



togetherforbetter

Board of County Commissioners

Clark County, Nevada

Tick Segerblom, Chair
William McCurdy II, Vice Chair
April Becker
Jim Gibson
Justin Jones
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick
Michael Naft

The Board of County Commissioners of Clark County, Nevada met in joint regular session with Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees, and University Medical Center of Southern Nevada Board of Trustees, in full conformity with law and bylaws of said Boards, at the regular place of meeting in Clark County, Nevada, on Tuesday, April 1, 2025:

CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
COMMISSION CHAMBERS
500 S GRAND CENTRAL PKWY
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SEC. 1. OPENING CEREMONIES	3
CALL TO ORDER.....	3
INVOCATION	3
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
SEC. 2. COMMISSIONERS' / COUNTY MANAGER'S RECOGNITION	3
SEC. 3. PUBLIC FORUM.....	8
SEC. 4. AGENDA	12
SEC. 5. CONSENT AGENDA: ITEM NO. 8 THROUGH NO. 37	14
Purchasing & Contracts.....	14
Town Services	16
Environment and Sustainability	16
Parks & Recreation.....	17
Public Works	17
Real Property Management.....	18
Budget & Finance.....	18
General Administration.....	19
SEC. 6. ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA	20
SEC. 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 10 A.M.	21
SEC. 8. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES	26
SEC. 9. BUSINESS ITEMS.....	26
PUBLIC COMMENTS.....	55

SEC. 1. OPENING CEREMONIES

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:02 a.m. by Chair Segerblom with the following members present:

Commissioners Present:

Tick Segerblom, Chair
William McCurdy II, Vice Chair
April Becker
Jim Gibson
Justin Jones
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick
Michael Naft

Absent:

None

Also Present:

Kevin Schiller, County Manager
Lisa Logsdon, Deputy District Attorney
Robert Warhola, Deputy District Attorney
Lynn Goya, County Clerk
Jewel Gooden, Deputy Clerk
Ruby Ochoa, Deputy Clerk

INVOCATION

The invocation was given by Rabbi Felipe Goodman.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge of allegiance was stated by the assembly in attendance.

SEC. 2. COMMISSIONERS' / COUNTY MANAGER'S RECOGNITION

1. Present a proclamation to the Foothill High School Dance Team in honor of their National Championship in HipHop and their Nevada State 5A Championship in Pom, HipHop and Gameday categories.

ACTION: PRESENTED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning, Mr. Schiller.

KEVIN SCHILLER

Good morning, Commissioners. Item 1 is to present a proclamation to the Foothill High School Dance Team in honor of their National Championship in HipHop and their Nevada State 5A Championship in Pom, HipHop and Gameday categories. Commissioner Gibson?

JIM GIBSON

I'd like to invite the Foothill High School Varsity Dance Team to come up. Coach, if you'd bring them all up here. We'll just stand along this back wall. I want to welcome this high school varsity dance team and their coaches. We're thrilled to recognize Foothill High School Varsity Dance Team on their back-to-back, back-to-back National Championships in Varsity HipHop. What an incredible achievement. Not only are they 2024-2025 National Champions, but the Foothill High School Dance Team are also Nevada's State 5A Champions for two years in a row.

The team's achievements extend even beyond the dance floor. They have earned the prestigious title of State Academic Champions in 2024 and 2025, exemplifying the true meaning of student athletes. You all serve as incredible role models, and you should be proud of your accomplishments as we are. I can tell by the looks on your faces you're all very proud of your accomplishment. What an incredible thing. Who wants to tell us what the most fun thing about the competition was? I'm going to give your coach a moment here in a minute, but which one of you would like to say something?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER

I can say it.

JIM GIBSON

Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER

The most fun thing about our competition was obviously winning, but also just performing and being able to share all of the hard work we've put into the dances. It was so fun.

JIM GIBSON

Where did you go? Where was it?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER

It was in Florida. Orlando.

JIM GIBSON

Orlando, Florida, right?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER

Yeah, and it was at the ESPN Center, which is in Disney World, so we got to go and celebrate at Disney World after. It was so fun.

JIM GIBSON

So, there were a few fun things.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER

Yeah.

JIM GIBSON

Coach? Let me give you this.

LANA CAREY

Well, on behalf of myself and Coach Paige, we are very proud of you guys as well. For not only being

National Champions back-to-back, but also State Champions back-to-back, and State Academic Champions back-to-back. And a lot of them are two-year members, so a lot of these girls actually did win all of those titles, and we're just really proud that they are the true definition of a student athlete.

JIM GIBSON

Hey, that is worth another round of applause, let me tell you.

Thank you very much.

LANA CAREY

Thank you.

2. Present a proclamation and appreciation award to Regional Flood Control District's General Manager Steven C. Parrish for his 33 years of service and retirement.

ACTION: PRESENTED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, your next item is to present a proclamation and appreciation award to Regional Flood Control District's General Manager Steven C. Parrish for 33 years of service and retirement.

JUSTIN JONES

Can we have Mr. Parrish come down, and Denis, you want to come down, too? Thank you, Manager Schiller. Steve Parrish began his career in Public Works on March 2, 1992, with Clark County Department of Public Works. He started as an Assistant Engineer, later progressing to Senior Civil Engineer, showcasing early promise in the field of flood control engineering. In June 20, 2000, Mr. Parrish transitioned to the Regional Flood Control District where he served in various roles, including Senior Civil Engineer, Principal, Civil Engineer, Engineering Director, Assistant General Manager, and he was appointed to the position of General Manager and Chief Engineer in 2015.

Under his leadership, the District completed 111 projects, including 68 detention basins and 439 miles of flood channels and storm drains. During his time, he's accomplished the goal of over \$429 million in infrastructure projects in Southern Nevada. He successfully removed 11 square miles from the special flood hazard areas, benefiting hundreds of thousands of people and saving them on their insurance, which is great.

Recognized an international expert in flood control, particularly in master planning design and construction, he played a key role in advancing legislative efforts, including contributing to the development of draft language to revise NRS (Nevada Revised Statutes) 244 for compensatory mitigation programs. He revitalized the District's outreach programs, launching initiatives such as virtual reality projects simulating flash floods to educate younger audiences, and if you've ever seen the Stranger Danger ads, that was Steve and his team that led those efforts, which really educated our young population on how to avoid getting into our dangerous flood control channels.

He served as the President of the National Association of Flood and Storm Water Management Agencies from 2022 to 2024, played a significant role in shaping national policies on flood control and storm water management. His work has ensured the safety and well-being of countless individuals in our community,

and I think first and foremost, he is a great leader of the District. He's left a legacy and a team. I know many of them are here with us today. And he's just an all-around great guy and we're so grateful that he has spent so many years being a model of what a leader of a regional agency like the Flood Control District should be.

So, Mr. Parrish, thank you so much. We want to present you with this proclamation and a beautiful little award for all of your time and effort to both the Flood Control District, and also before that here with the County. Let's give a round of applause.

Well, here, I'll give you the microphone first so you can say some words. Okay. Tick, you want to —

TICK SEGERBLOM

I'm another member of the Regional Flood Control Board, and I refer to him as “No Drama Parrish.” You go to the meetings; it's just like clockwork. Nothing ever happens. Nobody complains. It's just amazing. So, thank you so much, Steve. Here you go.

STEVEN PARRISH

Well, I want to say thank you to the Board of County Commissioners. Thank you to Chair Jones. He is the Chair of our Board of Directors. And thank you to Commissioner Segerblom, and actually Commissioner Gibson has served on our Board as well, at one point in time. I think twice, actually, he was on our Board. It's been a great ride. I've really enjoyed working for the Flood Control District. When you get into public service, you really want to make a difference, and I think we've been able to do that at the Flood Control District. I think a lot of people, whether you realize it or not, is better off because of the work we've been doing. I think we're much more resilient to the dangers of flooding here in Clark County and that benefits all of the residents from Mesquite down to Laughlin.

So, I really appreciate this honor. I'll remember it for the rest of my life. It's great to be recognized. And you listed off all those accomplishments, half a billion dollars, and I didn't do all that all by myself obviously, right? It takes a team. I have a great staff at the Regional Flood Control District, a great management team there that carried me through all these years.

But I want to thank a lot of the leaders here at Clark County, in particular, Denis Cederburg, the Director of Public Works, County Manager Schiller, Lisa Kremer, Jessica Colvin, she helps us with our bonding and our budget. I mean, the list just goes on and on, and I'd be here all day if I had to thank everybody. So let me just say thank you to the community and thank you to the County, and I look forward to watching what happens next as time goes on. Thank you.

JUSTIN JONES

Let's give him another round of applause.

STEVEN PARRISH

Thanks so much.

3. Present a proclamation to Prevent Child Abuse Nevada recognizing the month of April as National Child Abuse Prevention Month.

ACTION: PRESENTED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 3 is to present a proclamation to Prevent Child Abuse Nevada recognizing the month of April as National Child Abuse Prevention Month. Commissioner Jones?

JUSTIN JONES

Every child —This on? All right. Every child should have a great childhood because our children are our future. Positive childhood experiences build healthy families and strong communities. The pinwheels that we have up here for prevention is a national campaign held every April for Child Abuse Prevention Month. The main focus is to spread awareness through communities across the nation, helping ensure each child has the opportunity to live out a safe, stable, and nurturing childhood by putting prevention first to want to prevent a proclamation to Prevent Child Abuse Nevada, and here with us today is Michelle Saldivar, if you want to share a couple words.

MICHELLE SALDIVAR

Sure. Good morning, everyone. So as mentioned, April is National Child Abuse Prevention Month, and even though we do our awareness efforts all year round, April is really the month to focus in on as a member of the community what you can do on your own to prevent child abuse. That can be something as easily as lending a hand to a family in need, volunteering at a family resource center, donating items. That's kind of what we do to bring awareness to the month of April. Friday is National Go Blue Day, so I'm already wearing my blue, but hopefully on Friday, you will see a big push of blue, and as mentioned, the pinwheels that we have, we'll kind of see them throughout the valley, just kind of do the pinwheel plantings, and that also brings a lot of awareness because the pinwheel represents the happy, healthy childhoods that we want all children to have. Thank you.

JUSTIN JONES

Thank you, Michelle.

JIM GIBSON

Thank you.

4. Present a proclamation to HELP of Southern Nevada in recognition of the 55th anniversary.

ACTION: PRESENTED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 4 is to present a proclamation to HELP of Southern Nevada in recognition of the 55 anniversary. Commissioner Segerblom.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Yes. Whoops, that's not my proclamation. You're going to bring your proclamation for Fuilala is coming up, but I just want to say, in my office, when I scream, "Help," I don't mean help, I mean HELP, so thank you so much. This agency is 50 years. They've been doing fantastic things. I'm sorry, you're going to have to go around.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER

Okay.

TICK SEGERBLOM

They've been doing fantastic things for us, and particularly in my District, they have represented all kinds of help for people in need, so I just can't thank you, and hopefully we'll continue another 50 years. Thank you.

FUILALA RILEY

Thank you so much. We actually wanted to do this for our 50 anniversary, but we got swept into the pandemic and we're just now coming out of it, so we really appreciate this recognition for our 55 anniversary.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Marilyn, did you want to say anything?

JIM GIBSON

Nope.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right.

JIM GIBSON

We agree.

TICK SEGERBLOM

We agree. HELP.

Thank you so much.

JIM GIBSON

Thank you very much for what you do.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you.

SEC. 3. PUBLIC FORUM

5. Public Comment

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, we can now move to the first section set aside for public comment.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right, this is the first period for public comment. Anyone wishing to speak on an item on the agenda, come forward, identify the item you're speaking on, and let us know what you think.

ED UEHLING

Okay. My name is Ed Uehling, U-E-H-L-I-N-G. I wanted to comment on number 2, 11, and 47. Steve Parrish has been an unbelievable leader for the flood control. I can remember when I was a kid back in the 1940s and 1950s, every time it would rain, there would be a flood, and houses would be wiped out,

and sometimes, people would even get killed. But this guy, you got a super bargain with Steve working here 33 years and just making things so simple, and now, we have probably the best flood control one can imagine virtually, thanks to him.

Number 11 is that one of the projects that I've been asking — Let me just say, I would want to thank Commissioner Gibson for appointing me to serve on his Board, the Water Board or the Water Reclamation. It is a reclamation? Anyway, the Flood Control Board. I really enjoyed being on that, doing that and learning about it. And number 11 is one of the projects that I was particularly interested in. Finally, it's being funded and it's going to be done. The detention basin in front of the runways of the airport that will trap the water overflows in that area.

Then, not so happy, though, about Item number 47, which is about the County having the gall to steal people's property in violation of the Constitution. The Constitution makes it very clear if a government wants to take someone's property, they condemn it and then you go to court and you decide how much is going to be paid for it. No, this Commission did not do that. It sent agents up to Carson City to create this incredible law that steals 11,000 people's property here in Las Vegas, small businesses, and hands them over to the richest people in the United States, virtually, Wall Street, so that they can get the income. And where's that income going? It is going to New York, it's going to Dubai, and it's not staying here in Las Vegas.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you, Mr. Uehling.

STEVE SMALL

Yes, Steve Small, Justin's District Enterprise on behalf of the Water District.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Which item are you speaking on?

STEVE SMALL

The big problem. Pardon? Not on?

TICK SEGERBLOM

No, which item on the agenda? I'm sorry. At this period of public comment, you have to identify an item on the agenda that you're speaking about. If you want to speak in general, you have to wait until the end of the meeting.

