

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Business Impact Statement**

**(NRS 237.090)**

**Clark County Code Proposed Amendments to Title 8, Chapter 8.20, Section 8.20.295 –**

**Distance Restrictions For Tavern Licenses**

Upon request, a copy of the Business Impact Statement can be obtained from the Clark County Department of Business License and such requests should be sent to:

Clark County Department of Business License  
Chapter 5.02  
500 S. Grand Central Pky., 3<sup>rd</sup> Flr  
Box 551810  
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1810

or a copy may be obtained from the following website:

[www.clarkcountynv.gov/businesslicense](http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/businesslicense).

**Description of the proposed ordinance or rule:**

The proposed amendments to the Clark County Code will restrict taverns within a certain commercial development from sharing a kitchen or common wall with another tavern and will require compliance with redevelopment agency requirements.

**Intent of the proposed ordinance or rule including issues to be resolved or other factors to be considered:**

The intent of this proposed ordinance is to ensure that there is some separation and distance between taverns in the commercial development area and that licensed taverns within the commercial development will comply with the redevelopment agency requirements.

**Description of the manner in which public comment, data or arguments was solicited from affected businesses and/or community stakeholders:**

On April 27, 2023, the County sent a Notification of Proposed Amendments to Clark County Code, Title 8, Chapter 8.20.295 – Distance Restriction For Tavern Licenses (Notification Letter, Attachment #1) and the Proposed Ordinance to various business associations, chambers of commerce, attorneys, tavern licensees and other interested parties. The Notification and proposed ordinance were also available on the Department of Business License’s website. Recipients of the

Notification Letter were encouraged to respond with any comments or concerns regarding the proposed ordinance.

**Summary of public comment, data or arguments including the number of public comments received:**

Following a comment period that lasted 15 working days, one comment was received.

**Comment #1 – Clark County Department of Aviation (Attachment #2)**

The Clark County Department of Aviation stated that they have no concerns or recommended changes to the proposed ordinance.

**The estimated economic effect of the proposed ordinance or rule on businesses:**

**1. Adverse effects**

The proposed ordinance would prevent adjacent taverns from sharing a kitchen that could reduce capital costs for taverns wishing to share resources.

**2. Beneficial effects**

The proposed ordinance will provide more spacing between taverns within the commercial development.

**3. Direct effects**

The proposed ordinance provides separation between taverns and creates separate identities for each tavern.

**4. Indirect effects.**

The proposed ordinance will provide a more homogenized appearance to the commercial development by spreading out similar businesses throughout the development.

**5. Other economic effects to be considered.**

No other economic effects were identified.

**The estimated cost to the local government for the enforcement of the proposed ordinance or rule:**

It is estimated that the cost to enforce the Proposed Ordinance will be absorbed by current staff.

**The estimated cost to the local government for the administration of the proposed ordinance or rule:**

It is estimated that the cost to administer the Proposed Ordinance will be absorbed by current staff.

**If applicable, explanation of a new fee or increase to an existing fee including a projection of the annual revenue expected to be collected and the manner in which the revenue will be utilized:**

There are no new fees or increases to existing fees created by this ordinance.

**Assessment of provisions of the proposed ordinance or rule, which may duplicate or are more stringent than Federal, State or local standards regulating the same activity:**

The proposed amendments do not duplicate and are not more stringent than Federal, State or local standards.

**If applicable, explanation of why the duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:**

Not applicable.

**Description of the methods that local government considered to modify the proposed ordinance or rule; or otherwise reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses, the parties involved, and a statement of the methods used:**

Although no comments were received regarding whether the proposed ordinance would have a significant impact on businesses, it was determined that the proposed ordinance would not result in a significant impact and therefore no changes were made.

**The reasons for the conclusions regarding the impact of the proposed rule on businesses:**

In order to provide for a consistent distribution of like businesses within the commercial development we feel that the provisions in the Proposed Ordinance are necessary and are in compliance with state law.

### **Certification of Business Impact Statement**

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, the information contained in this Business Impact Statement was prepared properly and is accurate.

---

Kevin Schiller  
County Manager

---

Date