



**togetherforbetter**

## ***Board of County Commissioners***

**Clark County, Nevada**

Tick Segerblom, Chair  
William McCurdy II, Vice Chair  
April Becker  
Jim Gibson  
Justin Jones  
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick  
Michael Naft

---

The Board of County Commissioners of Clark County, Nevada met in joint regular session with Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees, University Medical Center of Southern Nevada Board of Trustees, and Clark County Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board, in full conformity with law and bylaws of said Boards, at the regular place of meeting in Clark County, Nevada, on Tuesday, May 20, 2025:

CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER  
COMMISSION CHAMBERS  
500 S GRAND CENTRAL PKWY  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SEC. 1. OPENING CEREMONIES .....	3
CALL TO ORDER.....	3
INVOCATION .....	3
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE .....	3
SEC. 2. COMMISSIONERS' / COUNTY MANAGER'S RECOGNITION .....	3
SEC. 3. PUBLIC FORUM.....	5
SEC. 4. AGENDA .....	6
SEC. 5. CONSENT AGENDA: ITEM 6 THROUGH 40.....	7
Purchasing & Contracts.....	8
Town Services .....	18
Business License.....	18
Aviation.....	19
Public Works .....	22
Budget & Finance.....	22
Clark County Water Reclamation District (Board of County Commissioners sitting as the CCWRD Board of Trustees) .....	23
University Medical Center of Southern Nevada (Board of County Commissioners sitting as the UMC Hospital Board of Trustees) .....	23
General Administration.....	23
SEC. 6. ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA .....	26
SEC. 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 10 A.M. ....	26
SEC. 8. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES .....	39
SEC. 9. BUSINESS ITEMS.....	40
PUBLIC COMMENTS.....	50

## SEC. 1. OPENING CEREMONIES

### CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:01 a.m. by Chair Segerblom with the following members present:

**Commissioners Present:**

Tick Segerblom, Chair  
William McCurdy II, Vice Chair  
April Becker\*  
Jim Gibson  
Justin Jones  
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick  
Michael Naft\*\*

**Absent:**

None

*\*Entered during Item 16*

*\*\*Exited during Item 45*

**Also Present:**

Kevin Schiller, County Manager  
Lisa Logsdon, Deputy District Attorney  
Nancy Maldonado, Deputy Clerk  
Jewel Gooden, Deputy Clerk

### INVOCATION

The invocation was given by Rabbi Levi Harlig.

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge of allegiance was stated by the assembly in attendance.

## SEC. 2. COMMISSIONERS' / COUNTY MANAGER'S RECOGNITION

1. Present proclamations to recognize the Nevada's Mother of the Year recipients.

**ACTION: PRESENTED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Good morning.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Good morning, Commissioners. Your first item is to present proclamations to recognize the Nevada Mother of the Year recipients. Commissioner Gibson.

**JIM GIBSON**

Thank you. Each year it is my privilege to recognize those who have been recognized by American Mothers, Inc. and its Nevada affiliate, as Mothers of the Year. And as we all look around at the challenges of life that are occurring every day that we witness ourselves, and those that we experience ourselves, we recognize the value of motherhood and how important it is to our culture, and our society, to the world. And each year in partnership with American Mothers, we honor outstanding women who contribute to our community. They nurture their families, they view motherhood not as a role, but as a journey of mutual growth.

Would you please join me in recognizing these outstanding women today. The 2025 Nevada Mother of Achievement, what I'm going to ask you to do as I read your name, as you come over, and then walk up front, and be here with us.

The 2025 Nevada Mother of Achievement, Stephanie Goodman.

The 2025 Nevada Legacy Mother of the Year, Carol Davis.

The 2025 Candy Krausman, Mothers of Honor, both Chloe Marshall and Marshy Smith.

And the 2025 Nevada Young Mother of the Year, Cindy Perez.

**STEPHANIE GOODMAN**

Thank so much, Commissioner. This is an honor for all of us, all of us each day, we don't even look at this as anything more than just being an incredible mother. And this is what we choose. This is our journey. And we're just grateful to actually be acknowledged by some of our peers, to recognize us as outstanding mothers. We don't see it that way. We just see that we're doing our job. So, thank you very much for this honor. We're very, very honored today. Thank you.

**JIM GIBSON**

Sometimes we have things happen during a County Commission meeting, so at least today we've started right. Thank you. We're all going to remember what our moms taught us, maybe. Thank you so much for being here. Thank you for what you do. Who's next? Oh, okay, that's Kevin.

2. Recognize Clark County employees who have been selected as Clark County Value Champions.

**ACTION: RECOGNIZED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, the next item is to recognize Clark County employees who have been selected as Clark County Value Champions.

*(Video Presentation Begins)*

**ANTHONY LOMASTRO**

*Hi, I am Anthony. I'm so happy to nominate Krishaunna Williams for this award. She's, since day one, has always been so great and motivating for everyone around her. And it's just always been a joy to work with her. Thanks, congratulations, Krishaunna.*

**JOHN NEWTON**

*My name is John Newton, Business Systems Analyst for the Treasurer's Department, and I am proud and honored to nominate Ryan Gural for Value Champion. Ryan is our IT (Information Technology) Liaison for the office. And our office asks Ryan to do so many different roles and wear so many different hats, whether it be everyday system support or project development. He truly is a crucial member of our team. And we are just so thankful to have him enhance everything from our office and make our office better every single day. His positive attitude and outlook, he really brought a forward focus and solution-oriented approach to the team. And we're just so happy to have him every single day. Ryan, we love you, we thank you, and congratulations, buddy. You did it.*

*(Video Presentation Completed)*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Hello? Okay, I'm not going to sing. Congratulations to these great public service employees. I do want to talk a little bit about the departments. Krishaunna is in Purchasing so, so much to do, so many contracts. I think I talk to them frequently. I know I signed her documents. So, again, a great example of that.

And then, what would we do without IT? It never stops. I really want to recognize that. But I also want to say it's really recognizing everybody across the county. I love the fact that our peers and their peers are nominating them.

And then, as you know, we have our Gala Dinner, which will come up sometime next year, so you'll be invited to that. And I just want to congratulate you and thank you, from myself and the Commissioners, for all of your service.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

I just want to prepare you for karaoke because the County Manager does great karaoke at that dinner. So be prepared. Thank you so much.

## SEC. 3. PUBLIC FORUM

### 3. Public Comment

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right, this is the first period for public comment. Anyone wishing to speak on an item on the agenda, come forward now and speak. Commissioner Braeger, are you coming down to speak?

**SUSANBRAEGER**

I can.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you so much. Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing and turn it over to the County Manager.

## SEC. 4. AGENDA

4. Approval of Minutes of the Regular Meeting on April 15, 2025, and the Special Meeting on April 19, 2025. (For possible action) (Available in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, I move to your agenda section. Item 4 is approval of the minutes of the regular meeting on April 15, 2025, and the special meeting on April 19, 2025.

### MOTION

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, I move approval of the meeting minutes for April 15, 2025, and our special meeting on April 19, 2025.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

### VOTE

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** April Becker

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes.

5. Approval of Agenda with the Inclusion of Any Emergency Items and Deletion of Any Items. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (ITEMS 8, 9, 12, AND 16 TAKEN SEPARATELY).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Item 5 is approval of the agenda with the inclusion of any emergency items and the deletion of any items. Staff has requested, or the Commissioners request Items 8, 19, 12, and 16 be taken separately. We don't have any items to be deleted. However, oh, excuse me, go ahead. Oh, excuse me—8, 9, 12, and 16, correction.

And then for our DA (District Attorney) Counsel, Commissioner Becker had asked on three of those items, I am not sure, she's not here at the moment, so.

**LISA LOGSDON**

If you can go ahead and approve the agenda, then we can just trail the approval of the consent agenda.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Okay.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, I vote for approval.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** April Becker

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Motion passes.

## SEC. 5. CONSENT AGENDA: ITEM 6 THROUGH 40

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Okay, Commissioners, moving to your consent agenda consisting of Items 6 through 40. Again, numbers 8, 9, 12, and 16 to be taken separately.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

I move approval of our consent agenda with the items that were read in the record to be heard separately.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** April Becker

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes.

## Purchasing & Contracts

6. Approve the Contract with WestCare Nevada, Inc., for RFP No. 606549-23, for Substance Use Treatment, contingent upon submission of the required insurance; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Contract; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

7. Approve an Amendment to the Contract with Carl Warren & Company of Nevada, for CBE No. 605646-20, for Adjusting Services for General Liability Claims; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Amendment; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

*Item 8 heard after Item 16.*

8. Approve and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to issue purchase orders to Taylor Printing & Visual Impressions, Inc., for CBE No. 607565-25 for Printing and Mailing of Sample Ballots and Mail Ballots, contingent upon submission of the required insurance and subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED ITEMS 8, 9, AND 12.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, we can now move to the additional items to be taken separately. Item 8 is to approve and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designate issue purchase orders to Taylor Printing and Visual Impressions, Incorporated for CBE number 607565-25 for printing and mailing of sample ballots and mail ballots, contingent upon the submission of the required insurance, and subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate. Commissioner Becker?

**APRIL BECKER**

The reason that I had this item pulled was because it was this, number 9 and number 12. And there was no public bid, no competitive bidding, as required by NRS (Nevada Revised Statutes) 332. So, I just thought it should be something we should discuss. It's over \$30 million in contracts.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Could we ask Ms. Logsdon to respond?



**LISA LOGSDON**

So, these services were not competitively bid, as the county determined them to be professional services, due to the specialized knowledge and expertise that is required to prepare and print over 1.5 million sample ballots. And in this particular, for Item 8, certain mail-in ballots. While the printing services are one aspect to be provided, the preparation of these election materials are critical and qualifies for the competitive bidding exception under NRS 332, subsection 115.

And that in addition, we have the county policy that requires an RFP (Request for Proposal) over \$500,000. And then these services, the county also processed a Fiscal Directive 6 exception, which was approved due to those specialized natures of these services. So, these contracts were procured in accordance with law and with the county process.

**APRIL BECKER**

And I have a problem with, I guess, the county process. Because in researching this, I actually found the Eighth Judicial District Court's (EJDC) instructions for purchase agreements. And first, this does not fit within their description of a professional service under, of course NRS 332 does not define it. But the court actually defers to the same definition that's used under NRS 89. And further, under the court's purchasing instructions, anything over \$500,000 is to be publicly bid.

So, for me, I just have a huge problem with three contracts that come to over \$30 million, just being determined by someone in a non-elected, administrative position.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right, does anyone have any discussion?

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

I just was going to ask the question, I feel like this is the way we've always done it, in a sense, not to just, there are things in the legislature that they, like apparatus for the fire department. There's other things, which is why we have the fiscal rule number 6, right, because there is some leeway on some of the IT stuff in general, right. Is this not? I feel like we also maybe discussed this when Mr. Gloria was here, and we had to work with the state to get all new machines, and all new software. And as the rules changed, there was some leniency with Secretary of State Cegavske, at the time, to almost where we had, remind Secretary Aguilar that things had changed.

So, am I remembering this correctly? Or is this, and I understand where Commissioner Becker is coming from, but I feel like there's probably some history that's not written somewhere. And maybe you could just indulge that?