STEVE SMALL

I'm talking about the Water District Board of Commissioners in which they had received money from BLM (Bureau of Land Management) sales to that person. It's against the Constitution.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Yeah, I'm sorry, that's not on the agenda. If you want to speak about that, you'll have to speak at the end of the meeting.

STEVE SMALL

It was against the Constitution for the transfers to the Water District and to the School District from those funds.

TICK SEGERBLOM

I'm sorry.

STEVE SMALL

Those are called conversion and diversion.

TICK SEGERBLOM

This is not on the agenda, so you have to wait until the end of the meeting to speak about this, so if you have a seat, at the end of the meeting, you can come back up and speak for three minutes.

STEVE SMALL

I wasn't speaking clear enough?

TICK SEGERBLOM

No, you have to wait until the end of the meeting to speak.

LISA LOGSDON

(inaudible)

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you.

MARGARET ANN COLEMAN

Yes, good morning. My name is Margaret Ann Coleman. I'm here today to speak upon Item 38, I mean 27, for the Board to accommodate, I mean to approve and authorize and adapt the Chairman to sign a resolution to authorize a refund as shown exhibit A. 38, to conduct a public hearing to review the project's recommendation of the staff and the community development , select projects and allegations for inclusion into the Clark County 2025 HUD Action Plan, State of Nevada Housing Development, the total approximately \$7,040,417, the home project money that is unspent and returned fund amount of \$3,096,062 reallocation, authorize staff to prepare and submit all necessary document application, housing and State Nevada deadline recommendation authorizing the Clark County management or his design to execute any necessary document relating thereto.

The only reason why I want to speak up on this is because I tried going to the Housing of Authority to take my position on the seat to conduct a research of how many housing that they have to issue to the public that is homeless victims, such as myself, thrown into the street unnecessarily and put into the streets by property management ship of taking over my property, 1316 Wizard.

Also, the \$3 million, as well, the \$96,200, I mean the \$6,200 that I'm supposed to take control of that over here at a shelter that all Blacks and all the lower-class citizens that you have created and taken off to the street and put into that facility of homelessness to sleep on the floor. I tried getting them to give me a job position there to use this money, and you're saying you want to return it, that it was unspent.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you, Ms. Coleman.

MARGARET ANN COLEMAN

They denied my position. I would like to —

TICK SEGERBLOM

Ms. Coleman your three minutes is up. Thank you so much. Next, if you want to come over.

MARGARET ANN COLEMAN

I'm not given my opportunity.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

JEFF ECKER

Good morning, Chairman Segerblom and members of the Clark County Commission. I'm here to speak on agenda number 45. My name is Jeff Ecker. That's E-C-K-E-R. I'm here on behalf of Nevada HAND, the largest provider of affordable housing in Southern Nevada. We are proud to have a long-standing reputation for delivering high quality homes and services that positively impact our communities. Currently, we manage over 5,000 affordable housing units across Clark County, providing safe and stable homes for more than 8,000 residents.

Today, agenda Item 45 is to approve \$45.4 million in Clark County Community Housing Funds for the development and rehabilitation of affordable housing projects. Included in this fund is \$17 million for Nevada HAND's / Serene Pines Project, which will create 231 units of high-quality affordable housing for low-income seniors. Serene Pines will provide a total of 281 units and will offer every resident on site supportive services. On behalf of Nevada HAND, I also want to thank the Clark County staff for their dedication to the support of all affordable housing initiatives. Thank you for your consideration.

PHILIP DUNNING

Good morning. My name is Philip Dunning, I'm here on Item number 46. My address, 1904 Tibet Court, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89117. I'm here on behalf of Southern Nevada NAIOP (National Association for Industrial and Office Parks) Chapter and have a few words about the MSHCP (Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan). First off, we want to thank the County's Department for all their hard work. This is a very complex matter in this amendment that we're facing over the next few years and appreciate all that they have done at NAIOP and developers for Southern Nevada. We really strongly need this amendment to move forward and really appreciate all their efforts and think that this is imperative for us in the future for development in Southern Nevada.

However, we do have a few suggestions that we would like to present. I did personally attend one of the workshops, and we just have met in a subcommittee in order to present some facts. We'll follow up these suggestions in the formal way in the next couple of weeks. One of these is to consider reducing the amount of land that is being asked to put in the reservation system and consider some of the areas between, especially Jean and the Boulder City Conservation Easement to take into consideration utility expansion and easements that could go through that area, and I think that that would be imperative as we move forward in the next 10, 15, 20 years.

Another item would be consider alternative funding for this. I think that we've all experienced through the Great Recession how development stops, and these fees could be impacted, so if we're attempting to raise \$6 million a year, these fees could be impacted tremendously if we absolutely shut down development, and so I think alternative funding would be very important.

One of the things that we also suggest is start with a study to understand where we're at today, not just in the habitat, but in the results of the habitat that we have understand the amount of these threatened

species that are in existence today. One of the triggers of this is a process. It's the process of which we start the investigation of whether there's a threatened species on your land prior to development. We worked with a team and their consultants about when is the appropriate time to trigger the inspection for a tortoise or for the plants on a parcel, so it doesn't obstruct the timeline for construction? One of those would be whether it's triggered on design review or grading permit, but I caution you that if we wait too long, it'll impede development. So, I have further, but I will submit these formally to the Department, as well.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Please. Thank you so much.

PHILIP DUNNING

Thank you.

MICHAEL HAYES

Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Michael Hayes. I have a question on consent agenda Item number 8. I believe it's in violation of the Open Meeting Law. I also believe that the contract has no money amount in it, just the money that is written, \$4.5 million. If you look at the joinder contract, which is included as backup, one, two, three, four, and five mention a master contract. I attempted yesterday to get the copy of this contract. I attempted today to get the copy of the master contract. The office upstairs doesn't have it. Purchasing doesn't have it or could not give it to me.

I think it's probably premature to take this agenda item now, because I think there's a lot of problems with it, and there is no money attached to it except \$4.5 million. It doesn't say what the cost of the supplies you're buying. It doesn't say what the equipment costs are. It doesn't say anything about the service that's part of the agreement. The joinder contract doesn't have any amount of money in it. There is no amount of money except for one lump sum of \$4.5 million, and I think you need to look at this before you approve it. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you. Seeing no one else public comment, I'll close the public hearing and turn it over to County Manager Schiller.

SEC. 4. AGENDA

6. Approval of Minutes of the Regular Meeting on March 4, 2025. (For possible action) (Available in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division)

ACTION: APPROVED.

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, going to move on to your agenda. Item 6 is approval of the minutes of the regular meeting on March 4, 2025.

MOTION

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

Mr. Chair, I move approval of the meeting minutes on March 4, 2025.

TICK SEGERBLOM

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM

That motion passes.

7. [Approval of Agenda with the Inclusion of Any Emergency Items and Deletion of Any Items. \(For possible action\)](#)

ACTION: APPROVED. COUNTY MANAGER READ CORRECTIONS FOR ITEMS 32, 34, AND 37.

KEVIN SCHILLER

Item 7 is approval of the agenda with the inclusion of any emergency items and deletion of any items. For the record, I'd like to read a correction on Item number 32 on your consent agenda. Under the item recommendation, approve and authorize the Chair to sign the Second Amendment should be changed to approve and authorize the County Manager to sign the Second Amendment.

I would also like to read a correction on Item 34 under your consent agenda. Under the item background, the grant funds allowed for the continuation of six full-time limited permanent positions should be changed to the grant funds allowed for the continuation of four full-time limited permanent positions. Under the fiscal impact, the funded PGM/GRANT (Participatory Grand-Making) 1250.FAFFY.2025 should be changed to 1250.FIF.2025.

For the record, I'd like to read a correction on Item 37 under your consent agenda. Under the item recommendation, approve and authorize the Deputy County Manager or her designee should be changed to approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign any additional documents related to management of the agreement.

MOTION

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

Mr. Chair, I move approval of the agenda with the recommended changes read to the record.

TICK SEGERBLOM

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft
VOTING NAY: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM
That motion passed.

SEC. 5. CONSENT AGENDA: ITEM NO. 8 THROUGH NO. 37

ACTION: APPROVED.

KEVIN SCHILLER

Can now move to the approval of your consent agenda consisting of Items number 8 through number 37.

MOTION

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

I move approval of the consent agenda.

TICK SEGERBLOM

There's a motion to approve the consent agenda. Cast your vote.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft
VOTING NAY: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM
That motion passes.

Purchasing & Contracts

8. Approve the selection of and Master Services Agreement with the firms specified on the Exterior Improvement Projects, New Construction/Renovation Projects, Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing Projects, Construction Manager at Risk Projects, Design-Build Projects and Commissioning Project lists, for SOQ No. 607190-24, for Professional Services for Real Property Management; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to negotiate an Agreement; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

9. Approve the utilization of State of Minnesota Sourcewell Contract No. 011824-LNC, for MSA SCBA Equipment, Parts and Services, awarded to L.N. Curtis & Sons, for CBE No. 607508-25; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Joinder Use Contract and authorize staff to issue purchase orders, subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

10. Approve the selection of, and the contract with The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company, for RFP No. 607392-24, for Pre-construction Services for Paradise Park Pool & Waterslide Replacement & Bath House Refurbishment, contingent upon submission of the required insurance; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Contract; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

11. Approve the award of Bid No. 607074-24, for Tropicana and University Center Drainage Improvements Phase 2 (Van Buskirk - Paradise Detention Basin) to the low responsive and responsible bidder, contingent upon submission of the required bonds and insurance. Staff recommends award to Las Vegas Paving Corporation; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

12. Approve the award of Bid No. 607333-24, for Las Vegas Boulevard - Russell Road to Tropicana Avenue (Phase C & D) to the low responsive and responsible bidder, contingent upon submission of the required bonds and insurance. Staff recommends award to Las Vegas Paving Corporation; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

13. Approve the award of Bid No. 607418-24, for Intersection Improvements for Buffalo Drive at Pebble Road, Buffalo Drive at Wigwam Avenue, and Traffic Signal Improvements at Grand Canyon Drive and Sunset Drive to the low responsive and responsible bidder, contingent upon submission of the required bonds and insurance. Staff recommends award to Holcim - SWR, Inc.; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

14. Approve the Contract with Kofile Technologies, Inc., for CBE No. 607493-25, for FY25 Digital Preservation - Microfilm Microfiche Conversion, contingent upon submission of the required insurance; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Contract; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

Town Services

15. Note for the record the following Town Advisory Board (TAB) and/or Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) Minutes: Enterprise TAB - February 26, 2025; Laughlin TAB - February 11, 2025; Lone Mountain CAC - February 11, 2025; Paradise TAB - February 25, 2025; Red Rock CAC - January 29, 2025; Spring Valley TAB - February 25, 2025; Sunrise Manor TAB - February 27, 2025; and Whitney TAB - January 30, 2025.

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

Environment and Sustainability

16. Approve, authorize, and adopt the 2025-2027 Implementation Plan and Budget Report (IPB Report) for the Clark County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and authorize the Plan Administrator to submit the IPB Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

17. Accept the donation of a "1-hour character visit" valued at up to Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250) by Las Vegas Character Parties to be given as a prize to the winner of the countywide Mojave Max Emergence Contest hosted by the Clark County Desert Conservation Program celebrating 25 years of the Mojave Max Education Program and Emergence Contest. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

18. Accept the donation of two (2) gift boxes valued at Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250) each by the Venetian Resort to be given as prize(s) to the winner(s) of the countywide Mojave Max Emergence Contest hosted by the Clark County Desert Conservation Program celebrating 25 years of the Mojave Max Education Program and Emergence Contest. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

Parks & Recreation

19. Accept the monetary donation of \$2,500 from the Southern Nevada Chapter, International Code Council to the Clark County Department of Parks and Recreation to provide swim lessons to toddlers four-years-old and younger. (For Possible Action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

20. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign a Letter of Agreement between Clark County and the Outside Las Vegas Foundation, dba Get Outdoors Nevada, for programming taking place during the 2025 summer season at Clark County Parks and Recreation Winchester Dondero Cultural Center. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

21. Approve and authorize the Acting Director of Parks and Recreation to sign the Funding Agreement with Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD) for placement of K-rail barriers along South Hollywood Blvd. adjacent to Wetlands Park. (For Possible Action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

Public Works

22. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign a quitclaim deed transferring portions of County-owned rights-of-way located along Interstate 15, north of Silverado Ranch Boulevard to the Nevada Department of Transportation. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

23. Accept the dedication in fee from Durango Robindale, LLC (Nima Khomassi, Manager) for Assessor's Parcel Number 176-09-301-008 located on the northeast corner of Durango Drive and Robindale Road needed as public right-of-way for the James Regional Sports Park project. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

24. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign a professional engineering services contract between Clark County and Horrocks LLC (M. Lee Jacoby Jr., Nevada Transportation Director of Operations) to provide design engineering services for the Clark County 215 Bruce Woodbury Beltway Widening between Interstate 11 and North 5th Street project. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

25. Approve and authorize the removal of the pedestrian bridge over Maryland Parkway located adjacent to Sunrise Hospital. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

Real Property Management

26. Approve and authorize the Director of Real Property Management (Director) or her designee to sign a letter to extend the Interlocal Agreement between Clark County and Clark County School District for the use of 114 West Surprise Street, Searchlight, Nevada for the operation of a community and youth center (Agreement), now known as the Searchlight Youth Center; and authorize the Director or their designee to sign any other necessary documents related to management of the Agreement. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

