronic security service providers we feel that the provisions in the Proposed Ordinance are necessary and are in compliance with state law.

**Certification of Business Impact Statement**

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, the information contained in this Business Impact Statement was prepared properly and is accurate.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Yolanda King  
County Manager

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date