**LISA LOGSDON**

Correct, yeah, because these services all go together with our equipment, as well, too. So, part of it is being Dominion Certified to be a printer, so that these mail ballots can go through. And they have the highest quality to ensure that we have the best product. And we haven't had any issues over the years. And I think you're correct, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, that it's a combination of all of these things, it's professional service, it's somewhat sole-source, in the sense that there's not a lot of manufacturers out there or providers that can provide this type of service that are familiar with Nevada law and the Nevada requirements on top of it. And so, all of those things together really indicate that these are not services that can be competitively bid.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

But I do, if I remember correctly, and I'm trying to stretch my memory here, but I believe that when we purchased new machines, right, that was 2016, 2017 or something, right, we had to come out of pocket with a lot of dollars. And we worked with the state to determine which machines we could even look at, was that, because they did a whole thing on it, right?

**LISA LOGSDON**

Correct.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Do I remember that right?

**LISA LOGSDON**

The state has to approve the purchase of those machines and the certification of those.

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chairman?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Yes.

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Ah, yes, it also, to my knowledge, this is the only entity that's available to provide these professional services. This is a local vendor. They do have a Clark County business license. And according to the backup document, the recommendation, the award is in accordance with the competitive bidding process and is not required as the services are performed are professional in nature. So, I'm not—

**APRIL BECKER**

Actually, this is a company out of Arizona. And I recognize that they have a license here to do business, but there are many companies throughout the country that can provide this service. And if this company is as qualified as is being presented, I think that it would easily win a competitive bid, and then this would no longer be our issue. But I do think that there's a process in place, that was put in place by our legislature to ensure that there's oversight in contracts that are being awarded. And especially for in a contracts that are, we're talking a third of a billion dollars.

So, I think that there are mechanisms in place to ensure that things are not taken advantage of and there's oversight. And I think as someone that took an oath four months ago right there to uphold the Nevada Constitution, Nevada laws, Clark County laws, these are laws that are in place and they're there for a reason. And I just believe that this should be put up for a competitive bid. I do not believe that this company fits the definition of professional services as defined in NRS 89, and as reiterated in the purchasing guide of our Clark County court system, which this would go to if it were to be litigated. So, I have a huge problem recognizing my obligation to oversee the taxpayers' money of Clark County. I have a real problem that something this large is not put up for bid. I think, again, if this company is as qualified as what's being represented, they'll have no issue winning that bid.

**JIM GIBSON**

Mr. Chairman?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Commissioner Gibson?

**JIM GIBSON**

So, what do we know about the timeliness of this contract? And in the past, it feels like we work our tails off and then we rush to be ready for the elections because everything kind of happens on a timeline that is imposed upon us by law.

And one of the things that is worrisome is, if we pull this, and let's say, for instance, that we figured out how to do an RFP (Request for Proposal), or some kind of an item that would invite public participation, how long would it take us to do that, and how much time do we have, and is this something that is pressing up against a wire? And maybe we need to have Lorena come up and address that.

**LISA LOGSDON**

Yeah, I would have to defer to staff on that.

**JIM GIBSON**

You all right with that Mr. Chair?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Yeah, Lorena's here.

**JESSICA COLVIN**

Commissioner, while Lorena's coming up, I did check with purchasing that if we were to put out an RFP on an expedited process, it would take approximately four months. So, I'll leave that with Lorena on her schedule for operations.

**JIM GIBSON**

That's before we put it out? Or is that what it would take to process the whole thing?

**JESSICA COLVIN**

The whole thing.

**JIM GIBSON**

Okay.

**LORENA PORTILLO**

Good morning, Lorena Portillo. I just want to explain a little bit about the specialized services that Runbeck and Taylor provide. Keep in mind, Taylor is local, but Runbeck is—their headquarters are in Arizona, but they have the capability of mailing 1.5 million here in the State of Nevada. So, they do provide that mailing service.

Specialized services mean they also have to have, as Lisa mentioned, a certified use of, not only their printer, but also their ink. Their ink has to be of high quality. Santa Clara had an issue back, a couple years ago, where the ink quality wasn't there. And what happened was the mail ballot was smudged. And they were receiving mail ballots. And they had to erase the smudging in order to read the mail ballot.

That's the risk we do not want to take. And Runbeck provides that security. They also have high-level equipment. They're huge. Their warehouse is huge, their equipment is huge and tested over and over. We wouldn't be working with Runbeck if we didn't trust their services.

They also work on design. They work very closely with the post office. We cannot just run any envelope through our mail readers, our sorters, they have to have a special design also mandated by the Secretary

of State's office. So, they have to work hand-in-hand with us, Secretary of State, and our mail ballot sorters. So, all that has to work together. It's very specialized.

Currently, we're going through mock elections. They're going to be here June 23 through the 26. And they also provide support, not only for their mail ballot sorters, but also to ensure that our mock elections go well. Mock elections being testing the top-down system. They are going to provide in-person support, but they're also going to provide mail ballot envelopes and test decks that we will use for the testing, in order to ensure that 2026 is ran properly.

We provide our order as soon as the fall for the 1.5 million, and now it'll be over 1.5 million envelopes that we have to make sure to print before the election actually happens.

You're right, the timeline is very tight, between printing, and addressing, and mailing. It's very tight window, 45 days before the election, 40 for out-of-state, and 20 days, currently, for the in-state. So, the timelines are very tight.

We use Runbeck tremendously for the volume so they can handle volume. Taylor, currently, locally, they cannot. But they can handle special elections. We like to keep as much as we can here, local. And we do that by using Taylor Services, which are also certified.

Again, they have to have proper equipment. Their mail ballots have to be read by our tabulation systems. Very important piece. Not every printer has that capability. They can probably come in cheaper, we know that. But they cannot provide the certified services that we require. It cannot be done just by any printer.

And we also have a 22-inch ballot. Our ballots are a little bit bigger than normal ballots. Other jurisdictions can use a 8.5x11 ballot. We cannot. We have, this year coming up, we have 190 offices up for election. So probably in the general election we'll have a two-card ballot. That's front and back, very specialized, it has to be perfect.

So Runbeck has proven over the years that they can provide that service. And they could mail out over 1.5 million in one day. Not very many printers can get through the post office with many, many trucks. So, (inaudible).

**JIM GIBSON**

Ms. Portillo, so you've made a really good case for their capacity.

**LORENA PORTILLO**

Yes.

**JIM GIBSON**

And Commissioner Becker is asking the question about whether we're compliant with the law. And let's assume, for instance, that we had to put this out, we couldn't go forward today, we had to put it out for bid. In terms of the timeliness of all of that, we've just heard that it would take us four months to prepare, and then invite the public to respond, and then evaluate the responses, and make a decision. So, talk to us a little bit about only the timing.

**LORENA PORTILLO**

The timing would be detrimental to the top-down process. Currently, we would not be able to proceed. We would have to stop the project. And that would mean 2026 election cycle will be in jeopardy. We have to test thoroughly these systems. Clark County instance is tremendous size. And the Secretary of

State is absorbing all our data. And without the help of Runbeck, we will not be able to proceed with the timelines that I'm being presented today.

**APRIL BECKER**

It's my understanding that this contract that is currently in place expires in 2026?

**LORENA PORTILLO**

We are scheduled to renew now, yes. And that's what's being presented, the renewal of the contract.

**APRIL BECKER**

It was written that it was expiring in 2026?

**LORENA PORTILLO**

December, I believe, December 2024.

**APRIL BECKER**

It expires in 2024?

**LORENA PORTILLO**

Yes. That was the last election we used Runbeck for.

**APRIL BECKER**

So, it's expired?

**LORENA PORTILLO**

Right now, we are not using their services, but we need their services. That's why we're renewing it again.

**APRIL BECKER**

So, you've had time to put this, if it expired in 2024, why wasn't it put up for bid in January of 2025?

**LORENA PORTILLO**

I believe it was going to be presented sooner than today, but it was postponed.

**APRIL BECKER**

It was two weeks ago.

**LORENA PORTILLO**

Yes. And we want to make sure it is presented as soon as possible. I don't know why we needed to wait this long, but I can tell you we did begin the process a while ago. So, you can see the process when it starts with purchasing they have many contracts. We're not the only ones. It takes a while for us to get in front of you all at this point. And even though four months is said to be the average time, we don't know how fast it would be to vet all these vendors that might come and ask for the possibility to bid. I can tell you, I did talk to Fort Orange. They are a huge printing force in the New York area, but they only have four years of experience in mail ballot printing.

We have Runbeck that has printing experience since 1972 and that's all they do. They don't do notices, they don't do— I mean this is their sole purpose in life is making sure election departments like us that have high volume get us through an election. So, timeliness, going back to timeliness, it takes a long time for the bidding process. As you can see, just the Fiscal Directive 6 takes us quite a few months as well so I

would hate for it to be prolonged any longer. We have the mock election to get through and I would hate to postpone it.

**APRIL BECKER**

Well, personally, again, I feel like I have a fiduciary duty to the people of Clark County to ensure that the money is spent properly and it's the contracts that we do enter into, this one in particular will be in place until 2031. And I feel that it's my responsibility to make sure that when we enter into a contract for six years, and of course, this particular one is \$12 million, the other one is I believe \$16.8 million and then another one is \$1.8 million. I believe when we enter into contracts that are that large, we need to take the proper process and procedure that's been outlined by the State of Nevada in our legislature.

And also, I believe outlined in the Purchasing and Contract Procedural Manual that's put out by the court where they specifically define what professional services are and what's the protocol for contracts that are over \$500,000— four months to me to ensure that money's being well spent and done correctly for the best company, which if this company again is as outstanding as you say, they should have no issue winning this contract and then we've done it properly.

So, for me, this is very, very important, and I would also suggest going forward that we take a look at the court's procedure and possibly incorporate that since it is really, there's no guidance in Clark County for how to do this and no definitions. I think the court has done an outstanding job of defining what all of these processes and definitions are and if we were to litigate this issue, it would be before these judges and this court. So, I think \$500,000 maximum contract to require being put out for bid, I think that's a large contract. I have no issue with that. So, I have a real problem and that's where I stand.

**JIM GIBSON**

Mr. Chair, just one other thing. So, what this comes down to, in the end, is taking action based upon advice of counsel. Now there are a lot of really active arguments that we're hearing here and we have to be real clear about the advice you're giving us, and you've been able to hear the comments and the arguments of Commissioner Becker. Has any of that changed your opinion about the propriety of extending this contract?

**LISA LOGSDON**

I mean, it's still our opinion that this is a professional service. The guidelines that Commissioner Becker is referring to the Eighth Judicial (inaudible), those are almost very similar to our Fiscal Directive 6, that we have the same definition of professional service in our Fiscal Directive 6. And it goes on to say that that list is not exclusive and so you have to take into all of those factors into consideration the specialized nature of the service, the high technical knowledge that that vendor would have. And so, I believe under the Eighth Judicial District policy and also our Fiscal Directive 6, which is very similar to the Eighth Judicial policy, that this qualifies for a professional service and is in accordance with Nevada law.