Budget & Finance

27. In accordance with NRS 244.210 and 354.220 through 354.250, approve, authorize, and adopt the Chair to sign a resolution to authorize refunds as shown on Exhibit "A". (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-4-1-25-1).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

28. Approve and authorize the allocation of \$1,400,000 in Clark County Capital Funds for an Outside Agency Grant (OAG) to the Vegas Strong Fund; and authorize the County Manager or his designee to execute the required grant resolution per NRS 244.1505; or take other action deemed appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

General Administration

29. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the correction of the 2022-2023 thru 2024-2025 Secured and the 2022-2023 thru 2023-2024 Unsecured Assessment Roll AR-0401-25-7 and order the corrections to be made. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

30. Approve and authorize a second amendment the 2022 Community Housing Fund (CHF) award to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN), of an additional amount, up to \$1,000,000, for the construction of Donna Louise Phase 2; and to authorize the County Manager, or his designee, to execute any necessary documents related thereto. (For possible action.)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

31. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign an Amendment to the Agreement to use 2019 Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) CFDA # 14.239 Funds-between Clark County Consortium and the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Nevada Housing Division; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

32. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the Second Amendment to the Agreement to use 2020 Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) CFDA # 14.239 Funds-between Clark County Consortium and the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Nevada Housing Division; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED (CORRECTION READ: APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY MANAGER TO SIGN THE SECOND AMENDMENT).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

33. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign an Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement with State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Nevada Housing Division, for 2021 Account for Affordable Housing Trust Fund Grant Agreement; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

34. Approve and ratify Amendment 1 of the Former Foster Youth grant funds in the amount of \$560,000 from State of Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services for the Young Adult Program, effective July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025; revise and authorize the 100% funding of one (1) grant funded limited permanent position: one (1) Sr. Family Services Specialist (C29) for the period the grant funds are available; authorize the Director or his designee to sign any additional grant documents related thereto; and accept any funds awarded. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED (ITEM BACKGROUND CORRECTION READ: CHANGED TO GRANT FUNDS ALLOWED FOR THE CONTINUATION OF FOUR (4) FULL-TIME LIMITED PERMANENT POSITIONS; AND THE FUNDED PGM/GRANT: 1250.FAFFY.2025 SHOULD BE CHANGED TO 1250.FIF.2025).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

35. Approve and ratify the grant application submitted by the Clark County Fire Department in the amount of \$97,942 to the 2025 Palms Cares Grant to purchase smoke and carbon monoxide detectors for vulnerable urban and rural areas; authorize the Fire Chief, or his designee, to sign grant documents as necessary; and accept any funds awarded. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

36. Accept a donation of \$10,000 from Phil Ruffin to help support the Clark County Fire Department Employees Recognition Program. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

37. Approve and Authorize the Deputy County Manager (DCM) or her designee to sign the Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) between University Medical Center (UMC) and Clark County (County) to reimburse UMC in an amount not to exceed \$1,357,264 for capital equipment purchases and tenant improvement construction costs associated with supporting a Crisis Stabilization Center (CSC) located at 5409 East Lake Mead Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89156 (Assessor's Parcel Number 140-21-303-004); and authorize the DCM or her designee to sign any additional documents related to the management of the Agreement. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED (CORRECTION READ: TO APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY MANAGER OR HIS DESIGNEE; AND AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY MANAGER OR HIS DESIGNEE TO SIGN ANY ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE MANAGEMENT OF THE AGREEMENT).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

SEC. 6. ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA

No Items were heard separately.

SEC. 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 10 A.M.

38. Conduct a public hearing to review the project recommendations of Staff and the Community Development Advisory Committee (CDAC), and select projects and allocations for inclusion into Clark County's FY2025 HUD Annual Action Plan and application to the State of Nevada's Housing Division for a total of approximately \$7,040,417 in FY 2025-2026 HOME/AAHTF program monies; authorize prior years' unspent or returned HOME/AAHTF funds-an amount of \$3,096,062-for reallocation; authorize staff to prepare and submit all necessary documents/ applications, per HUD and State of Nevada deadlines and requirements; and authorize the County Manager, or his designee, to execute any necessary documents related thereto. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, it's now 10:00 a.m., so we can now move to the public hearing section of your agenda. Item 38 is to conduct a public hearing to review the project recommendations of staff and the Community Development Advisory Committee, and select projects and allocations for inclusion into the Clark County's FY2025 HUD Annual Action Plan and application to the State of Nevada's Housing Division for a total of approximately \$7,040,417 in FY 2025-2026 HOME/AAHTF program monies; authorize prior years' unspent or returned HOME/AAHTF funds, an amount of \$3,096,062 for reallocation; authorize staff to prepare and submit all necessary documents, applications, per HUD and State of Nevada deadlines and requirements; and authorize the County Manager, or his designee, to execute any necessary documents related thereto.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

DAGNY STAPLETON

Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Dagny Stapleton, Community Housing Administrator. So, this item is the public hearing for the funding allocation for our 2025-2026 HUD HOME Funds, along with our approval for the application to the State for our State HUD HOME Funds and our State account for Affordable Housing Trust Funds, or AAHTF. All of these funding sources are used together each year by the County to support affordable housing development. This item also includes the recommendations for HOME/AAHTF awards for affordable housing developments that applied to the County for 2025-2026 funding, as well as the allocations to the City of North Las Vegas and for Home Program Administration.

The application for the 2025-2026 HOME/AAHTF funding awards was opened on November 14, and applications were due January 6. Those applications were then reviewed and scored by staff and the Community Development Advisory Committee, or CDAC. The Development Awards in today's item include the recommendations of the CDAC. There are five affordable housing projects being recommended for a total of \$5,541,330 in HOME/AAHTF funding. These projects will support the development or rehab of 605 units of senior or family housing. And Mr. Chair, I would also like to recognize and thank the CDAC for their time and effort that they put into the review of these projects this year, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

TICK SEGERBLOM

So, I had a question. So, are we allocating the seven plus the three, the 10, or is it seven plus, we're giving taking three back for future?

DAGNY STAPLETON

It is seven. It is seven plus unallocated \$3 million from previous years.

TICK SEGERBLOM

So, a total of \$10 million?

DAGNY STAPLETON

Yes.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Okay. Anyone have any —

MICHAEL NAFT

Commissioner, I guess, if there is nothing further, just two things. One, my comments reflected on 45 I think were for 45, so just so the record could reflect that properly. But I did want to take a second here, Ms. Stapleton, if it's all right, to bring up Chris Lee for those who don't — And he was very frustrated that I asked, that Dagny asked him to come today. I thought his work was done, but not quite yet. Mr. Lee has served on CDAC since 2019, since this body originally appointed him and he stepped up pretty quickly, served as vice chair and has been our chair of CDAC for two cycles now. And that is a — I think everybody here knows, that is a large body. It can be a challenging body to come to a consensus with.

There's a whole lot of factors. There's representatives from Bunkerville to Laughlin and everywhere in between. And Chris, you've done an extraordinary job getting to consensus, encouraging as many individuals on that body to participate actively as probably can be expected with how many people there are on there. And in that time, you have helped this Board come to approve 12 affordable housing projects, 1 supportive housing project, 14 homelessness prevention and street outreach programs had been funded, and 9 public service projects. Each have made extraordinary impact on the community, and just personally and on behalf of the Board, we want to thank you for your service. We know you're stepping down as a chair and as member of CDAC, but we look forward to coercing you into serving Clark County in other ways.

CHRIS LEE

Yes, thanks. Appreciate that. I just want to say a quick shout out to staff. They are the heart and soul of what we do. So, government workers are often under attack these days and they shouldn't be. And I want to be clear that it was staff that did a lot of the guidance for us, were enormously helpful. They worked tirelessly. They answered any number of seemingly odd questions that we had, but they were always there. And I think, while I was the chair and we had a lot of great members and a lot of great participation, staff deserves their due as well. So, I want to put that on the record.

MICHAEL NAFT

Thank you, Mr. Lee. We no doubt agree with you wholeheartedly. Thank you very much. If there is nothing further, I'll move for approval of Item 38.

LISA LOGSDON

I think, did we open the public hearing?

KEVIN SCHILLER

Oh. That's what I was going to clarify.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. All right. This is a public hearing. Anyone here wishing to speak on the item?

Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing and turn over to Commissioner Naft.

MOTION

MICHAEL NAFT

I move approval.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. And Chris, you get your life back now. There's a motion, cast your vote.

CHRIS LEE

Thank you, sir.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. That motion passes.

39. Conduct a public hearing on the Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing and Equity Assessment, and authorize the Community Housing Administrator, or her designee, to submit to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Item 39 is to conduct a public hearing on the Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing and Equity Assessment, and authorize the Community Housing Administrator, or her designee to submit to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning again.

DAGNY STAPLETON

Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Dagny Stapleton. So, this item is the public hearing on our 2025 Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing and Equity Assessment. As a requirement of receiving our HUD Entitlement Funds, including our HOME, CDBG (Community Development Block Grant)

and ESG (Emergency Solutions Grant), the County must show that we have done this analysis and that we work to encourage fair housing activities. Our team in the Community Housing Office worked with the other Southern Nevada jurisdictions and took the lead on completing this report. The report provides a regional analysis of the housing market and the factors that influence housing choices. Contingent on your approval today, this will be submitted to HUD along with our 25 through 29 HUD Consolidated Plan and this year's Action Plan. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

TICK SEGERBLOM

This is a public hearing. Anyone here wishing to speak on this item? Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing and ask if anyone has any questions.

MOTION

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

If there are no questions, Mr. Chair, I move for approval.

TICK SEGERBLOM

There's a motion to approve. Cast your vote.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM

That motion passes.

40. Conduct a public hearing; approve and authorize the acquisition by eminent domain of a portion of Assessor's Parcel Number 162-22-502-004 (ENP Nevada Inc.) needed as right-of-way for construction of the Maryland Parkway Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project; and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign documents necessary to complete the condemnation process. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, we can move to Item 40, which is to conduct a public hearing; to approve and authorize the acquisition by eminent domain of a portion of Assessor's parcel number 162-22-502-004 (ENP Nevada Inc.) needed as right-of-way for construction of the Maryland Parkway Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project; and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign documents necessary to complete the condemnation process.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

DENIS CEDERBURG

Good morning, Commissioners. Denis Cederburg with the Department of Public Works. I'm here to request the authorization to proceed with eminent domain on right-of-way needed for the Maryland Parkway BRT. The parcel in question is located at the southwest corner of Maryland Parkway and Flamingo Road. It is needed for a bus turnout and additional right-of-way plus a temporary construction easement.

We've been unsuccessful in making contact with the owner. Our initial, or the consultant's initial contact and offer was made in July 2023. We've gone back and forth and tried to make contact with the owner. We've been unsuccessful. So now, this is the last parcel that we need right of entry in order to complete the Maryland Parkway BRT project. So, we'd ask for your approval. Any questions?

TICK SEGERBLOM

This is a public hearing. Anyone here wishing to speak on this item? Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing and just make a comment that this enables us to go ahead and start working on that piece of property while we proceed with eminent domain.

DENIS CEDERBURG

This doesn't enable it. It's once we go to the court and we get a right of entry, occupancy, temporary until there's a final judgment on acquisition.

MOTION

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. Thank you so much, and this obviously is critical to the BRT project. There's a motion, cast —

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

Mr. Chairman, I wasn't going to say anything but now you ginned me up. So, I am going to say this. You know, I hope that we're done with Maryland Parkway because we've spent a lot of money working on Maryland Parkway with zero new capacity. But I hope that you all are going to talk to the university to ensure that people actually use this system so that it works too, so that we don't add more cars. So, I'm just going to say that because this is my 15 iteration of Maryland Parkway. So, I feel better now. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

I think that was a positive motion. Cast your vote.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

END PUBLIC HEARINGS

SEC. 8. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES

41. Introduce an ordinance creating Clark County, Nevada, Sports and Entertainment Improvement District No. 1 and pledging certain taxes, fees or charges in connection therewith and set a public hearing. (For possible action)

ACTION: INTRODUCED AND SET FOR PUBLIC HEARING ON TUESDAY, APRIL 15, 2025, AT 10 A.M. (BILL 4-1-25-1).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, it is not yet 10:00 a.m. We can now move to the introduction of ordinances. Item 41 is an ordinance creating Clark County, Nevada, Sports and Entertainment Improvement District Number 1 and pledging certain taxes, fees, or charges in connection therewith and set a public hearing.

TICK SEGERBLOM

I'll introduce the ordinance. When did you want to set it for? Set the hearing for April 15, 2025.

LISA LOGSDON

And that hearing will be at 10:00 a.m.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Oh, 10:00 a.m., sorry.

SEC. 9. BUSINESS ITEMS

42. Identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings; receive updates on the activities of the various regional boards and commissions; and direct staff accordingly.

ACTION: IDENTIFIED/RECEIVED. STAFF TO EXPLORE THE CREATION OF A CENTRALIZED VOLUNTEER ACTIVITIES ON THE CLARK COUNTY WEBSITE.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

We can now move to the business section of your agenda. Under business, Item 42 is to identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings; receive updates on the activities of various regional boards and commissions; and direct staff accordingly.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Seeing no one with the emerging issue, I'm going to ask staff to look into the creation of some type of centralization for our volunteer activities, and a lot of our different agencies and entities have volunteers and volunteer things on their website, but I'd like to see if we could centralize with our website so that if anyone wants to volunteer in general, they could look on there and then see the different entities we have. They could click on something for Social Services or whatever. I have a lot of people in my generation who are looking to help, and I think the simpler we make it to provide an area where they can

go on the website to find a volunteer activity. So that would be my request, that my office work with staff for that. I think that's approval.

43. Re-appoint Michelle Tobler and Janne Hanrahan to serve as Administrative Hearing Officers for the Department of Family Services for a three-year term from August 1, 2025 through July 31, 2028. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Okay. Item 43 is to re-appoint Michelle Tobler and Janne Hanrahan to serve as Administrative Hearing Officers for the Department of Family Services for a three-year term from August 1, 2025, through July 31, 2028.

MOTION

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

Mr. Chair, I move to re-appoint Michelle Tobler and Janne Hanrahan to serve as Administrative Hearing Officers for Department of Family Services for a three-year term from August 1, 2025, to July 31, 2028.

TICK SEGERBLOM

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM

That motion passes.

44. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign District Resolution 25-001, making a finding that no increase in ad valorem is anticipated for the payment of the "Clark County Water Reclamation District, Nevada, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2025 (the "2025 Bonds")," in the maximum principal amount of \$69,055,000 for the term thereof and requesting the Debt Management Commission convene to approve the finding; or take other action as appropriate. (Sitting as the Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees) (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Item 44 is to approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign District Resolution 25-001, making a finding that no increase in ad valorem is anticipated for the payment of the “Clark County Water Reclamation District, Nevada, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues) Series 2025 (the “2025 Bonds”),” in the maximum principal amount of \$69,055,000 for the term thereof and requesting the Debt Management Commission convene to approve the findings; or take other action as appropriate.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning, Tom.

TOM MINWEGEN

Mr. Chair, members of the Board, good morning. Tom Minwegen with the Clark County Water Reclamation District. So, members of the Board, yes, the District is advancing the process to refinance \$69,055,000 of its current debt. This is the start of the process. So, to identify this item, the Board is asked to make a finding that no increase in the ad valorem tax is anticipated for the payment of these General Obligation Refunding Bonds. And that is because the pledged revenues are sufficient to cover the debt service.

It's also mentioned by the title of the item, we're also asking that the Clark County Debt Management Commission as part of the process convene, also to identify this finding. So Commissioners, what we're trying to do, we would like to get the approvals in place so we can time the market to maximize savings. We will monitor the market very closely to ensure positive results, or we will continue to wait the market out. We are anticipating and hopeful the market will bear approximately \$3 million in savings over the repayment terms of the refunding bonds. I'll be glad to try to answer any questions the Board may have.

MOTION

TICK SEGERBLOM

Seeing no questions, I'll make a motion to approve and to send this to Commissioner Kirkpatrick so Debt Management Committee can review this in detail.

There's a motion, cast your vote.

TOM MINWEGEN

Thank you, members of the Board. Thank you.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM

That motion passes.

45. Approve and authorize the obligation of awards, totaling \$45,400,000 in Clark County Community Housing Funds (CHF), for the development and rehabilitation of affordable housing units; and authorize the County Manager, or his designee, to execute any necessary grant or loan documents. (For possible action)

ACTION: APPROVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 45 is to approve and authorize the obligation of awards, totaling \$45,400,000 in Clark County Community Housing Funds for the development and rehabilitation of affordable housing units; and authorize the County Manager, or his designee, to execute any necessary grant or loan documents.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

DAGNY STAPLETON

Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Dagny Stapleton, Community Housing Administrator. This item is for our 2024 Welcome Home Community Housing Fund, our CHF awards. The application for these funds was open in November and closed on January 13. This is the third annual funding round in what remains a historic investment by this Board into affordable housing in our community. A review and scoring of the CHF applications was conducted by staff along with a panel of community members. We also engaged an external consultant to assist us with an underwriting review of each of the proposed projects.

Approval of these awards will support the creation of 590 new housing units. Those would be extremely low and low-income units, and the rehab of 207 low-income units, all of those units for seniors and families. We want to note that each of the recommended projects have dedicated at least 10% of their units to assist those most in need in our community, those at 30% of the area median income. The total development costs of these projects are just under \$300 million, and as is true for many of the projects funded by CHF in previous years, most of these projects under consideration for funding today would not be able to move forward without our CHF funds. The recommended awards today are for \$45.4 million, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

MICHAEL NAFT

Mr. Chair, not so much a question. Just to thank you for putting in all of the work that got us to this point. This is a historic moment, a significant amount of dollars being invested in affordable housing in this community and projects as you suggest that are, we certainly hope ready to go or will be ready to go shortly but will add to our much-needed inventory.

I would just ask, there were some other applicants that were really close on the threshold, perhaps with more dollars would have also been included in this. So, I just wanted, I guess for the record, to understand that those projects could come back. We wouldn't have to throw them out necessarily. They could come back if there were more dollars available in the program. Obviously, within a very short period of time. If this goes on for a longer period of time, you'd have to go back out again.

KEVIN SCHILLER

And I think, Commissioner, you're referencing also the public hearing section, under the CDAC (Community Development Advisory Committee) recommendations.

MICHAEL NAFT

Oh, I'm sorry. I'm ahead of — so excited to talk about this, I'm ahead of a couple items.

KEVIN SCHILLER

So, I think we'll get to that in the public hearing.

MICHAEL NAFT

All right. Well, I'll reserve my thoughts for that item then. Thank you. Sorry.

KEVIN SCHILLER

But Chair, your comments are correct.

MICHAEL NAFT

I was wondering why everybody was looking at each other. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Is this a vote or is this just a —

MOTION

MICHAEL NAFT

That was a convoluted motion for approval.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Motion. Okay, all right. So, in response to the convoluted motion of approval, please cast your vote. And while we're voting, I want to point out that the \$45 million we're spending, and it was going to be \$300 million in actual economic activity. So, it's a huge benefit for the County and for our citizens.

VOTE

VOTING AYE: Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

VOTING NAY: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

TICK SEGERBLOM

That motion passes.

46. Receive an update on the public engagement and stakeholder outreach process for the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) amendment; and direct staff accordingly. (For possible action)

ACTION: RECEIVED/STAFF DIRECTED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Okay. Commissioners, Item 46 is to receive an update on the public engagement and stakeholder outreach process for the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) amendment; and direct staff accordingly, and Director Marci Henson is here for a brief presentation.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

MARCI HENSON

Good morning, Commissioners. Marci Henson, Director of the Department of Environment and Sustainability. And here with me today is Kimberley Goodwin, Principal Environmental Specialist who oversees the day-to-day operations of the Desert Conservation Program. At your September 3, 2024, meeting, staff provided an update on the amendment to the Clark County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, which is a required component of a revised permit to comply with the Federal Endangered Species Act. At that time, the Board directed staff to undertake a public engagement process on the amendment and to report back to you in the first quarter of 2025. Ms. Goodwin has completed that process and is here today to present the report, and we can answer any questions that you have and receive direction on staff recommendations to move forward.

KIMBERLEY GOODWIN

Good morning, Commissioners. Kimberley Goodwin, Principal Environmental Specialist for the Desert Conservation Program in the Department of Environment and Sustainability. Our objective today is to provide a summary of the public engagement process for the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan amendment, or MSHCP amendment, to provide an overview of the feedback we heard during that process and to receive direction on the MSHCP amendment. I'm going to summarize why we are pursuing an amendment and why now, then I'll provide an overview of the engagement activities and highlight some of the feedback we heard, then discuss potential next steps.

So, why amend and why now? First, to provide a little context on what a Habitat Conservation Plan is. A Habitat Conservation Plan is a required component of a Federal Endangered Species Act, Incidental Take Permit Application. The Habitat Conservation Plan is a document that describes anticipated effects of a proposed activity such as housing and commercial development, and how an applicant will avoid, minimize, and mitigate the effects of those activities to the maximum extent practicable. An Incidental Take Permit is a type of permit under the Endangered Species Act that is issued to non-federal entities, in this case Clark County, when it is believed that otherwise lawful activities like development may result in impacts to species that are protected under the Endangered Species Act.

So, the Clark County MSHCP and Incidental Take Permit provide a streamlined permitting process for all non-federal development activities in Clark County. The current Incidental Take Permit is a 30-year permit that became effective on February 1, 2001. So, it is set to expire in a little under six years from now, in 2031. The permit authorizes up to 145,000 acres of non-federal development in Clark County in exchange for implementing the Clark County MSHCP. And as of January 2025, the permittees have reported nearly 129,000 acres of disturbance, which represents approximately 89% of the total number of acres that are authorized for development under the permit.

Over the 24-year history of the permit, we have experienced an average annual rate of development of 5,370 acres per year, with a little over 3,000 acres reported in just 2024. So, with just over 16,000 acres remaining on the current permit and considering the average historical rate of development, we are on track to run out of permitted acres in three to five years, which means we may run out of acres before the permit term ends in 2031.

So, what happens if we do nothing and let the current MSHCP expire? Absent a regional permit, developers would still be required to comply with the Federal Endangered Species Act, which means they would be required to consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and develop individual project level habitat conservation plans to obtain their own Incidental Take Permit.

Individual permits can take several months to complete for a small project, and for large complex projects like a master planned community, this process can sometimes take years. The cost of an individual permit is also typically much higher than a regional permit, like the MSHCP. The cost of a Habitat Conservation Plan for small projects can be a few hundred thousand dollars, whereas large development projects like that master planned community can cost millions of dollars. If we no longer provide this streamlined regional approach to Endangered Species Act permitting, this will result in significant impacts to the local economy by dramatically decreasing the pace of development and will also result in that development being more costly.

This will also increase the cost of housing by artificially increasing scarcity as developers work through what will become a bottleneck in the development permitting process. So, recognizing this in 2007, the Board directed staff to begin working on developing an amendment to the current MSHCP so that the streamlined permitting process could continue beyond 2031. And today, the draft MSHCP amendment application package is nearly complete. A few final data analyses are underway, and the Fish and Wildlife Service has begun reviewing draft chapters to provide early feedback. Our target date for submitting the application package is spring of 2026. However, there are still two significant pieces that are needed to make the application package complete.

First is that the reserve system needs to be in place, and to achieve that, we are pursuing both a legislative path by having these areas designated in the proposed Southern Nevada Economic Development and Conservation Act, and we are pursuing an administrative path through the BLM Land Use Planning process to get these lands designated as mitigation for the MSHCP amendment.

The second critical path item is that our application package must show that we have a guaranteed revenue source that will fully fund the plan. One of two potential ways that we can do this is through an amendment to Nevada Revised Statutes to allow us to increase the per acre fee for development. This is a fee that has been in place since the early 1990s and has never been adjusted. Our next opportunity for doing that is of course in the 2027 legislative session.

The other potential option for funding the plan would be through an amendment to the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act, to receive a portion of the land sale proceeds similar to the current model that is used by the Clark County School District and the Southern Nevada Water Authority. So, on September 3 of last year, the Board directed staff to initiate a public engagement and stakeholder outreach process for the proposed amendment. We worked with a neutral third-party facilitator throughout this process. Our outreach efforts kicked off in November when the facilitator began conducting stakeholder interviews to gather background information to better understand what the important issues were from stakeholders' perspective, areas of concern, and any additional information that they would need to understand the proposed amendment.

Two public information sessions were held in January. At these meetings, we provided an overview of the MSHCP and the amendment process and gathered initial feedback and input. Following the public information sessions, we held a series of focus group meetings. These were in-depth sessions with technical and subject matter experts where we explored specific components of the draft plan. Focus group meetings were held with people who had an interest in development, conservation and recreation. And finally, we held an additional focus group with other government agencies.

Specific plan components that were discussed included the proposed covered species list, biological goals and objectives of the plan, avoidance and minimization measures, the proposed reserve system monitoring and adaptive management, the permit fee and funding analysis, and the overall process timeline and critical path tasks.

Two final public information sessions were held following these focus group meetings. These public meetings included a presentation from the facilitator to share findings from the interviews and focus groups and included an opportunity to gather any additional feedback from participants, and to provide information on next steps. Finally, a summary report has been prepared and is now available on the MSHCP amendment webpage and was also included with the backup materials for this agenda item.

So next, I'll go over a few key highlights from the feedback we heard. Regarding the covered species list, we heard that there was no concern about the proposed shorter list; however, we did receive recommendations to consider some additional species. For biological goals and objectives, some people were interested in ensuring that the plan took climate change into account, and we also received recommendations to incorporate new information that has been published since these were initially drafted. For the proposed avoidance and minimization measures, we heard that some project design measures were unclear and should be better defined, and developers also expressed concern about whether the timing of species-specific surveys would potentially impact the development process.

Regarding the proposed reserve system, we received suggestions to add areas to the reserve system, and we also received suggestions to reduce the overall size of the reserve system. And we heard concern from recreation user groups who wanted to know whether the designation of the reserve system would impact recreational opportunities.

When discussing the monitoring and adaptive management component, we received suggestions to consider specific monitoring techniques, and when discussing the permit fee and funding analysis, we heard from developers that they were concerned about the proposed increase to the fee. And conversely, we heard from conservation groups that there was some concern that the proposed fee was not high enough to accomplish the goals and objectives of the plan.

And finally, we received feedback about the amendment process itself. Specifically, we heard that there was concern about the feasibility of getting the reserve system designated in time, and we heard that there was concern about getting Nevada Revised Statutes amended to allow for an increased development fee. We also heard that stakeholders would like to stay informed about the MSHCP amendment process and would like additional opportunity to provide feedback on the draft.

Overall though, everyone we spoke to expressed that they did not want to see the current permit expire and to end up in a situation without a replacement where developers must obtain individual permits. We heard that there is a need to provide continuing education about what the MSHCP is, why it is important and what it has achieved. And we heard that stakeholders would like to stay informed about MSHCP activities and be afforded additional opportunities to review future drafts.