**JIM GIBSON**

So, this contract would extend to 2031. So that's a couple of elections from now and what would occur if we shortened the term of the extension? We can do that. Obviously, that isn't what the provider has agreed to do. How does that affect things?

**LISA LOGSDON**

I don't know if that would affect costs at all, if that cost has been locked in for you a certain amount of time. So, we'd have to go back to them and see if they would be willing to agree to the same amount.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

May I ask this question? So sometimes it's painful to be the longest serving person here, right, because I've seen a lot of different things and there's a lot of changes even in the legislature today on potential election bills. This is always a hot topic and the one thing that I can assure everybody across my district that we run great elections, we really do. As hard as they are, especially a lot of people don't know that our county employees are de facto election workers. So, I do think that maybe after this election that we spend some time with new board members going forward so that they understand the election—not that they understand, but they see what we do, right?

So, me, I've been around a long time and before mail ballots were even a thing and there's controversy on mail ballots as a whole across the nation, but COVID kind of changed the way we did things and this company truly stepped up during COVID because we were all scrambling. Right? But I do think that as people like me term out that there's an opportunity and maybe you've got to bring more people in to the fold on how the elections are run because I do think that we do a good job. I mean I started with Larry Lomax if that tells you how long I've been in the election world, but I do think that we need to be able to see, we need to have an after-election thing that was better, more so than just the summary that we do to certify the results but really what did we see?

Maybe it's the opportunity for the audit division to audit the findings so that we're always getting better. I mean, we are always getting better. Do I think that anybody likes to wait 10 days for the election results? We didn't determine that. We just follow the rules. But I do think that your department should spend a little more time, specifically you, with commissioners as a whole, because I think that if some of these election bills happen, it's going to be really important to have people in place that can change things. And I understand Commissioner Becker's thing, but I think we have to—most people don't know about Fiscal 6 Directive, right? We never hear that as a County Commissioner. I know, because I bugged Jessica to death. So, I learned the hard way what Fiscal Directive 6 was, but I do think that we have to do better communication as a whole.

**APRIL BECKER**

And if we're going to bring up physical [Fiscal] Directive 6, there was an entire audit done in, I believe it ended in 2021, and it discussed why these procedures are so important and that administrators were bypassing the rules that were in place and making these types of decisions by someone coming up without a competitive bid, what it in effect is doing is this administrator is choosing who has this contract. It has not been up for a competitive bid. I think the process is very important. From my perspective, take the Election Department out of this. This is just a department. This is a department that did not follow the procedural rules that are in place to protect the public's money.

That's the way I look at this. It's not an election issue. This is a competitive bidding issue and it's not done properly, and I have a real problem with that. I know everybody wants to talk about the election, but from my perspective, this is a contract. This is a contract, it's a binding contract, we're stuck with it for six years and it has not been put up for a competitive bidding the way that it's supposed to do, and I would argue again that this does not fit a professional services.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

I'm not trying to make it an election issue, but I do think it's probably best at, I mean I come from the days of purchasing that took six years to get carpet in one of my things and we've actually done yeoman's work in the last couple of years to get better and maybe it's we need to have a presentation from Purchasing as a whole. But I just think that Lorena, I've watched you grow through the Election Department and I do think that we need to do a better job with our departments getting out and talking about any issues, but maybe Purchasing needs to come before us because we haven't had Purchasing before us in a long time

and most of everybody on this board has complained about purchasing and they've actually sped up the process and yet every time we never have a purchasing bill in the legislature and it amazes me. We should. We should.

**APRIL BECKER**

And this is not about replacing carpet, the cost of this is over \$30 million, \$30 million. So, I have a very big issue with contracts that large not going through the proper procedure to be awarded.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Commissioner Naft.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

I think I appreciate that there's an opportunity to have a conversation on purchasing. There's certainly pros and cons to having more involvement in different thresholds and over the years we have had those conversations. I think that's worth bringing back. For me, it's pretty clean cut where the council that we're being provided today is that this is a professional service agreement by both our legal counsel, by the CFO (Chief Financial Officer). I think the department, at least as they were prescribed, followed the direction of current county policy. So, if we want to change county policy, I think that's a conversation that could be had at an agenda item and just not in the middle of this particular item that is specific to one department and one purchase because it probably is a broader issue. I don't disagree with that point. So, I'm prepared to move for approval of the items. Council, would you like these items taken separately or together?

**LISA LOGSDON**

I think right now he's only read number 8 in if you want to read 8 and 12 then take it away.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

So, Item 9 and 12 you mean, right?

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Yes.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Item 9 is approve and authorized the Chief Financial Officer or designee to issue purchase orders to Taylor Printing and Visual Impressions, Incorporated for CBE No. 607567-25 for printing and mailing of NCOA (National Change of Address), NVRA (National Voter Registration Act) and NVRC (National Voter Registration Card) contingent upon submission of the required insurance and subject to approved budget appropriations or take other action as appropriate. Item 12 is to approve the contract with Runbeck Election Services, LLC for CBE No. 607380-24, for election mail ballot services and authorize the chief financial officer or her designee to sign the contract or take other action as appropriate.

**MOTION**

**MICHAEL NAFT**

If there's nothing further, I move for approval of Items 8, 9 and 12.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion, cast your vote.



## VOTE

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft  
**VOTING NAY:** April Becker  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** None

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes.

### **JIM GIBSON**

Mr. Chair. There's a characterization that lawyers learn about something that is really old. "The memory of man runneth not," is what the old courts used to say. They didn't know Marilyn.

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

You're the only one that would remember the old courts.

### **JIM GIBSON**

They didn't know Marilyn. The memory of woman runneth even though the memory of man runneth not.

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right, and thank you Commissioner Becker for allowing us to have a little discussion here. So, it's very fun. All right.

9. Approve and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to issue purchase orders to Taylor Printing & Visual Impressions, Inc., for CBE No. 607567-25 for Printing and Mailing of NCOA (National Change of Address), NVRA (National Voter Registration Act) and NVRC (Nevada Voter Registration Card), contingent upon submission of the required insurance and subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED ITEMS 8, 9, AND 12. SEE ITEM 8 FOR DISCUSSION/VOTE.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

10. Approve and ratify the assignment of Bid No. 606668-23, for Annual Requirements Contract for Trash Liners Countywide, from Inland Supply Company Inc. to Imperial Dade, contingent upon submission of the required insurance. Staff recommends assignment to Imperial Dade; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Assignment of Contract; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

11. Approve and ratify an Amendment to the Contract with St. Jude's Ranch for Children - Nevada Region, Inc. DBA St. Jude's Ranch for Children Inc., for CBE No. 606437-22, for Residential Services and Therapeutic Treatment for Commercially Sexually Exploited Children and Youth At-Risk of Becoming Commercially Sexually Exploited; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Amendment; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

12. Approve the Contract with Runbeck Election Services LLC, for CBE No. 607380-24, for Election Mail Ballots Services; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Contract; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED ITEMS 8, 9, AND 12. SEE ITEM 8 FOR DISCUSSION/VOTE.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Town Services

13. Note for the record the following Town Advisory Board (TAB) and/or Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) Minutes: Bunkerville TAB - January 16, 2025; Enterprise TAB - April 9, 2025; Lone Mountain CAC - April 8, 2025; Lower Kyle Canyon CAC - February 11, 2025; Moapa Valley TAB - April 9, 2025; Paradise TAB - April 8, 2025; Spring Valley TAB - April 8, 2025; Sunrise Manor TAB - April 10, 2025; and Whitney TAB - March 13, 2025.

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Business License

14. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the Second Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement among the County of Clark, City of Las Vegas, the City of North Las Vegas, and the City of Henderson for the implementation and operation of the multi-jurisdictional business license, expanding the agreement to add "Sidewalk Vendor" as an additional License category type to the list of agreed upon Multi-Jurisdictional Business Licenses; and providing for other matters properly related thereto. Commission District: All (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Aviation

15. Approve the award of Project 3082 HVAC Improvements at C Gates - Phase 2 (Project) and authorize the Director of Aviation to sign the contract contingent upon the contractor providing both a labor and material bond and a performance bond as required by the contract documents; staff recommends award to Monument Construction (Jon Wayne Nielsen, President), the lowest responsive and responsible bidder; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

16. Approve and authorize the Director of Aviation or her designee to issue purchase orders to Johnson Controls, Inc. (Daniel Adams, Account Executive) for CBE-1496 for Purchase, Installation and Implementation of Updated Door Controllers Phase II, subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: HELD TO THE JUNE 3, 2025 MEETING.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**LISA LOGSDON**

We can do 16.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Yeah, that's what I was going to do. So, Commissioners, we'll now move to Item 16 on your consent agenda, approve and authorize the Director of Aviation or a designee to issue purchase orders to Johnson Controls Incorporated (JCI), (Daniel Adams, Account Executive) for CBE-1496, for purchase, installation, and implementation of updated door controllers phase II, subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Mr. Chairman, if I could take this item.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Yes.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

I recognize Director Vassiliadis is here. I just want to make the record clear. I have significant issues here, though this item specifically relates to the Johnson Controls audit with the Department of Aviation, but I just don't feel comfortable advancing this item because Commissioner McCurdy and I recently partook in an audit. We, along with Commissioner Becker, serve on the Audit Committee. Johnson Controls was before us, as a result of an employee of theirs coming forward to Clark County to suggest an audit take place. The county went through the effort, an exhaustive effort, of auditing our contract with Johnson Controls and found several discrepancies: submitted invoices that did not include supporting documents; price increases that did not follow the contract requirements; markups on GSA (General Services Administration) pricing, labor markets and several others.

We were expecting to hear from Johnson Controls at our Audit Committee meeting. Instead, they submitted a letter that morning, indicating that they didn't see any agreement with the Audit Committee results, but not providing much detail at all.

So, it's my recommendation to the Board, recognizing that this pertains specifically to the Department of Aviation, but that's a county agency, and this is county dollars, taxpayer dollars. And I would just feel more comfortable if Johnson Controls met with our audit director and with our county manager prior to advancing this item.

I don't know if there's any questions or discussion. If not, I would move that the item be held for two weeks' time, assuming, and, I guess, Manager Schiller, that's for you is, we can get a meeting done if they're inclined to do so.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Yes.

**JIM GIBSON**

Mr. Chair? It might not be a bad idea to include Rosemary or someone—

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Certainly—

**JIM GIBSON**

—from her department in that meeting, too.

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

Good morning, Commissioners. Rosemary Vassiliadis, Director of Aviation. We do have a representative from JCI, if you so want to direct them for what your request is, on the record.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Sure. Did they want to identify, just come forward and identify themselves?

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

Okay. Yes, we do have Mr. Daniel Adams, who is a Major Account Executive for JCI, for the Department of Aviation's account. But someone that he can, you can go right there, introduce yourself.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

So, you just want to identify yourself for the record?

**DANIEL ADAMS**

Good morning, Daniel Adams with JCI. I'm The Account Representative for JCI for the County.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you, I assume you can't speak today to the audit or the county issues that I've raised?

**DANIEL ADAMS**

Yeah, that's correct. I just want to come here to confirm that we do take it serious and we are moving it forward. I don't know if communications have stalled, but I'm going to ensure that they continue.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Okay. And you'll make clear that this item won't be advanced, or I won't support this item until a meeting takes place with our management?