So, some recommended next steps, if so directed. Staff recommends that we refine the draft MSHCP based on the stakeholder input where feasible and also based on best available science and the regulatory requirements under the Act. We recommend that we convene a work group to further refine processes for carrying out avoidance and minimization measures like some of those species specific surveys, and to draft code updates that will be necessary to carry out these measures. We recommend continuing to provide engagement opportunities for stakeholders and to increase coordination with the

Bureau of Land Management as time and resources allow. Then we recommend finalizing the MSHCP amendment and preparing the Incidental Take Permit Application.

Finally, we would then return to the Board in late 2025 or early 2026 to seek authorization to submit that application package to the Fish and Wildlife Service. Once that application package is submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, they will analyze the impacts of issuing a new Incidental Take Permit by preparing an Environmental Impact Statement. Before a new permit can be issued, we must have a complete application package that includes the reserve system and funding assurances. And the Fish and Wildlife Service initially advised us that they could accept the application and begin working on the draft Environmental Impact Statement while we continued to work on these, but that they would need to be in place before they publish the notice of availability for the draft. However, since the time that I turned in this presentation for this discussion, the Fish and Wildlife Services actually revised their position on that and have now stated that the reserve system and funding assurances need to be in place before they will accept the application and begin working on the Environmental Impact Statement.

So, this may result in extending the length of time remaining to secure a new permit, particularly if our funding assurances rely on amending NRS, and our next opportunity to do that is in the 2027 legislative session. It should be noted that the entire environmental impact statement process from publication of the notice of intent in the Federal Register to the record of decision and issuance of a new permit is a two-year process. So, once we submit a complete application package, there's still another two-year process to go that needs to be completed before we can be issued a new Incidental Take Permit. And thank you for your time, and I'll take any questions.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Kirkpatrick.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

Thank you. And thank you for all the work that you've done. This is not new, right? We've been trying to get it in the Public Lands Bill for about six years, if I remember correctly. But now, we're at a deadline where we're going to meet a crossroads if we don't have the funding to do it.

So first, I want to thank your Department for all the work that goes into this because we don't have to do this. We can allow people to do their own thing. It'd be a lot more costly than if we did it regionally. So, I guess, I'm perplexed on how do they think that, I mean, you got to have your funding which is in place in order to move forward.

We have to go to the legislature because somebody put it in the legislative, and it must've been from when we determined that the tortoises were there. But, so, how are we going to get to the legislature quick enough in order to get the application in in time? I guess, I'm wondering how that works. In all those stakeholders, are they on our team to go help do that or do they want to go out on their own? It didn't sound like they wanted to go out on their own.

KIMBERLEY GOODWIN

No, that was not the feedback that we heard during the process, that nobody was interested in doing an individual project level approach. So, we have drafted a draft bill request that was considered for the 2025 legislative session. It was not selected to be submitted, but we have that queued and ready to go for the 2027 legislative session.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

And so then, it's a must at that point, right? Unless we can get it into the Public Lands Bill that allows us —

KIMBERLEY GOODWIN

Correct.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

I just want to be clear on what the expectation is because I think that this is, at least why I wanted you guys to go out and start talking to people because they have to know what the ramifications are at the end of the day. You all could probably have eight more hours back into your week if we took this away from you, and probably be happy about it, right? But I'm not sure that it's good for the overall cause. So, I just wanted to be clear, and at least in my mind, we need to move forward, and we need to continue to ask that this be in the Public Lands Bill if it should move forward. And we also need to, if we go to the legislature, I hope there's an army of people behind us because if it expires, no good comes from that. So, that's my thoughts. Not really a question.

JUSTIN JONES

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just to clarify, the 30-year cap, that is only taking into consideration currently just lands within the disposal boundaries? So we, as you said, could hit that before the 30-year time period regardless of the expansion of the disposal boundary and the lands bill, correct?

MARCI HENSON

Correct.

KIMBERLEY GOODWIN

Yes. So just to clarify, the permit does cover lands within the disposal boundary, but it also covers any privately owned property outside of disposal boundaries as well. And, yeah, so the permit expires in 2031 or when we reach the permit cap, whichever comes first.

JUSTIN JONES

Right. Thanks.

TICK SEGERBLOM

See no one else, do we have to make? Just information only. Thank you so much.

MARCI HENSON

So, I do want to clarify that we have direction to move forward on the next steps as staff proposed. Is that correct? Great, thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. Thank you.

47. Receive a presentation from Business License and Code Enforcement regarding Short-Term Rentals. (For possible action)

ACTION: RECEIVED (STAFF DIRECTED TO NOTIFY THE PLATFORMS OF THE REQUIREMENT TO BE LICENSED NO LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 1, 2025; AND START ENFORCEMENT).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, we can now — Oh, go ahead. Commissioners, we can now move back to the business section of your agenda. Moving back, Item 47 is to receive a presentation from Business License and Code Enforcement regarding short-term rentals.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

VINCENT QUEANO

Morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. Vince Queano, Director of Business License. Staff was directed by the Board to provide a presentation on Short-Term Rental, also known as STR Licensing and Enforcement.

First part of the presentation will be presented by our Chief of Code Enforcement, Jim Anderson. Then I'll present the second portion of the presentation, which would include a licensing update and a few recommendations. Thank you.

JIM ANDERSON

Good morning, Mr. Chair.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

JIM ANDERSON

Commissioners, Jim Anderson with Code Enforcement. Appreciate the opportunity to let you know our update on enforcement and what we're doing with short-term rentals. So, I want to talk about our enforcement team, which we've named the STREET Team. It's the Short-Term Rental Enforcement Education Team. We started this in February of 2018, and this team is almost fully focused on just enforcing short-term rentals. That is what they do. 80% of the time, they are working on short-term rentals.

We have seven code enforcement specialists that are part of that team. We have one office specialist and one supervisor. Presently, we're handling short-term rentals on a reactive basis, which means we get a complaint from somebody in the community saying that there is a short-term rental going on in my neighborhood and it's causing a problem, and we'd like you to address it. And so, we receive complaints through a number of ways, through the Fix-It app, through phone calls, people can do it through emails. And we also have set up a 24-hour hotline.

Now, the advantage of this hotline is that for all the licensed rentals, one of the requirements to have a short-term rental license is that you have to have a local representative who is available to respond to complaints on those properties. So, if somebody has a problem going on in their neighborhood and they call the 24-hour hotline, that person on the hotline will look up the property. If it is licensed, they will contact that local representative and they will come out and address those. They're required to respond within 30 minutes and address the violations on that property.

Once we get a complaint, we do investigation to try to verify that the complaint exists. So, a large part of our complaints right now are based on being an unlicensed rental. We've received a couple of complaints since we've started licensing, but they're very few and far between. Most of them are for the actual unlicensed rentals on the properties.

So, we verify complaints by finding the advertisement, showing that it is actually advertising as a short-term rental, which is a violation. That's one of the pieces that we put in place when Title 7 was updated with short-term rentals, is that merely advertising short-term is a violation. The other things we do is we go out to the properties, we knock on doors, we try to get verification that the people staying on the property are renting short-term. And once we confirmed that it is being used as an illegal short-term rental, we show a notice of violation to that property owner and giving them time to comply and to cease operating.

If the owner fails to stop running short-term rentals on the property, the fines can be \$1,000 to \$10,000 a day. And that was put in place by the legislature when they mandated that the County license short-term rentals. So that's the fine structure that's out there. And so that could be every day is a \$1,000 to \$10,000 fine.

We recently updated Title 1 in February with our Title 10 Animal Codes. And as part of that, we updated our language to allow us to be able to place liens on the property for these fines, which is going to be a significant tool to gaining compliance. And the second part of that, with the liens, is now we will be able to put large fines that exceed \$5,000 and meet all the other criteria and in the NRS, we can put those as a special assessment on the tax roll. So, when folks get their tax bills who have been running short-term rentals for years and years, some of these folks, they will now receive all the fines on that bill to be paid for us.

So, we're very hopeful and excited with these new implementations that these will be kind of game-changers for our long ongoing problem properties, probably the ones that you're hearing about. So, we're looking forward to that happening this year.

I want to give you just an update on some statistics. So, since the inception of STREET in 2018, we've received 5,724 — we've opened that many cases. We've received far more complaints, but it's multiple properties over and over that we're receiving complaints about. So, 5,700 complaints. We currently have 590 open short-term rental cases, and I'm really proud to say that 93% of all of our short-term rental cases are closed without fines. As with everything we do in code enforcement, we really strive to get voluntary compliance through education. And so, I think these numbers show that even with short-term rentals, which folks can be really upset about when it's going on in their neighborhoods, we're still closing most of our cases without issuing any fines.

Unfortunately, it's the more egregious folks, like I said earlier, that you're hearing from where owners are being very stubborn, they continue to operate, and those are the challenges that we have that again, the tax roll for the fines should hopefully have a significant impact on that.

And then finally, I just want to talk about what's next for us, what we want to do. We've been reactive in our enforcement up to this point. We want to start doing proactive enforcement. So, our office contracts with a vendor, and what this vendor does is they scrape advertisements on all the host platforms, and they match up addresses to the short-term rental ads. So, if you've ever looked on any of the platforms, if you've ever rented a short-term rental property anywhere, you notice that you don't get the address. You have a picture on a map. The picture never shows you the front of the house. It'll show you the inside of the house, it'll show you the backyard. So, the system is set up and designed so that we don't necessarily know where these addresses are just looking on these websites. And so our vendor, this is what they do, is they identify those addresses and then they also capture evidence of the short-term rental advertising. So, they will verify that they do, in fact, rent for short-term. They'll take screenshots of those, and it's all saved under those addresses which is a great enforcement tool for us. That gives us the ability to be able to search these by address or by map.

And so our plan with proactive enforcement will be to target areas where we have either a concentration of short-term rentals in areas and then secondly, where we're receiving a number of complaints in neighborhoods that are just inundated or some of these larger mansion-type properties where just by the nature of what they're renting, tons and tons of people congregate and cause problems in these communities. And so, we're working on that right now. Title 1 update with the liens and the tax roll process was the last piece of that puzzle we were waiting for before we went proactive on enforcement. So that completes my portion of the presentation, and I will hand it over to Director Queano.

VINCENT QUEANO

Vince Queano again for the record. So, to provide a licensing update, the first license was issued August 2024. As of March 2025, 174 business licenses have been approved, 515 applications are pending, and 141 licenses have been denied.

There are 169 denial notices that business license sent to applicants the week of March 24, 2025. The denials are due to distance separation between short-term rentals. The current distance restriction is 1000 feet between short-term rentals. The state minimum is 660 feet.

7.100.050, titled Limitation on the Number of Short-term Rental Licenses. So those shall be a minimum of one short-term rental on each unincorporated area, but no more than 1% of the available housing rounded down to the nearest whole number. In 2021, the original 1% of available housing was 2,826 units. The latest data available is for 2024, which indicates 1% of the available housing is 2,940 units. So, there's an increase of 114 units.

So, some code revision suggestions. 7.100.080, Ineligible Residential Units. This is the requirement that short-term rentals must be connected to a municipal wastewater system. The requirement is to be connected at the time of application, so the suggestion is to make the requirement upon licensure. We've seen some applicants that are in the process of connecting to a municipal wastewater system and might not be completed until the actual license is issued.

7.100.090, Short-Term Rental License Application Requirements. This is for the general liability insurance in the amount of at least \$500,000 per occurrence that indicates that the property used for transient lodging. Again, the suggestion is to make the requirement for the general liability insurance at the time of licensure and not at the time of application.

7.100.100, The Application Procedures. There is a requirement that the department shall use a random number generator program for the application process. And the suggestion is to create a process other than the random number generator. The random number generator process is very time-consuming, utilizes significant resources, and it's pretty high in cost. Some of the possible options is to take applications on a first come, first serve basis and then maintain a list of interested short-term rental applicants until the 1% is reached. So that concludes my presentation.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Jones.

JUSTIN JONES

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Chief Anderson for the update on an enforcement. I think it's been substantial steps in the right direction. I know we're still having some issues with the platforms and are trying to resolve those amicably and we will resolve them un-amicably if necessary.

Mr. Queano, thank you for the update on the licensing. With 515 applications pending, what resources do you need to more quickly get through those applications to process them?

VINCENT QUEANO

On an average, we did the math, Commissioner. Vince Queano, again, for the record. On an average, we're processing, we're licensing six short-term rental units a week. So with 500, just with basic math, it would probably take approximately 1.5 years.

But then again, as we license each short-term rental unit, it creates that 1000-foot buffer, so there could be several hundred that may be denied in the future, which would make the licensing overall shorter. So I mean, just definitely maybe moving forward for the application, maybe just making some of those changes as far as the requirements for the connection to the wastewater system and also the general liability insurance requirement to make that at the time of licensure and not at the time of application.

JUSTIN JONES

Okay. I think they're reasonable suggestions. With regards to the application procedure, would that suggested change help with the slow time to process applications? Or it's still going to be you have to go through the same process of doing the distance for every single license that that's coming through?

VINCENT QUEANO

That's correct, Commissioner. It would still have to go through it. We would still have to map out, GIS (Geographic Information System) would still have to map out, the short-term rental units and make sure that they're within the distance requirements between short-term rentals and resort hotels.

JUSTIN JONES

Okay. With regards to the platforms, I know we've been on calls with them, and some have said that they are open to moving forward with back-end enforcement and others have said they're less interested in back-end enforcement. What do we need to do from a board policy level or ordinance level in order to set a date for enforcement so that we don't get continual pushback? That we haven't finished our process when we know that even with the applications that are in process right now, we're looking at a year and a half? And if we open it up to additional applications as suggested it could be two, three plus years. How do we start enforcement sooner on the backend?

VINCENT QUEANO

Vince Queano for the record. We do have 174 licensed STRs (Short-Term Rental) now, so I think it would be appropriate to mandate the platforms to become licensed.

JUSTIN JONES

Okay. Do you have a date in mind for providing the notice to the platforms so that they understand that they need to come into compliance, get licensed themselves?

VINCENT QUEANO

Vince Queano for the record. Our standard notification when it comes to the business community is 60 to 90 days.

JUSTIN JONES

Okay. So, if we set a date to provide the notice and get the platforms themselves licensed, you'd say probably August 1, September 1, would be a good timeframe to target?

VINCENT QUEANO

September 1.

JUSTIN JONES

September 1? Okay. All right. I just suggest to the Board, we're not enforcing on the backend. The platforms push back on being able to provide the information on the backend for short-term rentals based on the fact that we haven't fully processed the applications. And time's up on this. We need to start enforcing on the backend.

So, I just suggest to the Board and to provide direction to the staff to move forward with giving notification that the platforms will be required to be licensed no later than September 1 and start enforcement.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Can we do that? Or is that —

LISA LOGSDON

I think that's direction to Vince. I mean, it's already in the code, so I think that's good direction as long as there's not any opposition from the Board. That would be direction to Vince as the Department Head to start enforcing that ordinance.

TICK SEGERBLOM

I support it for sure. Anyone else have any questions? I had a question. So, our taxes are being paid and do we see those or do they go straight to the State? Where do they go?

VINCENT QUEANO

Well, it's a transient lodging tax, Mr. Chair. We do collect the transient lodging tax and then it gets distributed to the different entities.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Do we have any idea how much we've brought in?

VINCENT QUEANO

Vince Queano for the record —

TICK SEGERBLOM

That's a trick question.

VINCENT QUEANO

I'll research that, Mr. Chair, and get back to you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right, thank you. Anyone else have any questions? And I appreciate Commissioner Jones bringing this to our attention and obviously the platforms need to step up, so thank you.

48. Receive a presentation from the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District about their various programs and services. (For possible action)

ACTION: RECEIVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 48 is to receive a presentation from the Las Vegas Clark County Library District about their various programs and services.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning, Mr. Watson.

KELVIN WATSON

Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Commissioners, staff, and guests. I'm Kelvin Watson. I'm the Executive Director for the Las Vegas Clark County Library District, and it is my honor again to join you today for a brief look at some of the Library Districts' work during the second half of 2024 and so far in 2025.

During our last fiscal year, and now in our current one, the Library District continues to emphasize early childhood learning as well as STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics) classes, arts, and cultural performances. We continue to offer hands-on access to technology as well as health and wellness resources. And, of course, our robust job skills and Adult Education Program.

We hosted more than 36,000 programs, which was attended by 817,000 residents, and there were 1.8 million visits to the Library District's website. Our library cardholders checked out more than 11 million items from our vast collection, which included games, toys, our park and museum passes, laptops, CDs, DVDs, magazines, comics, and of course books in all forms, including hardcover, audiobooks, plus you have the ability to download them in digital form from our website or from our mobile app.

We are very excited about the rapid progress that's being made on the construction of our new West Las Vegas Library located at 1861 North Martin Luther King Boulevard. But before we talk a little bit more about what's happening there, let's take a quick look at the 50-year History of the historic West Side Library. The original library opened as a storefront on D Street in 1973. Our current location is on West Lake Mead Boulevard, and it opened in 1989. Our new 21 century library where we're nearly going to triple the size of the current location to 40,000 square feet. It will include space for exciting new programming and technology, plus we have an outdoor activity space and something that I personally experienced that we are going to have ample parking available at the new library. The grand opening is currently slated for Q1 of 2026.

As you can see from our list here, the new West Las Vegas Library will truly be a 21 century library. We're expanding our children's service areas to include more early childhood learning. We're going to have a tween area for middle school kids, and of course an expanded teen zone, all of which will feature robust afterschool activities and so much more. If you'd like a personal tour, please contact me and I will certainly make that available to you.

We're also extremely proud of our Summer Challenge Reading and Activities Programs for babies, kids, and teens, which now includes a separate program for adults. Both programs feature fantastic incentive prizes for all ages. Thanks to our generous partners, that includes the Vegas Golden Knights, the Las Vegas Raiders, the NBA (National Basketball Association) Summer League, and many more. We're in the process of planning this year's Summer Challenge Program, but I'd like to share with you that our 2024 program saw impressive gains thanks to a unique direct mail campaign that we implemented to reach and attract those who don't currently have a library card.

Registrations for our 2024 Summer Challenge increased by 57% year-over-year. We also completed activities. Those activities increased by 31%. Total minutes spent reading increased by 26%. And 14% of our direct mail recipients signed up for a library card. We sent that mailer out to 60,000 households.

And speaking of partnerships, I'd also like to take a moment to share just a few important partnerships and what they have brought and bring to the Library District. The NBA Summer League provides us with a drawing for dozens of family four-pack ticket giveaways. We use these four packs as early signup incentives to get parents thinking about Summer Challenge in early May before school lets out. And of course, our longest running partnership is with the Vegas Golden Knights who've been with us since the team was established here. The Golden Knights provide us with thousands of co-branded T-shirts, which we use as incentive prizes for Summer Challenge and other promotions throughout the year, as well as VGK (Vegas Golden Knights) player bookmarks, which featured players' favorite books, movies, and music that they can check out at the library. The team also supports our VGK library card, and we invite our Outreach Department, they conduct signups during pregame events at Toshiba Plaza.

And now the Las Vegas Raiders have joined us this year. We kicked this off with our new partnership with the Raiders with a special story time at our Whitney Library Branch for more than 100 local students featuring Raiders executives and children's author Kiara Martinez. The Raiders provide us with thousands of co-branded T-shirts as well, which we also use as incentive prizes for Summer Challenge and other promotions throughout the year. We've also launched our Raiders library card plus a special bookmark featuring mascot Raider Rusher and his favorite books, movies, and music that you can check out from the library as well.

Continuing with our focus on children's literacy, we partnered with the Sunrise Children's Hospital, and we created a unique introduction to the Library District. With funding from a federal grant, we developed our first library gift boxes for new mothers and caregivers. These boxes are filled with educational items that introduce the library and importance of early learning and literacy in the first five years of a child's life.

In another partnership that was a result of my presentation last year, in partnership with the Clark County Parks and Rec Department, we worked directly with Director Hernandez and his team to create the Passport to Discovery QR code posters. These posters introduced the library and its resources to visitors at different Clark County Community Centers. Right now, we have these at six of our community centers.

Our long partnership with the Three Square Food Bank also continues with more than 37,600 meals served at 11 branches over the past three years. These include our hosting of the following Three Square programs: Meet Up and Eat Up for kids up to age 18 during the school year; Kids Cafe for up to age 18 during the summer; and our Senior Community Lunch and Social Hour for ages 60 plus, offering crafts, lectures, games, films, and the importance of staying connected and making new friends.

In closing, I'd like to briefly share another new initiative that we have going on. I hope you've started seeing our new Library District license plates as you drive around town. They're available now at the DMV (Department of Motor Vehicles) on the website, as well as in their locations. And proceeds from these plates help the library continue with some of our essential programming.

And we have our new Library District app. It puts the power of the library in the palm of your hands. It provides immediate convenient access to library resources, services, and events from an iPhone or Android device.

And I'm also thrilled to share that this year the Library District is celebrating our 60 anniversary of service to the community. The Las Vegas Park County Library District was originally formed in 1965 in order to consolidate services and create efficiencies. We're kicking off our District-wide 60 anniversary celebration next week, April 6 through 12, which actually coincides with the National Library Week. Our 25 branches will each hold their own unique anniversary celebration with lots of giveaways, activities, and fun for all ages. I invite you all to stop by a few of our branches to help us in our celebration. To find the celebration dates of each branch, you can go to TheLibraryDistrict.org/60th-anniversary.

Thank you again, as always, for allowing me this opportunity to share with you what we have going on and what we've accomplished at the Library District. Our Library District and the team is proud to serve you and partner with you. And feel free to be. Feel free to be. I wore my free to be pin this morning, across all of our branches and we will continue to find ways to inspire, educate, and uplift the diverse neighborhoods that we all serve.

And if you do not have your library card, I just talked about a few of them, I encourage you to get carded by stopping by your nearest library branch or by going to our website for an online library card. That's the end of my presentation, Chair.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you.

KELVIN WATSON

I'll take any questions.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Any comments? I would just — Oh, you have a comment? Yes, Commissioner McCurdy.

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you Director Watson for the work that you're doing. I got to say that you've transformed how people view libraries within the community all across Southern Nevada. And the innovation that you've approached this role with and the partnerships that you've created are truly going to change lives.

And I, one plug, I'm going to definitely check out the library plate. I think that's pretty cool. And also the app. But I want to know if we can connect you with UMC (University Medical Center of Southern Nevada). We'd love to see a partnership with UMC, particularly our children's hospital, or excuse me, our PEDs (Pediatric Emergency Department) Department there. And I want to make sure that we show some love for UMC, too. Appreciate you.

KELVIN WATSON

Speaking of that Vice Chair, Commissioner McCurdy, we are starting that conversation with UMC. We actually are in the process of receiving an additional \$100,000 from the State Library actually to expand that, My First Library. And we're going to be expanding it to additional hospitals with those funds.

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

That's cool. And we got to find some land for you in the east side or somewhere so we can get a library in the northwest. Principal Amador, I know he's going to hear this and is going to call me and thank me for bringing that up.

KELVIN WATSON

All right.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Yes, please. Our next focus is east Las Vegas. And also, I want to congratulate you. You don't look 60 years old. Anyone else have any comments? Thank you so much.

KELVIN WATSON

All right, thank you. Thank you, as always.

49. Receive a report from the Clark County School District regarding their 2024 Quarter 4 Municipality Report. (For possible action)

ACTION: RECEIVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 49 is to receive a report from the Clark County School District regarding their 2024 Quarter 4 Municipality report.

CELESE RAYFORD

Chair Segerblom, County Commissioners, Celese Rayford, Region 2 Superintendent, Clark County School District. I'm here today to share a brief update.

The written report contents reflect disaggregated data that was presented to our Board of Trustees during the fourth calendar year quarter of 2024, October through December. Topics include proficiency and proficiency gaps, Nevada School Performance Framework, advanced coursework, diversity and achievement, chronic absenteeism, and student behavior.

Proficiency Rates for grades three through five are 39.2% in English Language Arts, with grade three reading at 36.8%. Student group gaps persist particularly for ELL (English Language Learner) and Hispanic Latino students. Mathematics grades three through five proficiency is 33.7% with achievement gaps evident for students eligible for special education and economically disadvantaged groups. Science grade five proficiency is 11.2% with slight improvement in grade eight at 29.7%. 33.3% of schools are rated three stars or higher. Dual enrollment participation is strong with diverse representation across most student groups.

Performance remains consistent with many students excelling in these courses. Unincorporated Clark County has moderate AP (Advanced Placement) enrollment with Hispanic Latino students making up a significant portion. However, participation from ELL students remains low, CTE (Career and Technical Education) programs are more widely utilized in unincorporated Clark County schools. IB (International Baccalaureate) participation is modest. Chronic absenteeism is 21.8%, above the District average.

Behavioral incidents, including suspensions and expulsions, vary significantly across schools. In terms of celebrations, on March 25, the Clark County School District participated in the statewide Nevada Move Celebration, which highlighted the benefits of safe walking and biking to school, as well as events that promoted physical activity. Six Clark County schools were recognized for excelling in STEM education with designations made by the Governor's Office of Science, Innovation, and Technology.

Durango High School and Goolsby Elementary School represent unincorporated Clark County. The other schools included were Arbor View High School, Garrett Junior High School, Garside Junior High School, and Johnston STEM Academy of Environmental Studies.

Lastly, a West Career & Technical Academy senior won a new car after winning a road safety essay contest sponsored by Sam and Ash Law Firm. Students were invited to write and submit an essay on what does safe driving mean to you. This concludes the report. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Anyone have any comments? You have a comment? No? I think you're lucky. Commissioner Kirkpatrick stepped out, so thank you so much. Oh, I apologize. When does the new superintendent start?

CELESE RAYFORD

There is not an effective date currently. They're still working on contract negotiations, and I think probably Trustee Satori can speak a little bit more to that.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Okay, great. Thank you so much.

50. Receive a report from Clark County's Non-Voting Member on the Clark County School District Board of Trustees. (For possible action)

ACTION: RECEIVED.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 50 is to receive a report from Clark County's non-voting member on the Clark County School District Board of Trustees.

LISA SATORI

Good morning.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Good morning.

LISA SATORI

Thank you for having me. Lisa Satori, Appointed Trustee for Clark County, for the record. All right, let's see if my presentation is up there. Oh, good. First one. Okay. All right.

Obviously, a lot has happened since the last time we were together. So, as you all are aware of the most important and biggest news is the appointment of our new superintendent, Jhone Ebert. So that is very exciting to have that taking place. The question about when she will be beginning, when her start date will be, we are looking and we're putting on the next board agenda to approve our board council and some of those things. So, we are looking to expedite it as quickly as possible. So, definitely want to get her in place soon. During April was really the goal.