**DANIEL ADAMS**

Yeah, we understand, and we take that very serious.

**MOTION**

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Okay. Any, Chairman, if there isn't anything further, my motion would be that we hold the item until the June 3 meeting.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. And JCI will meet with you without a committee. All right, there's a motion, cast your vote.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

And the motion passes. Thank you.

**DANIEL ADAMS**

Thank you.

17. Approve the award of Bid No. 25-100110, Annual Requirements Contract for Landscaping and Maintenance Services to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder; staff recommends award to Brightview Landscape Services, Inc. (Brock Krahenbuhl, Vice President); or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Public Works

18. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign Supplemental No. 1 to the professional project administration and construction management services contract between Clark County and CMWorks, Inc. (David Alexander, P.E., CCM, Assistant Secretary, Vice President) for additional construction management services for the Carey Avenue between Nellis Boulevard and Toiyabe Street project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

19. Approve the fourth and final of one-year renewal options to the interlocal contract between Clark County and Bullhead City Pest Abatement District for continued pest abatement and aquatic insect suppression services along the Colorado River. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Budget & Finance

20. In accordance with NRS 244.210 and 354.220 through 354.250, approve, authorize, and adopt the Chair to sign a resolution to authorize refunds as shown on Exhibit "A". (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-1).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

21. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution to dissolve the General Fund's District Court \$200,000 jury services kiosk imprest fund. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-2).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

22. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution to dissolve the General Fund's Eighth Judicial District Court \$500 revolving petty cash fund. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-3).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

23. Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes, note for the record that NRS 354.290 financial reports are on file in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division.

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

24. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution to dissolve the General Fund's District Court \$5,000 revolving change fund. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-4).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

25. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution to dissolve the General Fund's District Court \$200 Uniform Interstate Family Support Act program change fund. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-5).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

#### Clark County Water Reclamation District (Board of County Commissioners sitting as the CCWRD Board of Trustees)

26. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign an Interlocal Agreement between Clark County Water Reclamation District (District) and Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) to share the cost for the construction of sanitary sewer facilities in conjunction with the County's Department of Public Works Warm Springs Road Improvements between Decatur Boulevard and Dean Martin Drive project; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

#### University Medical Center of Southern Nevada (Board of County Commissioners sitting as the UMC Hospital Board of Trustees)

27. Approve the award of Bid No. 2025-03, UMC 5755 E Charleston Clinic Remodel Project PWP# CL-2025-268, to Monument Construction, the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, contingent upon submission of the required bonds and insurance; and authorize the Chief Executive Officer to execute change orders within his delegation of authority; or take action as deemed appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

#### General Administration

28. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the correction of the 2022-2023 thru 2024-2025 Secured and the 2021-2022 thru 2024-2025 Unsecured Assessment Roll AR-0520-25-10 and order the corrections to be made. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

29. Pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes, note for the record that the Official Reports and Documents received from various County offices are on file in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division.

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

30. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution adopting a Cooperative Agreement for coverage of liability claims and related expenses between Clark County and the Clark County Regional Flood Control District. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-6).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

31. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the Permit for Disinterment of Human Remains of Ailsa Jodra and Valentin Jodra as allowed under NRS 451.050. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

32. Receive and approve the report on the projected expenditures for costs related to the technology of the Office of the County Assessor for Fiscal Year 2026. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

33. Certify the respective charges contained in the Fiscal Year 2026 budget for the Muddy River Distribution to the County Assessor and authorize the County Clerk to execute the letter of certification. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

34. Levy special assessments required pursuant to NRS 534.040 for the Fiscal Year 2026 budgets for Ground Water Basins and certify the amounts to the County Assessor and authorize the County Clerk to execute the letter of certification. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*



35. Approve and ratify the grant submission by the Clark County Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner to the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials in the amount of \$80,000; and authorize the Coroner, or her designee, to sign the grant documents and accept any grant funds awarded or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

36. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the Interlocal Contract with the State of Nevada, Department of Health and Human Services for the provision of child support enforcement services and distribution of federal reimbursement and incentive payments. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

37. Approve and authorize the creation of one (1) grant funded limited permanent full-time position Management Analyst I/II (C28/C29) for the period for which the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Safety and Justice Challenge grant funds are available. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

38. Receive the report of donations accepted by Clark County Family Services (CCFS), including the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center, from January 1, 2025 through March 31, 2025, for the use and benefit of CCFS and the children and families it serves. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

39. Approve and authorize the acceptance of the anticipated subaward from the State of Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services for the Adoption Incentive Program in the amount of \$529,243, for the period effective October 1, 2024 through September 30, 2025; approve and authorize the continuation of three (3) grant funded Limited Permanent positions: two (2) Legal Secretary's I/II (C24) and one (1) Office Specialist (C23) for the period for which grant funds are available, and authorize the Director to sign any additional grant documents and accept the funds awarded. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

40. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution authorizing the notice of sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in the following special improvement districts (SIDs): District #97B-7506 Strip Maintenance; District #121-7586-Southern Highlands Area; and provide for other matters properly relating thereto. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-7).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## SEC. 6. ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA

Items # 8, 9, 12, and 16 were heard separately.

## SEC. 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 10 A.M.

41. Conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 97B - Las Vegas Boulevard Beautification Maintenance between Sahara Avenue and Mandalay Bay Road; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 97B. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-8); ORDINANCE INTRODUCED AND PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 2025 AT 10 A.M. (BILL 5-20-25-2).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

### KEVIN SCHILLER

Commissioners, it's now 10 a.m., past 10 a.m., we can now move to the public hearing section of your agenda. Item 41 is conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 97B - Las Vegas Boulevard Beautification Maintenance between Sahara Avenue and Mandalay Bay Road; approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution, confirming the assessment roll in resolving all related protests; and introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m. for Special Improvement District No. 97B.

### TICK SEGERBLOM

I'll open the public hearing. Does the Clerk have any written complaints, protests, or objections?

### DEPUTY CLERK

There are no complaints.

### TICK SEGERBLOM

Is anyone here present that wishes to speak about this item? Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing and—

## MOTION

### **WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

I'll move for adoption of the resolution.

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. There's a motion, cast your vote.

## VOTE

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

And that motion passes. So now, do I have a commissioner introduce the ordinance? Is that what I have to do? All right. So, we'll introduce the ordinance and set the public hearing for Tuesday, June 3, at 10 a.m.

### **LISA LOGSDON**

The clerk's going to read it by title.

### **DEPUTY CLERK**

An ordinance concerning Clark County Nevada Improvement District No. 97B, strip maintenance. Providing for the payment of the costs and expenses of maintaining certain improvements, assessing the cost of said maintenance against accessible lots, tracks and parcels of land benefited by said maintenance, ratifying, approving and confirming all action previously taken and prescribing details and connection therewith.

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. I'll do it again. I'll set the public hearing for June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m.

42. Conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 114B - Las Vegas Boulevard South Strip Maintenance between Mandalay Bay Road and Russell Road; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 114B. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-9); ORDINANCE INTRODUCED AND PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 2025 AT 10 A.M. (BILL 5-20-25-3).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

### **KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, your next item is to conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 114B - Las Vegas Boulevard South Strip Maintenance between Mandalay Bay Road and Russell Road; approve, adopt, and

authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 114B.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. I'll open the public hearing. Does the Clerk have any written complaints, protests, or objections?

**DEPUTY CLERK**

There are no complaints, Mr. Chair.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. Is anyone here wishing to be heard on the final assessment roll? Seeing no one, I'll close the public hearing.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

And I'll move for adoption of the resolution.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right, there's a motion. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes. I'll introduce the ordinance, and for the Clerk, read the ordinance.

**DEPUTY CLERK**

An ordinance concerning Clark County Nevada Improvement District No. 114B, south strip maintenance, Mandalay Bay Road to Russell Road, providing for the payment of the costs and expenses of maintaining certain improvements, assessing the cost of said maintenance against the assessable lots, tracks and parcels of lands benefited by said maintenance, ratifying improving and confirming all action previously taken in prescribing details and connection therewith.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. I'll set the public hearing for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m.

43. Conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 126B - Boulder Highway Strip Maintenance, along Boulder Highway from Interstate 515 to the intersection of Desert Inn Road and Lamb Boulevard, and along Boulder Highway from Flamingo Road to approximately 900 feet south of East Sun Valley Drive; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 126B. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-10); ORDINANCE INTRODUCED AND PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 2025 AT 10 A.M. (BILL 5-20-25-4).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 43 is to conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 126B - Boulder Highway Strip Maintenance, along Boulder Highway from Interstate 515 to the intersection of Desert Inn Road and Lamb Boulevard, and along Boulder Highway from Flamingo Road to approximately 900 feet south of East Sun Valley Drive; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 126B.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

I'll open the public hearing. Does the Clerk have any written complaints, protests, or objections?

**DEPUTY CLERK**

Mr. Chair, there are no complaints.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Does anyone present wishing to speak on this final assessment role? Seeing no one, I'll close the public hearing.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

I move for adoption of the resolution.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion to adopt the resolution. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes. I'll introduce the ordinance and ask the Clerk to read the ordinance.

**DEPUTY CLERK**

An ordinance concerning Clark County Nevada Improvement District No. 126B, Boulder strip maintenance, providing for the payment of the costs and expenses of maintaining certain improvements, assessing the cost of said maintenance against the accessible lots, tracks and parcels of land benefited by said maintenance, ratifying, approving and confirming all action previously taken and prescribing details in connection therewith.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

I'll set the public hearing for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m.

44. Conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 162B - Laughlin Lagoon Waterfront project in the unincorporated township of Laughlin, Nevada; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 162B. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-11); ORDINANCE INTRODUCED AND PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 2025 AT 10 A.M. (BILL 5-20-25-5).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 44 is to conduct a public hearing to hear any and all complaints, protests or objections with respect to the assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 162B - Laughlin Lagoon Waterfront project in the unincorporated township of Laughlin, Nevada; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution confirming the assessment roll and resolving all related protests; introduce an ordinance levying assessments; and set a public hearing to consider the ordinance for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m., for Special Improvement District No. 162B.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

I'll open the public hearing. Does the Clerk have any written complaints, protests, or objections?

**DEPUTY CLERK**

Mr. Chair, there are no complaints.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Does anyone here wishing to speak on the final assessment roll? Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

And I move for adoption of the resolution.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion by Commissioner McCurdy. Cast your vote.

## VOTE

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** None

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes. I'll introduce the ordinance by title. Clerk, will you please read the ordinance?

### **DEPUTY CLERK**

An ordinance concerning Clark County Nevada Improvement District No. 162B, Laughlin-Magoon Maintenance, providing for the payment of the cost and expenses of maintaining certain improvements, assessing the cost of said maintenance against the assessable lots, tracts and parcels of land benefited by said maintenance, ratifying, approving and confirming all action previously taken and prescribing details and connection therewith.

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. I'll set the public hearing for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, at 10 a.m.