So, I did want to highlight some of the things that in her presentations she shared with us of some of the key things that she's going to be focused on. And some of the key concerns and some that were just really

stood out to me was one that CCSD (Clark County School District) leadership is transparent in their communication. The amount of staff that agrees with that, it was 32%. So that is incredibly low, and so I was glad to see when we had the Rancho Town Hall panels and the community panels and such, see her communicating with our staff, see how she interacted with them and just was speaking their language. And it was really hopeful to me. I thought she really was connecting with them, and I thought that's what we need. We need somebody that's going to be communicating with our staff more effectively than 32%. I'm proud of CCSD. The number of teachers and support staff that agree with that statement is 29%. So that is, again, these are, like I said, administrators are a little higher, support staff a little higher, but teachers really are not feeling appreciated. They're not feeling valued, they're not feeling communicated with. And that's really something that Superintendent Ebert spoke to a lot of what we need to do to get to change this. And changing these numbers will have a direct impact on some of those other numbers we're talking about as far as our proficiency rates, our graduation rates and stuff. Because when you have teachers that aren't happy and satisfied, that reflects straight to the students. I receive effect — This is from the parents. This is parent responses. So, parents would feel that way in general. Also, not quite as low as the teachers are feeling. And there are a lot of communication that goes out from the district, so we really have been working a lot on increasing the amount of communication that parents receive. But you can definitely see it has dropped from 2017. So that's a huge focus to strengthen our communication and that's something Superintendent believes in across the board, of strengthening our communication with all stakeholders will impact our students. She talks a lot about community partners as well, and the growth there that we're going to see of community partners that coming in to work with our students and providing internships and such. And her legislative connections at this time.

So, the superintendent search process was really — It was exciting to be a part of, I have to tell you. It was interesting. It was just so important to see. We had such amazing candidates that HYA (Hazard Young Attea and Associates) brought to us, all three. I think at different points throughout the process, I would think this person fits better and now maybe this person. So really it was a long process, but I loved the detail of it, of getting them from in front of families, multiple rounds of interviews, the amount of background search that was done as well. But then at the end of the day, as it all played out, it was powerful to see our entire Board vote unanimously for Superintendent Ebert.

Campus updates coming up. Just some things that are noteworthy right now that are going on. Indian Springs, I am headed to their town hall tonight. They have some concerns about the new building, the new campus that's being built. So particularly revolving around the gym, the weight room, just some questions they have that they don't feel were heard. So, I definitely will be attending that tonight and hope to help provide that community some information and support. South CTA (Career and Technical Academy), so Commissioner Naft and I were over there touring the new South CTA campus, which it looks amazing. So, they're opening next year with ninth grade. They've got some great CTE (Career and Technical Education) programs in place, beautiful campus.

And then Mountain's Edge. Mountain's Edge is one that was in discussion because the community does not agree with the model that's being put there. It's a small magnet school and they really want a larger comprehensive school there, so high school there with a full range of sports and those things. So, we did put Mountain's Edge on hold right now because we want to get some more information. There is a consulting firm that we've utilized, CannonDesigns, I believe is their name, to kind of give us a little bit better analysis of the valley where the needs are coming up, where the hotspots are to build. So, they're pretty much experts and have done that in a lot of large cities around the country. So that's what we want to see of where are some of the areas across our District where we're seeing the hotspots coming, the growth happening and some areas that it's declining and so we need to make those adjustments. So, they really dig pretty deep. So, for the time being now, right now that agenda item is tabled because we had the presentation for them. We're wanting to get more information about the process they're going to

go through, how it's going to impact the schools that were on that list currently to be rebuilt or renovated. So that topic is still tabled and in discussion, but Mountain's Edge for the time being is on hold until we can analyze it a little bit further and get more feedback.

And Lundy is moving forward. So, Lundy is doing well moving forward. From the last I've heard, we are still anticipating a start date of the first day of school that they will be in that building. So that is great news.

All right. We had some new board members join us and that has been a really positive transition. They've really jumped on board and been asking a lot of good questions and we've just all been getting to know each other and learn how to work well together. We did some bonding as a governance team. We worked with Dr. Alsbury who does balanced governance training and it was a fantastic training. I mean it was a two-day training where he really goes through what balanced governance is and the specifics of that model. A lot of people utilize the term balanced governance, but it's not really balanced governance. So great discussions, kind of situations that he worked with us of how to work together professionally, how to utilize balanced governance to make sure that we're making good decisions. And then also all of the superintendent finalists attended that balanced governance training as well because we wanted to make sure whoever we brought on was also familiar with that model and that we were all on board going into it, that that's what we were utilizing. And that's just some more information about balanced governance, but just the role that the Board plays from that top down of making sure if we're working together and developing that continuous improvement plan, how much it impacts the schools.

All right, so where we're headed. It's been really exciting, like I said, to see. So this balanced governance training, the meetings we've had since then have been, I felt like you could definitely tell the difference. I think it definitely set the decorum, set the amount of respect that we were having for one another and how we were working together. So I'm excited to now move forward with Superintendent Ebert in that role. She's very much on board with following that policy as well.

I wanted to share with you as well some of the reports that we had received in the past few board meetings. There's more, I won't go into great detail, but I'll just kind of summarize them a little bit and you can look at them further. If you would like any of the full reports, please let me know and I'll send them your way. I just took some key slides. So Yolanda King, she's the one who's doing our compliance monitoring. So with all of the budget issues that took place, she's doing our compliance monitoring. And so that's been really good to listen to her insight and then also the things she presents to us because she does it in a very simplified manner. So, there's a lot of things that you sort of understand of tip of the iceberg, but she really —

TICK SEGERBLOM

She learned well. Keep it simple.

LISA SATORI

Okay. And so this, right now, the main thing on this was the carryover and her talking to us about how carryover funds, what they are, carry forward, carryover funds, how they're getting the District into a lot of trouble because, and that's what she's researching now, of how many schools have misused carryover funds and how that's been impacting our budget because it really needs to be used for the non-recurring like short-term initiatives, tutoring, things like that. If you use it for staffing, then when the funds run out, you have that shortfall there. So that's one of the things she's looking into to try to help with our budget.

Reorganization is the other component, and again, I won't read all of this or go through it, but really just how that reorganization impacts our budget. So, she's really gone into a lot of detail of making sure that

everyone understands this, what decentralized, because we are decentralized budget, so each of our schools have their own budget that the principals have, those that autonomy over. But that's also where the importance of communication oversight and all of those things come in when you have that many different controllers of their own budgets.

Teachers Health Trust also presented. They have really made a big shift from many years ago from around 2021 it looks like. So, when I started with Teachers Health Trust, it was a fantastic program. And then we saw that decline over the years. They really come back really well to the point of getting out of debt and getting that repayment made to CCSD and now having a cash reserve of \$22.5 million. So, they gave a great report as well and just that of what a good place we're in. They're very transparent when they share as well. So, it's been appreciated.

And just the momentum of the Board, as I kind of said earlier, just the impact that this position has, I feel, had over the last year and three months. I mean, we've had the changes, the prior superintendent shedding light on a lot of practices, getting involved in Lundy, advocating for Board Council, unanimous vote for balanced governance, unanimous vote for the superintendent. The atmosphere of the boardroom has really changed. And so that's what we're really trying to keep that momentum going now as we move forward with our new superintendent. And obviously the Legislation, we're keeping an eye on and also our voting rights are a part of that Legislation as well. But things are really feeling positive right now. I mean obviously there's a lot of work to be done, a lot of things, but I think people working together is what's going to help start moving that needle. Questions?

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Kirkpatrick.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

I saved myself. So first I want to say thank you because when you took this job, you didn't know it was going to be a full-time, forty-hour week job. And I appreciate you representing unincorporated Clark County across the board, so I appreciate that. So, the superintendent things came about. I called and asked, "How come we didn't have a local government component?" And you guys, the Chair afforded us an opportunity. So, I will tell you that five of the County Commissioners were able to come in at some point. There were three City of Las Vegas folks and one from Henderson. So, I felt like people really went that extra step.

And one of the things that Ms. Ebert said in one of our questions were one open doors, open schools because none of us, not us, not you can afford to build more places for preschool, which there's a bill for universal preschool, where will we put them, Safekey opportunity, the kids get moved around all the time. And in the past, we had offered to partner with you all with our Parks and Rec Department so that we could spend our money more wisely. And now in today's environment, there is no money. So, we really want, and I think I'm speaking for most of the Board, we really do want that conversation brought back. We've been trying to do it since 2003 with nothing but attorneys saying all the reasons why we can't do it. And Ms. Ebert had said that maybe there was too many attorneys at the table and not enough people at the table. So, I hope that you'll keep that on the top of your mind because it is important to kids to have a safe place to go. It's important for our Safekey kids to know where they're going every day. And so that.

Two, the other question that was asked is really is there a value in a partnership with Clark County and the School District? And the reason we asked that question, because we see the same 90% of the kids. However, there's zero communication on real issues. And I like to use the example that we brought up at the beginning of last year, the School District, somebody at the School District, and not even sure that it

gets communicated from the mid-level to the high-level. So, we received a letter that says, "Hey, not for nothing. We are not busing kids from the navigation system to the closest school." And we said, "What do you mean? Why would you not bus 400 kids to this area?" We are providing backpacks. We are going the extra mile. We're providing backpacks, school supplies, wipes for the teachers, the whole nine yards. And so, when we went to the interim superintendent, she had no idea and that is my concern that we can't get back into that thing.

Did she rectify it? Because did I say, "Well then bus every single kid to their home school, which is required by federal law if you want to sound stupid." You know what I mean? So, I just want to make sure that this new superintendent can weed through. It's hard to change a culture that's been there forever, and I hope that she's the right person to do it. I'm cynical. I'm through my ninth superintendent since being a parent and a grandparent. So, I'm glad to hear everybody's talking about kids and it's no longer about the adults, but could you really keep us updated on things? Maybe we can help. Maybe we can say — Maybe we provide shoes. Every Commissioner does shoes at a school within a certain census track to your schools. I mean, we want to help. We want to be a partner, but we're not even at the table. We're just on the menu with you all. And so, I hope that Ms. Ebert keeps true to those things that she said. I think she was pretty open and honest, right? I'm looking at Commissioner Naft and McCurdy because the three of us were there at the same time.

MICHAEL NAFT

She's also looking at me because for the first time ever, Commissioner Kirkpatrick stopped me and said I was asking too many questions and speaking for too long.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

I did. I had to just give us a chance.

MICHAEL NAFT

So, I just want that recorded.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

So I mean, I'm glad we're on a good track, but as you guys move forward, we are the social service safety net of the community. Kids rely on us, and we can do so much more together as opposed to working apart. And as you know, the belts tighten on the money. It's better to be friends than enemies.

LISA SATORI

And I will definitely have those conversations.

MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK

And I'm just going to bring up one other thing is the Governor's Office called the Health District about their septic permit for Lundy. We don't have anything. I can't move anything I don't have. So, if you could let them know that they got to submit an application like everybody else because we want that school open in August. I don't want to speak for Commissioner Becker, but she's on the Health District now, so she'll be dogging it too.

APRIL BECKER

I also wanted to just thank you for everything you're doing, and it makes me very happy to hear things are moving in a positive direction and I will see you tonight in Indian Springs.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Gibson.

JIM GIBSON

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just one thing and we appreciate your service. When we went through the list of alternative folks to nominate, it was interesting how a couple of us, we can't get together more than a couple of us at a time, but a couple of us agreed that you would be a really good appointment and bring a different perspective.

I had a question for you though. So, it's been about a couple of decades that the Board determined that the governance would be based on policy. So it would be that the Board itself would establish policy and then everyone else would react to, or the staff and the management of the system would respond to the issues, but the Board would be isolated, I guess I would say. Now we have something called balanced governance. What's the difference between policy-based governance and balanced governance?

LISA SATORI

Well, and I think it is more — I mean my interpretation, we still have a lot of training to go through, the two-day training, but more of the decision, like the superintendent for example, can't unilaterally make decisions and have a rubber-stamping board that just screws all of those decisions, strategic planning or all a collaboration. So that's a big component of it as well, that it's not — And so in a lot of things that we've had in the past with these split votes and the things really trying to come to consensus on things, trying to appreciate the different arguments and then come to an agreement and then move forward with that. Once that agreement is made, we all move forward with it. So, it's really kind of like a lot of the expectations I guess set forth of how you're conducting yourself and how you're working together.

JIM GIBSON

So —

LISA SATORI

Obviously, there's policy. Policy is still a component of that. It's slightly more —

JIM GIBSON

Maybe what you could do in the future then is help us understand the nuances associated with the new approach to governance. It's helpful. In the old days you called your elected representative, and you complained about the zoning in a new school or you complained about the performance of the principal or the way the teacher was doing something. You're not getting any attention from the principal. Today up until, and I don't know, it may still be the same, but with policy-based governance, that call wasn't something that was the end of the conversation. You get referred to somebody who's a deputy superintendent or somebody who works in one of the divisions of the Clark County School District.

That's all fine. I don't know that I have an opinion about that, but what I'm concerned about is just so that we understand the difference between where we have been and where we are today and where we're trying to get. And I don't expect you to have been in office long enough to really have experienced all of this, but I think that it would be really helpful if you could understand it well enough for us to learn something.

LISA SATORI

Yeah. I definitely will. It's something I've been asked trying to ask a lot of questions about and kind of wrap my brain around as well, because hearing kind of like you said, over the past couple of decades where it's gone, different governance policies that have been put in place and maybe didn't really fully get utilized and developed and just kind of hearing the backstory of it.