45. Conduct a public hearing on proposed District Resolution 25-002; and approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign District Resolution 25-002; which adopts amendments to the District Service Rules; or take other action as appropriate. (Sitting as the Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees) (For possible action)

### **ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

### **KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 45 is to conduct a public hearing on proposed District Resolution 25-002; and approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign District Resolution 25-002; which adopts amendments to the District Service Rules; or take other action as appropriate. (Sitting as the Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees).

### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

Mr. Minwegen, do you have a presentation?

### **TOM MINWEGEN**

Yes, I do. Yes, I do, Commissioner. Mr. Chair, members of the Board, good morning. Tom Minwegen with the Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD). We have been amending our service rules on an annual basis to improve administrative language clarity and on occasions to address matters relating to our rates, fees and charges. This year we assessed the services we provide to the development community and our existing customers and compared those services to the local wastewater agencies. As part of the process, we reached out to our Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) and stakeholders throughout the development community for discussion and feedback. The public hearing process also included a Business Impact Statement (BIS) that offered opportunity to provide comments. Although the BIS did not yield any formal feedback, our outreach discussions allowed us to hear from the development

community and our customers. The stakeholders' feedback was taken into consideration and was used in modifying the originally proposed service proposals.

Commissioners, I would like to briefly review the modified recommendations with you. Our first fee adjustment pertains to the septage and chemical toilet waste disposal fee. This rate has not been changed in the last 33 years. We propose to increase this fee from 6 cents per gallon to 9 cents per gallon in 1 cent increments over the next three years. The next service is the annual FOGG interceptor inspection fee. FOGG stands for Fats, Oil, Grease and Grit. FOGG interceptors are part of our federally required pretreatment program. Our proposal is to increase the annual fee from \$100 to \$125, again phasing in the change over the next two years according to this schedule.

We have had a hydro-mechanical alternate device pilot program for the last seven years. The pilot program has been very helpful in the cases of retrofitting new businesses into existing building spaces where the business is not a large FOGG generator. The Clark County Building Department has adopted the Uniformed Plumbing Code revision that allows the hydro-mechanical alternate devices as long as the case installation is approved by the wastewater agency. As learned during the pilot program, engineering, pretreatment and legal-related work go into these specific project applications that are necessary to permit, monitor and manage these devices. This is a good program for the right application that can avoid the expense associated with the traditional in-ground gravity FOGG interceptors.

Trustees, next the proposed charge here currently exists in the service rules, referenced as an availability charge, which is assessed at 30% of the annual sewer service fee. The wastewater industry has begun using the term capacity maintenance charge, which better captures the purpose. To give you an example of this, when a project or property pays their connection fees, they are reserving a sewer capacity in the collection system and treatment plant. If the permit on the project expires, the district is delayed in collecting the annual sewer service charges, therefore not covering the fixed cost of treatment. We are not aware of any current projects that would fall into this condition. We will phase in this change according to the schedule over the next three years.

Trustees, we are proposing also to adopt a new point of connection processing fee for each new development project. This service requires engineering reviews and hydraulic flow modeling runs to identify the closest point of connection to the existing sewer collection system that will in order to support the project's sewer flow capacity needs. Trustees, we are also proposing to adopt a new plan review fee for each new development project. This fee is consistent in approach with the other municipal wastewater agencies. Of all the proposed service rule changes we have before you today, this one and the proposed construction inspection fee enlisted the most stakeholder feedback. On this item, we modified the initially sought \$8.40 per foot of sewer pipe to be phased in approach over the next two years at the reduced rates shown here on this slide. And on this proposal to adopt the construction inspection fee for each new development, we modified the initially sought \$6.50 per foot of sewer pipe to this phased in approach over the next two years.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

May I ask a question, Mr. Minwegen? But that's a brand new one, right? One of these is a brand new chart.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Yes.



**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

I mean, I've been defending it. The cities do it, but I also want to be lower than the cities because we like some parity, but at the same time, that's a brand new one?

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Yes, Trustee Kirkpatrick. Yes. The plan review fee, as well as the construction inspection fee are both brand new to the Water Reclamation District. And yes, based on the feedback from board members, as well as the industry, we are positioned by the \$3 in the case of the plan review fee, as well as the \$3.50 both for the plan review, as well as the inspection. Yes, we running the calculations will be lower than the other agencies.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Well, I just—and maybe I didn't ask it right, but I wanted to have a white sheet so that we could share, which we had something, but I mean, to what's new to what we're starting because at the end of the day, I don't mind defending a fee, but at the same time, to be honest, I don't know which ones are free, which ones are new, which ones aren't new. And I do know the plan review is new because we noticed that we were not doing that. We were getting that process and going through that process, and I remind people all the time it was free, "So how about you submit your paperwork on time and we can move forward?" But it would've been—and maybe the other Commissioners are going to ask at the end which ones are new versus which ones—

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Trustee Kirkpatrick, if I may jump in there. We did, and I heard you, well, at previous meeting, as well as in briefings. We did attempt as part of the agenda package. There is two attachments. We try to summarize and identify then what was new versus what was basically just modification. So, at the end of the agenda package, there are two attachments, Attachment One and Attachment Two, which we intended, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, based on your good feedback, as well as other members' feedback to attempt to do that for you.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

This is what's in the agenda. So, is this something that I can send out to every contractor in my district that easy and they'll know what it means? I'm asking because I don't know.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

I would like to think so, but I cannot guarantee that, Commissioner, again. One of the attachments identifies the initial proposals and then based on the feedback from the industry, it identifies the recommendations that are being made here before you today. On the second side of that also summarizes all the potential either language clarification and/or again, the amendments and/or new proposals based on what we are presenting.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Okay.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

So, Commissioners and Members of the Board, I would like to thank our CAC members and the community stakeholders who engaged and provided us the feedback. With the stakeholders' input, we were able to address their concerns and recommend in most cases lower fees and phased-in approaches. Mr. Chair, I will be glad to answer any questions the board may have, and I will stand by to listen if there are any comments from the public.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Just to clarify, all the changes are reductions, so that was fine as far as the change between the—

**TOM MINWEGEN**

From the initial proposals posted in the newspaper to the BIS statement, yes, all fees are reduced from that.

**LISA LOGSDON**

Correct. And that's permitted.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Can I ask one more question?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Yes.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

So, let's just put on the record how this works because some of these fees start for the new fiscal year, which is July 1. We have a pretty quick turnaround, 50 days, three, two and one or something along those ways. So, should it pass today, are we sending out a notice that says as long as your applications are in prior to July 1, it won't take effect? Because if I remember correctly, July 1 is on a Tuesday or something. So, is that the expectation?

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Commissioner Kirkpatrick, yes, indeed. It would be wise of us to send out information in advance and by all means, any plan submittal and/or work prior to July 1 basically would not be assessed any of these fees. Projects beyond and after July 1 would be anticipated to be part of the program.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

In my past experience of putting in new fees or changing regulations, you're going to get inundated because everybody's now going to hurry. And what—we are going to have to staff up a little bit or have some markings or something because I don't know. We approved 124 pool permits in three days, right? So—

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Mm-hmm (affirmative).

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

I see you're going to get the same thing and you're probably going to get not the best product that you would like, but we've got to be mindful of that, right? And we still got to be able to process the three, two, one timeframe, you think?

**TOM MINWEGEN**

That's still the goal. Yes.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Okay.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Any other questions? Seeing no one. We'll entertain the motion.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

It's a public.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

A public? Oh, I'm sorry. Jumped ahead of ourselves. This is a public hearing. Anyone here wishing to speak on this item? Mr. Uehling.

**ED UEHLING**

Yes. My name is Ed Uehling. I'm not clear about how we were supposed to know that there was going to be a public hearing about this. It says that stakeholders were advised, but I don't remember ever receiving a letter or an indication that there were going to be a revision of the rules. And some of these rules are very strange. For example, we wanted to convert a house into a commercial status and even though we were using the same pipes, everything, there was no change, we would've had to pay \$25,000 just because now the use now was a residential use and the use in the future was going to be a lawyer office, which would use the toilets a lot less. And is that the sort of thing that's being discussed here? Are these concealed things within the rules that you're approving now? It's very mysterious exactly what is going on, and it doesn't make sense.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Tom, can you answer that?

**TOM MINWEGEN**

My first response would be the CCWRD has service rules and that's why I preluded this meeting we come to you annually to amend them in ways that helps provide the customer and anyone doing business with the water rec more clarity and understanding what it takes to do business for obtaining sewer services. Okay? As far as the disclosure process, this was a three-step process. This is a third time before the board as part of the public hearing process along with the stakeholders. Yes, I do not notify every 274,000 customers I have personally. Okay? We do activate our information through our CAC, as well as a number of effects groups that would be probably more affected such as the Home Builders NAHB (National Association of Home Builders), the major groups in the community that have extensive branches also of individual interest, as well as business interest.

So yes, there's attempt made, but Mr. Uehling's correct. I did not mail a notice to all 274,000 accounts that I have that we serve under water reclamation, but we do try to do our best in the way of getting word out and having numerous outreach meetings with the various constituents, customers, as well as stakeholders.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

And because there's an ordinance, it had to do a BIS, so that was sent out and responded to and the community knew about it—

**TOM MINWEGEN**

And (inaudible) all the formal processes and legal processes.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. Thank you.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Can I clarify a couple things? But we did have the CAC meet, right?

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Yes, we did.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

So, I mean, at the end of the day to Mr. Uehling's point, one, we notice it public hearing like we do anything else, but we also did that extra step as we do with so many other agencies and have the CAC give—I mean, it's surprising that they didn't give any recommendations because—It's not the goal is for them to give us recommendations, but at the same time, we did have that and this typically is going to be new development going forward that's going to be impacted by this. Correct? I just want to be clear in my mind.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. We're ready for a motion.

**MOTION**

**JUSTIN JONES**

I give approval.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion by Commissioner Jones. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick,

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** Michael Naft

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes. Thank you.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Thank you, members of the board. Thank you.

46. Conduct a public hearing and approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution approving the issuance by the Public Finance Authority (Wisconsin), in one or more series, of tax-exempt 501(c)(3) revenue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$35,000,000 in order to (a) finance, refinance and/or reimburse the acquisition, construction, improvement, equipping, and furnishing of a charter educational facility located at 818 West Brooks Avenue, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89030 for use by The Delta Academy, (b) fund a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds, (c) pay capitalized interest with respect to the Bonds and related working capital, and (d) pay certain costs of issuance of the Bonds. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-5-20-25-12).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 46 is to conduct a public hearing and approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution approving the issuance by the Public Finance Authority (Wisconsin) in one or more series of tax-exempt 501(c)(3) revenue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$35 million in order to finance, refinance and/or reimburse the acquisition, construction, improvement, equipping and furnishing of a charter educational facility located at 818 West Brooks Avenue, North Las Vegas, 89030 for use by The Delta Academy, fund a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds, pay capitalized interest with respect to the Bonds and related working capital, and pay certain costs of issuance of the Bonds.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Jessica, you always tell us what's going on.

**JESSICA COLVIN**

Commissioner, I believe this project is Commissioner McCurdy's office and he's been briefed. We do have representative for the project in the audience. If you have any questions. Again, just a reminder that this is not a county obligation. It's just a requirement under the Internal Revenue Code that the governing body approve the project.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. This is a public hearing. Anyone here wishing to speak on this item? Seeing no one, we'll close the public hearing and see if anyone has a discussion or wants to make a motion.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, I've spoken to the charter school representatives. They are in my district. And I'm comfortable with making a motion for approval.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

<b>VOTING AYE:</b>	William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick
<b>VOTING NAY:</b>	None
<b>ABSENT:</b>	Michael Naft
<b>ABSTAIN:</b>	None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passed.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 47 is to conduct a public hearing-

**DEPUTY CLERK**

Mr. Chair? Excuse me. The vote posted too quickly and we missed the vote. Can we recapture the vote for that?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Can we redo the vote? Only five people voted. Redo the vote. And Commissioner Naft is absent but excused.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Move for approval again.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** Michael Naft

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Now the motion passes.

47. Conduct a public hearing to receive a report on the non-binding fact finding recommendation for the 2024 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Clark County and the Clark County Defenders Union (CCDU). (For possible action)

**ACTION: RECEIVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 47 is to conduct a public hearing to receive a report on the non-binding fact-finding recommendation for the 2024 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Clark County and the Clark County Defenders Union (CCDU).

**CURTIS GERMANY**

Good morning. Chair, Commissioners, for the record, Curtis Germany, Director of Human Resources. The item before you today has been placed on the agenda for this meeting to ensure that the board receives a timely report from the fact-finder as required under NRS 288.200. Your materials include the impartial fact-finders recommendations report concerning two unresolved matters from the 2024 collective bargaining efforts. Those two issues are that of longevity and also a new proposed article referred to as Salary Parity. These items were submitted to Arbitrator Hirsch near the end of January, 2025 in accordance with NRS 288.200, Subsection 8. Arbitrator Hirsch in his recommendation on April 16 is attached as Attachment One. And I am here today to answer any questions you may have on that recommendation. And it is my understanding that under NRS 288, there is actually no action required today from the board. This is just a report out of that fact-finding.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Because my son is a public defender, I'm abstaining from any considerations. I'll turn it over to Commissioner McCurdy.

**LISA LOGSDON**

This is a public hearing required.

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

All right. This is a public hearing. Is there anyone in audience wishing to come forward at this time? Seeing no one, will close the public hearing and ask there is any discussion from Members of the Board. There is none. We'll receive the report.

**CURTIS GERMANY**

Thank you.

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Thank you.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, that conclude your agenda. There is no closed session.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. I think we're adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 a.m.

**LISA LOGSDON**

Have your second set of public-

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Oh. Public comment. Sorry about that. Wishful thinking. There's public comment.

## **END PUBLIC HEARINGS**

### **SEC. 8. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES**

48. Introduce an ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code, Chapter 20.10, Section 20.10.010, to amend rentals, fees, and charges-Harry Reid International Airport; and provide for other matters properly related thereto; and set a public hearing; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: INTRODUCED AND SET FOR PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 3, 2025, AT 10 A.M. (BILL 5-20-25-1).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

That concludes your consent agenda. Commissioners, we can now move to introduction of ordinances. Item 48 is an ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code, Chapter 20.10, Section 20.10.010, to amend rentals, fees, and charges - Harry Reid International Airport; and provide for other matters properly related thereto; and set a public hearing; or take other action as appropriate.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. I'll set the public hearing for Tuesday, June 3, 2025, 10 a.m.

## SEC. 9. BUSINESS ITEMS

49. Identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings; receive updates on the activities of the various regional boards and commissions; and direct staff accordingly.

**ACTION: IDENTIFIED/RECEIVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, it's not yet 10 a.m., so we'll now move to the business section of your agenda. Item 49 is to identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings; receive updates on the activities of various regional boards and commissions; and direct staff accordingly.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Seeing no one has any emerging issues, I just wanted to put on the record, I think I'm getting some inquiries and asked to approve some things with The Boring Company. We haven't had a report from them for quite some time and I'd like to ask that The Boring Company come and make a presentation and maybe we could also have planning and building participate in that also and maybe even the airport so we can get a full picture of what's going on out there.

50. Accept and approve the Business Impact Statement pursuant to NRS 237.090 for the proposed ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code, Chapter 20.10, Section 20.10.010 to amend rentals, fees, and charges-Harry Reid International Airport; and provide for other matters properly related thereto; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Item 50 is to accept and approve the Business Impact Statement pursuant to NRS 237.090 for the proposed ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code, Chapter 20.10, Section 20.10.010 to amend rentals, fees, and charges - Harry Reid International Airport and provide for other matters properly related thereto; or take other action as appropriate.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Good morning.

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

Good morning. Commissioners, Rosemary Vasiliades, Director of Aviation. This is a companion item to the introduction or the introduction of ordinance that was just approved or to go forward and we will have a presentation at the public hearing on June 3. However, this is the Business Impact Statement process for the rates and charges. A budget meeting was held with the Airline Affairs Committee on April 22, and was unanimously approved on May 1. So, what we are asking you is to determine that the ordinance described does not impose a significant economic burden on the airlines and that's what I ask your motion to be.



**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right, is there a motion?

**MOTION**

**JIM GIBSON**

Mr. Chair, I move that—well first of all that we recognize that the charges and fees as increased do not present a significant—help me. You need a finding of—

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

You need a determination that—

**JIM GIBSON**

—that no significant adverse impact or adverse effect from these, the increase in the fees and that we approve the item.

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

And I ask you that because the airlines did unanimously approve it.

**JIM GIBSON**

Pardon me?

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

I ask that because the airlines have already unanimously approved the new fees as presented before you.

**JIM GIBSON**

All right, so that's my motion then.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right, there's a motion. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes.

**JIM GIBSON**

Thank you.

**ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS**

Thank you very much.

51. Discuss amending Title 8 of the Clark County Code to modernize business licensing requirements regarding access to liquor in a hotel room, hotel suite, and private skyboxes, private booths/viewing areas in a resort; and direct staff accordingly. (Sitting as the Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board) (For possible action)

**ACTION: DISCUSSED. STAFF DIRECTED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, Item 51 is to discuss amending Title 8 of the Clark County Code to modernize business licensing requirements regarding access to liquor in a hotel room, hotel suite, and private sky boxes, private booths/viewing areas in a resort; and direct staff accordingly. Sitting as Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board, Chair McCurdy.

**WILLIAM MCCUDRY II**

Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you Mr. Manager. So, we brought this item forward out of advice from our director of business licensing and we are seeking to do is modernize the code based on the new technology and be based within practical enforcement within our properties. So basically, Clark County Code 8.20.020.150 goes over the individual access licensing requirements within hotels to secure alcohol be in locked cabinets or refrigerators of a hotel room, hotel suite, private sky boxes or private booths or viewing areas of a resort hotel or sports convention pavilion.

So, what I would like staff to do is to go and amend the code, remove the requirement that the cabinet or refrigerator must be locked. The adult guest must assume control of the alcoholic liquor stocked in the hotel room and prevent consumption by minors. And also, the licensee will be required to ensure that the locker or room occupied exclusively by minors is not stocked with any alcohol or liquor. And if there are no questions from Director Queano, that will be my direction.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Does this require a motion? Is that a motion?

**LISA LOGSDON**

This is just a discussion item, so if the board or any of the commissioners have any other input, if not, we'll move forward with that direction.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

May I ask a question? So, in the meantime, because this has been a standing long practice, are we not citing people or until we get the ordinance, until the Board gives you direction to get the ordinance in place or how are we addressing it? I guess?

**VINCENT QUEANO**

Good morning Commission, Vince Queano, Director of Business License. So currently, we've issued two notices of violations for this type of violation. We're not actively seeking enforcement, it's just due to resources to be able to make site visits to each and every hotel room or private suites.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Okay, thank you. I just know it takes 90 days to get an ordinance in place and all that, so I didn't know if we were looking for keys when we go out inspecting.

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Thank you.

52. Receive a presentation from the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority about their various programs and services. (For possible action)

**ACTION: RECEIVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Thank you. Commissioners, we can now move to Item 52, which is to receive a presentation from the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority about their various programs and services.

**STEVE HILL**

Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the commission. I am Steve Hill, I'm the CEO (Chief Executive Officer) of the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority (LVCVA). We really appreciate the opportunity to be with you this morning. Wanted to give you an update on how the market's doing, some of the things that we're working on. I want to thank both Commissioner Gibson, who is our chair and Commissioner Naft who are both members of our board for all their leadership and their help. I certainly want to thank you as Commissioners, your staff across the board, many of the initiatives that we have happen inside of your jurisdiction and we would not be able to do those things without your partnership and your help in making them happen, and I hope that you will pass that on to your staff here, but your staff that also is not here for all of their work in helping us to make that happen.

Talk a little bit today about how the market has performed, what our outlook is for the next several months, and then talk about some of the initiatives that we have either undertaken or are planning to do. I do want to thank you for recognizing Caroline Bateman, who is our general counsel. She is not one for recognition, and because of that, our staff has asked that when you're done with that, if we could have that, we'd like to post that in our office so that we could continue to cause her discomfort as we move forward. But we're very proud of Caroline and she's our general counsel and does a fantastic job and we really do genuinely appreciate you recognizing her as well.

First, I just want to mention the City of Las Vegas hosted just last week and celebrated its 120 birthday. It's a really remarkable story about this very small whistle-stop on a rail line that in just a short 120 years has become maybe the most globally recognized city in the world. I can go, and I'm sure you can as well, go across the country, around the world, whether folks speak our language or not, just say Las Vegas out loud, their eyes light up, they smile. There's no place like that, and to have accomplished that in 120 years is pretty remarkable. I want to thank Commissioner Gibson for being on the panel that talked about our history, talked about where we are, what our future looks like.

I have two videos today for you because the videos are more informative and more entertaining than I am. We have one that just shortly depicts the trajectory of Las Vegas over 120 years.

*(Video Presentation Begins)*

*There's more to Las Vegas than bright lights and showgirls. There's another side to Las Vegas. The most glamorous five miles in America. And the clock never stops on entertainment and excitement. I guess that's the magic of Las Vegas.*

*(Video Presentation Completed)*

**STEVE HILL**

So, we're looking forward to the next 120 years. I'm going to talk a little bit about how the Las Vegas market has performed over the last number of years, but more particularly in the first quarter of 2025 and three slides that kind of depict that. I will say we have preliminary numbers that we have not included because they're too new and too preliminary to have included for April, so we can look at the first four months of the year. April was actually a little bit better than the first three months of the year, so it will modify the down numbers that you see a little bit. For example, ADR (American Depositary Receipts) will get into the negative 5.5% for the first four months rather than that negative 8.1%.

What you'll see as we go briefly through these numbers and look elsewhere is that our counting stats, visitation, occupancy, things along those lines, are down somewhat. They're down in the 8% range in those first three months, 5% or 6% in the subsequent months. The financial performance of the destination is relatively flat at a very high level. We've been setting records financially, whether that's in terms of room tax generated or gaming win, those types of statistics. Those numbers are at close to a record high. We're just off of those records, but those records have been set over the last year or two, and so the city is, the jurisdiction is doing pretty well financially. There's some headwinds as we look forward.