So yeah, I'm definitely trying to learn as much as I can about all of that and how the different policies vary, how the different structures vary. But I'd be happy to put that together, a little bit more visual for you maybe to see how it varies. It'd probably be better than I can explain.

JIM GIBSON

All right. Well, thank you.

LISA SATORI

I can probably put it together in a nice visual that you can kind of see the differences maybe.

JIM GIBSON

Thank you very much.

LISA SATORI

Absolutely.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Jones.

JUSTIN JONES

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Ms. Satori, for your service. We appreciate all you're doing. With regards to your presentations the next time around, I think getting the update of what's going on, obviously selecting new superintendent was obviously a critical piece to everything that goes on.

Following on Commissioner Kirkpatrick's comments, I think I'd like to see a little bit more focused on the interface between Clark County as an organization and the School Boards, School District. Open doors, open schools is a priority that we set very early on and kind of want to hear more on what progress, if any has been made on that.

Lots of interaction between our Social Services team and the School District and its decisions have tremendous effect on our parks programs, our social services programs, our TPOP (Truancy Prevention Outreach Program) program. And so I think I'd like next time around to hear a little bit more about targeted to how Clark County as an organization and the School District are working together or aren't working together, so that we can make improvements and that the Board's priorities that we articulated the various first time and when you came and met with us are being articulated to the School District. So, kind of like to hear more about it next time.

LISA SATORI

Yeah, absolutely. I'd be happy to do that. And I think with the new superintendent, it'd be a great time to be able to have those conversations and be able to see where we can move forward with her.

JUSTIN JONES

Okay, great. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Becker? Nope. All right. Just to follow up on what Commissioner Gibson said, in the old days, I got a problem, I called my school board member or the school board member for that school and he or she jumps on it, lately it's been, "oh, I can't do anything about that. That's the superintendent's issue." So, I think anytime we can bring it back to the elected official and let them get more involved,

including you, more power to it. So that's my opinion. All right. Seeing nothing else, thank you so much for what you serve, and you probably had no idea what you're getting into, but thank you.

LISA SATORI

Thank you.

51. Discuss amending the Clark County Code to allow for the expansion of the multi-jurisdictional license program. (For possible action)

ACTION: DISCUSSED (STAFF DIRECTED TO PROCEED WITH THE SIX PRESENTED CATEGORIES).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners. Item 51 is to discuss amending the Clark County code to allow for the expansion of multi-jurisdictional license program. Commissioner Segerblom.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you, Mr. Schiller. Vince, I asked to put this on the agenda, but can you give us a brief concept, I don't know if everyone understands the concept. But please explain what's going on and what we might do going forward.

VINCENT QUEANO

Good morning again, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission. I'm Vincent Queano, Director of Business License. So, this is a collaborative effort amongst all the local jurisdictions to expand on the Multi-Jurisdictional Business Licensing, also known that as MJBL. MJBL allows an applicant to visit their primary business license office and apply for a business license and pay fees to operate in other jurisdictions such as North Las Vegas, City of Henderson and City of Las Vegas. Currently, we only have contractors on the MJBL. The local jurisdictions have acknowledged that there is a need to expand the system, adding more categories. The current MJBL system is very limited in functionality, but the local IT Departments have formulated a work group and are working on making improvements to the system. So due to the limitations, in order to add a business type to MJBL system, the following needs to be the same for each jurisdiction. Same license category, category name, renewal frequency and fee type.

So, although there are a lot of business types that would benefit from being on the MJBL, we identified six business license types that are closely aligned amongst the jurisdictions. It would be easily implemented into that system. So, the first business type is business support service, repair man, handyman, janitorial cleaning service, carpet upholstery, sidewalk vending and mobile food vendor. So, for a mobile food vendor, the biggest change is to remove the regulated process from mobile food vendors. So, removing that background suitability and financial suitability for mobile food vendors with the exception of ice cream trucks, because ice cream trucks, the primary customers are minors. That concludes my presentation. I'm here to answer any questions.

TICK SEGERBLOM

So, do you need direction from us? To me, all my residents think they live in Las Vegas even though they live in the County. So, I don't think the businesses are any different. You never know where you are and the fact that you could have one license seems to be much more business friendly.

VINCENT QUEANO

Yes, Commissioner. I'm seeking for approval to move forward with making the code amendments to those six categories that I've mentioned.

TICK SEGERBLOM

And then are you working with the other jurisdictions that are going to do the same?

VINCENT QUEANO

Yeah. Yes. Commissioner. So, the other jurisdictions will have to make code amendments as well, so we all have to be on the same page. So, they're working on their amendments also for these six categories.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Do you have some type of a group that meets regularly or how do you ?

VINCENT QUEANO

Yeah, so we do have a local business license or work group. We meet regularly on a monthly basis. During these times, we meet on a weekly basis, and we discuss multi-jurisdiction licensing among other licensing issues.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you. Is that enough direction? Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner McCurdy has a question.

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

So, it was the consortium, the multiple jurisdictions that got together and the business licenses directors or their appointees to this consortium collectively decided on the six that you presented today?

VINCENT QUEANO

Yes, Commissioner. Vince Queano again for the record. So, these are the six that we would start with. We know that there's numerous business types that we would like to implement, but this would be the initial start. These are the six categories that we identify that are closely aligned as far as the fee cycle, business type name. So, in order to implement these into the system, even the business type, names, and the categories must all match.

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

Got it. Yeah, because it's off the top of my head and I know there's other legislation at the State level that may look to do just this very thing, so if we could just work offline on, I think there are other business types that could possibly fit into the category and would be helpful and also would like to talk through the cost-sharing mechanism as well and the fee structure and how the allocation would go. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right, I think that's enough direction. Thank you so much.

52. Discuss possible amendments to Clark County Code 3.74 regarding the University Medical Center of Southern Nevada Governing Board, and direct staff accordingly. (Also sitting as the University Medical Center of Southern Nevada Board of Hospital Trustees) (For possible action)

ACTION: DISCUSSED (STAFF DIRECTED TO DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE CODE).

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, Item 52 is to discuss possible amendments to Clark County Code 3.74 regarding the University Medical Center Southern Nevada Governing Board, and direct staff accordingly. Also sitting as University Medical Center Southern Nevada Board of Hospital Trustees.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Commissioner Naft.

MICHAEL NAFT

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We discussed this at our last Board meeting. What the policy would get at is one bringing essentially in line the governing body of UMC with all of the other boards that we make appointments for. We made that adjustment probably two years ago now, maybe longer. So, this would put that under that structure, strike malfeasance and essentially serve at the pleasure of the Board. The second would be to move the ratification of the CEO (Chief Executive Officer) under the Clark County Commission, just as every other director of every other county department and agency is. I don't know that you need any further direction unless there's any opposition to it. Is that right, Ms. Logsdon?

LISA LOGSDON

I think that gives us enough direction to make the code changes unless there's any other suggested revisions.

TICK SEGERBLOM

I'd also like to look at having the director of the hospital to report directly to the County Manager.

LISA LOGSDON

I mean I don't think that's really anything that's in the code right now. It is a separate legal entity unlike the airport where the airport is a department of the County. So that would have to be some other type of MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) or other different kind of structure like that wouldn't really be addressed in the code.

MICHAEL NAFT

It's currently structured like the say Las Vegas Valley Water District as a comparable.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. Well, maybe we could look at that concept too.

WILLIAM MCCURDY II

And also support Commissioner Naft and his request.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Okay.

APRIL BECKER

I also support Commissioner Naft.

JUSTIN JONES

I always support Commissioner Naft.

MICHAEL NAFT

I feel very supported today. This is quite a day.

JIM GIBSON

As do I.

LISA LOGSDON

Think that's sufficient direction. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

It's unanimous.

53. Go into closed session, pursuant to NRS 241.015(4)(c) as amended by AB52, to receive information from the District Attorney regarding potential or existing litigation involving a matter over which the Board has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power, and to deliberate toward a decision on the matter, and pursuant to NRS Chapter 288.220, to receive a report on the status of ongoing labor negotiations; and direct staff accordingly. (For possible action)

ACTION: CLOSED SESSION WAS HELD. NO ACTION TAKEN BY THE BOARD.

Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office

PUBLIC COMMENTS

KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, that concludes your formal agenda. We can now move to the second section set aside for public comment.

TICK SEGERBLOM

We also have a legal meeting.

KEVIN SCHILLER

Yes.

TICK SEGERBLOM

All right. The second period for public comment. Anyone wishing to speak on anything can come forward at this point. And thank you for waiting around.

STEVE SMALL

I waited around from the front. Thank you, Commissioners. My name is Steve Small, 8565 South Warbonnet Way. And the comment today is about the transfer of monies from BLM to both the Water District and the School District in which neither party has any remuneration from that program, nor did the BLM in receiving checks under the bids keep the money to transfer to you or to the School District because those are conversion and diversion from the public trust. The public trust is owned by everybody in this country. That is the federal lands. It's called the Bureau of Land Management, not the Bureau of Land Ownership.

They don't receive the checks directly; they go directly to the Treasury Department. Do not pass, go back to our representative government for budget and appropriation. Period. When they pass the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act in the first presidency of Clinton, along with Reed, ex-Senator Brian, the ex-Congressman Bilbray of which you're well aware of his daughter working for the Board or for

the Library Board and running for a higher office. My problem is that what we call demonstrative government demonstrates that they're all budget crooks and you've gone along with it. You can go into private session now. Again, thank you, Board.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you. Next.

STEVE SMALL

Any comments to me from Commissioner?

APRIL BECKER

You can call my office and set up an appointment and I will talk with you further about this issue.

STEVE SMALL

Pardon, April?

APRIL BECKER

You can call my office and set up an appointment and I will sit down and talk with you about this issue.

STEVE SMALL

Well, I mean you can look at (Department of Government Efficiency) DOGE, what they've been doing and if you want to talk to my lawyer, it's Mr. Eastman, Dean of the School of Law at Claremont who's gotten attacked also.

APRIL BECKER

No, I would just sit down and have a conversation with you so that you can explain this to me further.

STEVE SMALL

Well, so are we. Thank you.

APRIL BECKER

Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you.

MICHAEL HAYES

I'll try to make this as brief as possible. My name is Michael Hayes. I live at 3717 Rainy River Road. On March 25, 2025, the White House issued Executive Order 14248 Preserving and Protecting the Integrity of American Elections. The Executive Order, the president stated he just wanted to maintain our constitutional republic by having free, fair, honest elections. Nobody can disagree with that, and it'd be unmarred by fraud, errors or suspicion. I don't know about suspicion and errors, well. Anyways, EO (Executive Order) that takes liberty — This EO takes liberty with current laws and sets itself up as law, judge and punisher. Our president claims Nevada, Clark County Election Department "failed to adequately vote that voters' citizenship." He claims the federal government, Nevada Clark County Election failed to enforce basic and necessary election protections under the current law.

Under the EO, Nevada will be told how to elect people. What they will do to do it, that Nevada must shape its election laws in the images dictated by the President of the United States. Nevada will share all voter information, will be forced to share all voter information with Homeland Security who have been

instructed per the EO to turn it all over to DOGE or doggy, whatever it is, to check it for a review and database. I don't know about you, but I don't care for them looking through voter registration lists. Nevada will be monitored by Homeland Security and FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency). And if we do not toe the mark, meaning the State, the grant funding for election purposes will be affected if Nevada does not heavily prioritize compliance with the voluntary voting system guidelines by the Election Assistant Commission. On the web page of the Election Assistant Commission is a claim. Adhering to the volunteer voter system guideline is voluntary. Well, it sounds like it's a mandatory voluntary guideline and it sounds like it's Orwellian language in my opinion. The EO characterization of Nevada Public Servants, our local election employees and election integrity process and procedures are grossly inaccurate. The EO is a fabrication within evidence, a lie to perpetuate the big lie of 2020 sans the reality of existence. Please reject this illegal action. Thank you.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you. And that was a perfect three minutes.

MICHAEL HAYES

Excuse me.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Your speech was a perfect three minutes, so congratulations on that too.

MICHAEL HAYES

I timed it.

TICK SEGERBLOM

Thank you. All right, we'll recess until tomorrow and go into a closed session.

END PUBLIC COMMENTS

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, at the hour of 11:21 a.m., Chair Tick Segerblom recessed the meeting to the hour of 9 a.m. on Wednesday, April 2, 2025, for the Zoning agenda.

PLEASE NOTE: THE COUNTY CLERK KEEPS THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF ALL PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION, THE CCWRD BOARD OF TRUSTEES, THE UMC HOSPITAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, THE CLARK COUNTY LIQUOR AND GAMING LICENSING BOARD, AND THE CLARK COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY. TO OBTAIN A COMPLETE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF ALL PROCEEDINGS, ANY PHOTOGRAPH, MAP, CHART, OR ANY OTHER DOCUMENT USED IN ANY PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD/TRUSTEES, REQUESTS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE COUNTY CLERK.

TO REFERENCE AGENDA ITEM ATTACHMENTS ONLINE: REFER TO THE MEETING LINK BELOW, SELECT THE ITEM (FILE # COLUMN), AND CLICK THE LINK FOR THE DESIRED ATTACHMENT.

[ONLINE MEETING LINK](#)

APPROVED: /s/ Tick Segerblom
TICK SEGERBLOM, CHAIR

ATTEST: /s/ Lynn Marie Goya
LYNN MARIE GOYA, CLARK COUNTY CLERK