Here you see visitation down in the first three months, about 7%. That again, is mitigated somewhat by April. Convention attendance is down a little for the first three months. If you add April, it would be slightly higher than the first four months of last year. Occupancy is down a little bit. Passengers at the airport down a little bit. Again, we haven't seen April numbers there yet and traffic across largely I-15, but really over all three entrances into Southern Nevada from our neighboring states just down very slightly.

As we look forward, there is concern about summer. There's the demand that we see right now, booking trends are off of where they have been in the past couple of years. We are not an organization that professionally predicts the future. We have an awful lot of information. We need to take into account what the possibilities are, and we tend to focus on what the downside might look like because it's our responsibility to help address those downsides. We see a trend that is, through the summer, probably similar to what we have seen through the first three or four months with demand down a little bit.

We have a great lineup of events, concerts, a number of attractions that we hope will mitigate any downturn that we see through the summer. We are leaning into a couple of marketing initiatives in order to get ahead of this. We are really increasing our partnership with the OTAs (Online Travel Agencies) such as Expedia and Bookings.com to help intercept those who are searching for travel and show them the benefits of that travel coming to Las Vegas. We are leaning into a message of an offering in Las Vegas for any budget.

As we came out of COVID, the messaging was more around sports. It was more around the high end of the market, what you could experience at the high end. We want to continue that, but we want to emphasize as people are looking at their pocketbooks, that there is an offering here for any budget. People still need to get away, still need to travel, still need Las Vegas, and we want to make sure that they know that those offerings exist. As we look at headwinds, the tourism economics is really where we look first for projections. They are projecting about a 10% decline in international travel, the largest from Canada.

Those are trends that we are actually ahead of right this minute. The drop in travel that we have seen in the first three or four months is largely domestic. It is not international. Partially because in partnership

with the airport, we have increased routes internationally, so seat capacity coming into Las Vegas is higher than it has been in the past, and that's helped offset any decline in demand. We are seeing a reduction in from Canada, but it's really in the 10% range, not that 20% range the tourism economics has projected.

What we really see is a concern on the part of our customers, and I've got two slides here. One is consumer confidence, the other is consumer sentiment. Consumer confidence is typically around questions of the job market, how they feel about their employment, how they feel about the prospects of keeping and getting a job. And then consumer sentiment, which really shows a more concerning trend, is around how they feel about their financial situation. Those two things are obviously related. These charts tend to move somewhat together, but the concern around where the consumer in the United States is right now in terms of their financial situation has gotten worse over the past few months, and the uncertainty of policy and where things are headed obviously have been top of mind, have been in headlines and it is causing the consumer to pause as they consider travel.

I will end that part of what I'm talking about and can pause, Mr. Chairman, for questions during or after, either way. It's up to you obviously, but I will say that I'm completely optimistic about Las Vegas. We are a destination that has proven to be very adaptable, to continue to be able to reinvent ourselves. We see this situation coming. It is not a dire situation like COVID was obviously, or even the Great Recession in 2008/2009. This is more akin to a business downturn that we haven't seen in quite some time. The downturns that we've had over the last 20-25 years have been severe. Many people have not gone through what used to be a business cycle where you'd have a few up years and then you'd have a relatively short but shallow recession. And that's right now what we are going through, just a drop in demand that is something that we can work through that we're ahead of, and we're very fortunate to be in Las Vegas. It continues to be the easiest destination in the world to sell. Just a brief update on our renovation.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Can I ask you a question? I thought you were going to pause so we could ask questions.

**STEVE HILL**

Absolutely.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Sorry. Can I ask a question? So, for as long as I've ever been around, convention business was our priority business, and it seems that from 2019, we've been somewhat flat and now we're seeing a negative. Is there a plan? Are we losing business but gaining others which keeps us flat? I mean, it just seems that, I feel like we've never recovered totally from COVID on the business, and now you show that the international travel is down, which is tons of our convention business. Are we nervous? I just don't want to—the concerts are great, if you could afford to go to them. They're super expensive, but at the same time, the convention business brings people all week long where they're doing things every single day. They're eating, they're gambling, they're doing other things, and that affords our constituents to have discretionary dollars because all of that, it's busy all the time. So, what's the conversation or what's the thoughts on that?

**STEVE HILL**

Yeah, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, really good question, and you're right. We've recovered to that 6 million attendee convention and meeting level, which compares to 2019 when we hit a peak of 6.6 million. There are really two answers for the why haven't we completely recovered question, and that is, as you point out, international visitation is a big part of our convention and meeting visitation attendees, and we have

not fully recovered internationally since COVID. We have largely throughout the world other than Asia, and Asia has been slower to recover. So, if you look at, say, Japan or China's statistics, we're at about 50% of the visitation we had prior to the pandemic. Some of that is recovery of direct flights, but some of it is just a reticence on the part of Asia to come back to the United States. That's a phenomenon we see here. It's a phenomenon that we see elsewhere as well.

The other is that some industries, and this is not universal, but in particular the tech industry which we rely on heavily here, has come out of COVID and been very cost conscious. And so, instead of a large company sending 40 people to a meeting or a trade show, they'll send 32 or 30. And so, we have seen the footprint of the trade shows that we have and the exhibitors that we have had largely stay the same, but we have seen attendance at some of the major shows, and really not just major but across the board, be reduced because of that cost control that we're seeing from some of the companies.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

So, can I ask a question? So, typically for CES (Consumer Electronics Show), that's January, so it seems like a long time away, but the truth is, they put the bulk of their stuff on containers in August. So, we always have a pretty good idea who's coming because in order for them to get their stuff here, get it unloaded, they got to ship in August. I mean technology has good and bad, right? Because we learned during COVID, they could do a lot of stuff on Zoom with these big conventions that we didn't ever think that was possible, but nothing is better than having heads in beds, right?

So, do we see those bigger ones, outside of changing their footprint, but are they coming and doing more things or are they changing their mindset too on what's new and cool out there? I mean, I just feel like one time I went to CES, date myself, 2017, where they talked about fiber in the road from Germany, and lo and behold, here we are, right? Or they talked about AI (Artificial Intelligence) and with AI coming on so fast, I wonder if that's going to change our convention business with all that technology choices.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Ask AI.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

This is why I don't sit on this board because I drive him crazy asking questions.

**STEVE HILL**

Commissioner Kirkpatrick, yes. All the shows in all of the industries are consistently trying to evolve to make sure that they're at the cutting edge of their industries. CES is certainly like that. If you look at what the show footprint was in 2017, if you go into our North Hall now during CES, that whole hall is automotive and autonomy based, which wasn't the case. I mean, the hall didn't exist in 2017 either. But that size of that topic and the technology industry really wasn't there.

All of those shows are constantly evolving, and those industries are changing. City's always reaching out to new and potential shows in order to attract them, but the phenomenon that we're seeing in Las Vegas is pretty similar to what is being seen across the country. We haven't quite recovered to what we had in 2019. And now we're not, the policy decisions affect those shows pretty significantly. Thinking about what Canadian visitation and Canadian exhibition is going to look like at all of these major shows, for example, is a concern for our customers.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Commissioner Gibson.

## **JIM GIBSON**

Mr. Hill, maybe you could share with us just a little bit about what we do in the way of sales. As we reach out, we compete with other cities in this country and around the world. We also are constantly moving to sell the destination. Could you just share a little bit about how you're structured and how that occurs?

## **STEVE HILL**

Certainly. Thank you, Chair Gibson, Commissioner Gibson, I guess here. Yes, we have an entire sales department. In fact, we have recently filled our Chief Sales Officer role. We haven't announced that yet. The person who has taken that hasn't announced to all of the folks that they work with now, but they will be starting in June with us. And we have two departments, one that is the sales department for the convention center itself, and then we have a destination sales department, and we have staff in both. The convention center sales group is probably pretty self-evident. We look to fill the convention center. Our goal is to have 1.9 million attendees at the convention center by 2028. We think we're going to hit that number by 2027. For perspective, prior to the pandemic, our attendance high was about 1.45 million attendees. The added space that we have at the convention center will enable us to grow, and we're getting more efficient at filling the building.

On the destination sales side, our role is both to serve as a business development organization for the entire destination, and that ranges from the largest companies like MGM and Caesars, to the many smaller companies that really don't have their own large sales force. Almost all of the properties have a sales force or at least a salesperson or two, but they don't have the reach that the largest companies do or that the LVCVA does. There's also a referral service called Cvent that provides leads for organizations that are looking to put on a show. So, those meeting organizers or trade show organizers put those leads into Cvent and designate where they would like those leads to go, whether they are specifically looking for a show in a particular property or a particular city, or just in the southwest or anywhere in the United States.

We get about 3,500 leads a year through Cvent. So, 15 a day, something along those lines. And we act as a kind of dispatch office to make sure that if a show is interested in being in Las Vegas, we make sure they get connected to a property here that it has the dates, has the space, has the ability to fulfill their needs and wants them in their property. So, we're basically the top of the sales funnel on the destination side that shows obviously work through the contracts and close those deals, but we make sure that each of those shows that has an interest in Las Vegas lands here ultimately.

Okay. Just a brief update on our renovation. We started this at the beginning of last year, at the beginning of 2024. We took down our North Hall and refurbished, renovated that hall, and we started on the outside of the building and did the face of the building and what we call the snow cone, the main entrance into the North and Central Halls during 2024. The North Hall came back up and was available and opened in late September for MINEXPO, and we continued to work on the face of the building. This year, we have taken down the Central Hall, which is the oldest building, and about 50% larger than the North Hall, North Hall is about 400,000 square feet, Central Hall is 600,000 square feet. Central Hall is currently being renovated, and we are constructing a new face of the building in front of the Central Hall connecting this main entrance to our— I wasn't sure if we had a picture of that or not— to the South Hall. Right now, if you want to leave the North and Central Halls to go to the South Hall, you have to come outside, and we're getting toward the time of year where that can be an uncomfortable experience. We wanted to connect those, make it a more enjoyable experience for our attendees. That will all be done by the end of this year. We'll bring the Central Hall back online again in September. The concourse in front of that hall will be complete later in the fourth quarter, and those two halls will then be completely renovated. In order to stay within our budget, this project got delayed by three years because of the pandemic. Price of construction has gone up in that period of time.

We really de-scoped much of the work in the South Hall in order to stay within the financial parameters that were outlined in the law that were passed and that we had available to us. In that interim period of time, we have been able to set aside some money to do some of the work in the South Hall that absolutely needs to be done, and we will start that work probably sometime next year. Lighting work, if you walk into South Hall, you'll now notice a really significant difference in the lighting quality. We have several shows that need quality lighting in the South Hall, so that lighting work will start hopefully by the end of this year.

Commissioner, I heard your request. I have The Boring Company come. I'd be happy to pass that along. I took that as a hint, so be happy to do that. Just briefly, I want to thank the Commission and your staff for helping this project to move forward. We continue to think it's exceptionally important. We currently have 8 operating stations. We have 104 of those planned. Sixty-eight miles of tunnel has been land use permitted either most of it here in Clark County, some of it in the city of Las Vegas. This project is moving forward, doing exceptionally well, and I just want to take the opportunity to say thanks for all the work, and particularly the building department and the fire department.

It's a new project. It's different than obviously anything else in the United States. We're proud of that. It makes it very helpful, makes it an attraction in and of itself, but it also makes it a difficult project to determine exactly how to permit both from a building standpoint and a safety standpoint. So, really want to thank your manager and the folks at the fire department and the building department for all their work on it.

Talked about the monorail and the length of time that it has to continue to operate. We brought what used to be Bombardier, they've changed their name, but we brought that company and they built this system 25-30 years ago. Brought them in to have them inspect both the physical infrastructure as well as the trains and give us both their opinion on how long the monorail could stay open and what it would take to allow that to happen. They felt like the system had a 10-year life and this is a relatively recent study, six months ago, eight months ago, and they recommended some capital investment in order to allow that to happen. We are in the process of investing that money. Some of that was just recently approved by our board. Some of the other projects will be coming before our board. We are investing the money that it takes in order to allow this to physically operate for the next 10 years.

There's some possibility that the success of The Boring Company could make this financially unappealing or really not possible to continue, but that would be because they're successful and they're taking the ridership that is now on the monorail and putting it in their tunnels. And that, once one of those situations takes place, we are still planning to take the rail off of the stanchions of the monorail, put a two-lane precast road on top of that, use the stations that are currently in place as Boring Company stations and connect it to that system, so that we don't lose that infrastructure, we don't put 5.5 million passengers on the street to add to the congestion that we have in town. And so, that's the longer-term plan for the monorail system.

This continues to be financially successful. We made about \$11 million in fiscal year 2024. We think we're going to make about \$7.75 million, which is more of a steady state number we think, moving forward for the monorail, but on the investment we made of \$24 million could return on investment. As long as we continue with ridership in this range, we feel like we can continue with that kind of return on that investment.

WrestleMania is just kind of emblematic of the events that we have been able to host. Been fortunate to host in Las Vegas and look forward to continuing to do. This was a phenomenon. I hope you all had an opportunity to see it somehow. It's a completely different experience than you see in a number of the



sporting events that we have in town. People were glued to their seats. These were sell-outs both nights at Allegiant Stadium, sell-outs at T-Mobile on Friday and Monday. We had over 100,000 people go through the FanFest at the Convention Center. It was an amazing success for WrestleMania, and it is just confirmation that if you want to maximize the event that you are putting on, doing the event in Las Vegas is the place to do it. I have a video here that just shows WrestleMania a little bit.

*(Video Presentation Begins)*

*Show the Las Vegas, the entertainment capital in the world. WrestleMania is about to run wild. WrestleMania. Let's go. When we bring events to Las Vegas, the entire community rises up to make them happen. What we really do in the tourism promotion business is try and raise the quality of life throughout the community. It's really what our job is. We do that by bringing visitors here, by bringing these great events here. But the purpose is to make lives of Las Vegans better.*

*The greatest spectacle in the history of sports has landed in Las Vegas, Nevada, and we are so incredibly lucky to be here.*

*This is wonderful Las Vegas, the desert playground that hosts some of the greatest events on the planet. As you see, the folks have showed up here at WWE (World Wrestling Entertainment) World, here at the Las Vegas Convention Center. What happens to you becomes legendary.*

*Welcome to WrestleMania.*

*You are looking live at Allegiant Stadium in Las Vegas, Nevada. Over 60,000 WWE fans will be in attendance tonight as we welcome you to the greatest event in entertainment. This night, once again, belongs to Bianca Belair.*

*Celebrating the greatest of all time when all is said and done.*

*WrestleMania smashed records in a big way. Vegas delivered in the biggest way possible.*

*(Video Presentation Completed)*

#### **STEVE HILL**

All right, fun event. Filled the city up on what is typically a relatively slow weekend, and the folks from WWE, their superstars were out in the community the entire time they were here doing community events. And it was a really great thing to see, and we're thrilled to have them be really a part of the city. Nick Khan, who is their CEO, born and raised in Las Vegas, graduated from Durango High School, they are a part of TKO (TKO Group Holdings, Inc.) now, which includes UFC (Ultimate Fighting Championship). Obviously, we're really proud of the UFC story and all that they have meant to Las Vegas. And so, I think we will continue to see more and more events from that organization. So, anyway, that is my presentation, Mr. Chairman. I'm happy to answer any other questions that the commissioners may have.

#### **TICK SEGERBLOM**

Commissioner Naft, do you want to brag about anything? I wanted to make a couple of comments.

#### **JIM GIBSON**

Go ahead.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Commissioner Gibson first.

**JIM GIBSON**

No, I'll follow you, Commissioner Chair.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. Just wanted to first thank you. We had a great job fair a couple weeks ago, so fantastic. So, you guys really bent over backwards to help us out and it really helps the community too. So, thank you so much. And then, I just wanted to put a plug in for the monorail. I know you said 10 years, hopefully it lasts longer. I think with the baseball stadium it's going to be another source of ways to get there. So, it just seems like it's worth keeping. So, thank you.

**STEVE HILL**

Yeah, absolutely. Thank you.

**JIM GIBSON**

I couldn't have said it better.

**STEVE HILL**

Thank you very much.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you so much.

53. Go into closed session, pursuant to NRS 241.015(4)(c) as amended by AB52, to receive information from the District Attorney regarding potential or existing litigation involving a matter over which the Board has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power, and to deliberate toward a decision on the matter, and pursuant to NRS Chapter 288.220, to receive a report on the status of ongoing labor negotiations; and direct staff accordingly. (For possible action)

**ACTION: NO CLOSED SESSION HELD. NO ACTION TAKEN BY THE BOARD.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

**MARGARET ANN COLEMAN**

Excuse me. (inaudible)

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Good morning, Ms. Coleman.

**MARGARET ANN COLEMAN**

Good morning, Commissioners, or afternoon. My name is Margaret Ann Coleman. I am here as a 73-year-old suffering from the state and city abuse. I'm a California, Los Angeles graduate. Nevada has shown me that I have no purpose here. I'm continuously being target to go to jail. I'm wasting my time and my life. I can't even have bedding because it's being stolen that I paid for. So please understand your purpose to

me. Release or relieve my reimbursement to be a receiver from the court order A204984 of my workman's compensation and pension that was used from the NAIW (Nevada Attorney for Injured Workers) policy claim of the insurer, won March 4 by me, A204984, as a working class, can't return back to the same position. I'm only being target with tickets unnecessarily as I stated to go to jail. I would like and ask you to release me and giving me my finances as reimbursement. Thank you. My name is Margaret Coleman.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you.

**MARGARET ANN COLEMAN**

Oh. These cards, these credit cards, if you could have Abigail approve them, maybe I could get the money from there. I can't use my \$1,000 that I'm getting to take care of these.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Oh, I can't comment, but I would say you better hold on to those credit cards.

**MARGARET ANN COLEMAN**

Well, I'll take them to Abigail. Thank you.

**GRACE ALBANESE**

Hello?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Good morning.

**GRACE ALBANESE**

Can I speak?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Yes.

**GRACE ALBANESE**

My name is Grace Albanese, A-L-B-A-N-E-S-E. And the library, our staff at the Spring Valley Library, are keeping PDF files on me while they're working using library equipment and they're keeping a database on me while they're at work using library equipment at the Spring Valley Library. Kelvin Watson, he's in charge. I believe he's behind a lot of this. He has a big technology background. Kelvin Watson, he's in charge of \$77 million budget for the library. He's allowing library staff to keep a database on me and PDF files on me using library equipment.

This man needs the full Fiore treatment, as in Michelle Fiore, who was facing 20 years. She didn't have \$77 million at her disposal. She was facing, excuse me, 20 years. And this man needs the full Fiore treatment because he's violating my civil rights of privacy by allowing his librarians at the Spring Valley Library. A man named Carl. He's been there 10 years. Now giving orders to Jonathan to create PDF files on me and put them into a database. This gentleman here, Kelvin Watson, he needs to be treated the same way Michelle Fiore was. Michelle had charitable donations that they said she misused, well under \$77 million. This guy's got taxpayer dollars that he's misusing to violate my civil rights and he needs to be held accountable. He has a huge technology background, and so it's a huge misuse of that taxpayer money to create databases on a private citizen by the library staff, putting really my thoughts and dreams on a PDF file.

The American Library Association, as well as Epic.org has the guidelines and the standards for what the government is allowed and not allowed to do with regards to personal information. I don't know if my thoughts and dreams fall under personal information, but it's still—I'm a library user and there's things that you cannot do to a library user if you're using library equipment that was funded with taxpayer dollars. Thank you.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you.

**ED UEHLING**

Yes. My name is Ed Uehling. I'd like to comment on the presentation by Mr. Hill regarding the LVCVA. I moved here, my family moved here in 1943. I was three years old. I can remember participating in gathering things for World War II to send to—that were needed, like grease, from—we would go around to houses and collect grease or aluminum or other things that would be used in the war. I also remember the train. In 1945, it wasn't that different from 1905. There were only 10,000 people living here. And the train was the main source of energy for the city. And we would go over there and pick up my uncles who were returning from the war.

So, I'm pretty aware of what happened after that and how the city developed. The city developed because it was free enterprise. The people that were running the state and the city had no problem going against the rules of the federal government. They had guts. They knew what they wanted, and they went out and got it. What is happening now though is this city has been converted into a company city, a company town, run by the casinos. And this body is responsible for that. And that's why there are huge problems existing.

If you calculate, if the reduction in visitors continues, we will have fewer visitors this year than we had in 2007. That's the poor job that the LVCVA is doing and spending what? \$500 million, half a billion dollars, a year of tax funds handed over to an organization that serves exclusively the hotels. It doesn't care about any other hotels in the county. It doesn't care about—you put out a business, the competition with the hotels by eliminating 11,000 people that do have homes for people, provide visitors homes, just like we did in the free enterprise system that we had in the 1950s. And so, it's this organization that is destroying the vitality of our economy.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you, Mr. Uehling. Anyone else wishing to speak? Seeing no one We'll now adjourn, recess until tomorrow morning at 10 a.m.

**END PUBLIC COMMENTS**

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, at the hour of 11:05 a.m., Chair Tick Segerblom recessed the meeting to the hour of 9 a.m. on Wednesday, May 21, 2025, for the Zoning agenda.

**PLEASE NOTE:** THE COUNTY CLERK KEEPS THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF ALL PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION, THE CCWRD BOARD OF TRUSTEES, THE UMC HOSPITAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, THE CLARK COUNTY LIQUOR AND GAMING LICENSING BOARD, AND THE CLARK COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY. TO OBTAIN A COMPLETE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF ALL PROCEEDINGS, ANY PHOTOGRAPH, MAP, CHART, OR ANY OTHER DOCUMENT USED IN ANY PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD/TRUSTEES, REQUESTS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE COUNTY CLERK.

TO REFERENCE AGENDA ITEM ATTACHMENTS ONLINE: REFER TO THE MEETING LINK BELOW, SELECT THE ITEM (FILE # COLUMN), AND CLICK THE LINK FOR THE DESIRED ATTACHMENT.

[ONLINE MEETING LINK](#)

**APPROVED:** /s/ Tick Segerblom  
TICK SEGERBLOM, CHAIR

**ATTEST:** /s/ Lynn Marie Goya  
LYNN MARIE GOYA, CLARK COUNTY CLERK