

**CLARK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**  
**ZONING / SUBDIVISIONS / LAND USE**  
**AGENDA ITEM**

---

**Petitioner:** Nancy A. Amundsen, Director, Department of Comprehensive Planning

---

**Recommendation:** ORD-22-900553: Introduce an ordinance to consider adoption of a Development Agreement with Magnus Vegas, LLC for a single family residential development (Ford & Tenaya) on 37.9 acres, generally located east and west of Tenaya Way and north of Torino Avenue within Enterprise. JJ/dd (For possible action)

---

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

None by this action.

**BACKGROUND:**

The Board of County Commissioners (Board) approved a land use application NZC-21-0745 for a single family residential development (Ford & Tenaya) on 37.9 acres, generally located east and west of Tenaya Way and north of Torino Avenue within Enterprise. Conditions of approval included the developer and/or owner entering into a Development Agreement prior to any permits being issued in order to provide their fair-share contribution towards public infrastructure necessary to provide service in the southwest portion of the Las Vegas Valley.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 278.0203 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, a Development Agreement must be approved by ordinance.

Staff recommends the Board set a public hearing for October 19, 2022.

**Cleared For Agenda**  
10/04/22

BILL NO. \_\_\_\_\_

SUMMARY - An ordinance to adopt the Development Agreement with Magnus Vegas, LLC for a single family residential development (Ford & Tenaya) on 37.9 acres, generally located east and west of Tenaya Way and north of Torino Avenue within Enterprise.

ORDINANCE NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
(of Clark County, Nevada)

AN ORDINANCE TO ADOPT THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH MAGNUS VEGAS, LLC FOR A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (FORD & TENAYA) ON 37.9 ACRES, GENERALLY LOCATED EAST AND WEST OF TENAYA WAY AND NORTH OF TORINO AVENUE WITHIN ENTERPRISE, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO.

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA, DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 278.0203 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 30.20 of the Clark County Code, the Development Agreement with Magnus Vegas, LLC for a single-family residential development (Ford & Tenaya) on 37.9 acres, generally located east and west of Tenaya Way and north of Torino Avenue within Enterprise, is hereby adopted.

SECTION 2. If any section of this ordinance or portion thereof is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such holding shall not invalidate the remaining parts of this ordinance.

SECTION 3. All ordinances, parts of ordinances, chapters, sections, subsections, clauses, phrases or sentences contained in the Clark County Code in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and the publication thereof by title only, together with names of the County Commissioners voting for or against its passage, in a newspaper published in and having a general circulation in Clark County, Nevada, at least once a week for a period of two (2) weeks and shall be effective on and from the fifteenth day after passage.

PROPOSED on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

INTRODUCED by: \_\_\_\_\_

PASSED on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

VOTE:

AYES: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

NAYS: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

ABSTAINING:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

ABSENT:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES B. GIBSON, Chair

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lynn Marie Goya, County Clerk

This ordinance shall be in force and effect from and after the \_\_\_\_\_ day  
of \_\_\_\_\_ 2022.

APN(s): **176-15-301-013, 031, 044, 176-15-701-011, 016, 031, 034, & 176-15-801-043**

Please Return to: Sami Real

Comprehensive Planning Department

1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Clark County Government Center

500 South Grand Central Parkway

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

**DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT**

**BETWEEN**

**THE COUNTY OF CLARK**

**AND**

**MAGNUS VEGAS, LLC**

**FOR**

**TENAYA & FORD**

**ORD-22-900553**

## DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into by and between the *County of Clark, State of Nevada* (hereinafter referred to as the "County") and **MAGNUS VEGAS, LLC** the Owner of the real property described on Exhibit "A" attached hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "Owner") and incorporated herein by reference.

### SECTION 1 – DEFINITIONS

1.01 Definitions. For all purposes of this Agreement, except as otherwise expressly provided or unless the context otherwise requires, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

(a) "Agreement" has the meaning assigned to it in the first paragraph hereof. Agreement at any given time includes all addenda and exhibits incorporated by reference and all amendments, which have become effective as of such time.

(b) "Applicable Rules" means the specific code, ordinances, rules, regulations and official policies of the County as adopted and in force at the time of permit issuance or map recordation and as amended from time to time, regarding planning, zoning, subdivisions, timing and phasing of development, permitted uses of the Subject Property, density, design, and improvement standards and specifications applicable to the Project, including the Public Facilities Needs Assessment Report, and the fees incorporated herein, except that:

(1) The fees required in the County Code specifically for the Major Projects shall *not* apply to the Project, unless and until the parties agree that the development of the Project will be processed as a Major Project;

and

(2) The zoning established by the Concurrent Approvals will not be amended or modified during the term of this Agreement without Owner's prior written approval.

(c) "Best Efforts" means, in the case of any contingent obligation of County or Owner, that the party so obligated will make a good faith effort to accomplish the stated goal, task, project or promised performance, provided such term does not imply a legal obligation to take any specific action if:

(i) In the case of a County obligation, such action would, in the reasoned opinion of the County Commission, be imprudent given competing public needs and projects; or

(ii) In the case of an Owner obligation, such action would, in the reasoned opinion of the Owner, be commercially unreasonable.

In either case, upon request, the responsible party shall give written notice to the other party that it has considered such contingent obligation and the reason for its decision not to perform.

(d) "Builder" means any person or entity, which constructs final improvements (other than off-site improvements or infrastructure) with respect to a subdivision or parcel of the Subject Property.

(e) "Code" means the Clark County Code, including all rules, regulations, standards, criteria, manuals and other references adopted herein.

- (f) "Concurrent Approvals" means the zoning, land use or map approvals and authorizations, relating to the Subject Property, together with the applicable conditions, as granted by the County Commission, including without limitation those approvals and conditions of approval per **NZC-21-0745**, the Agenda Sheet, Notice of Final Action and agenda map attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (g) "County" means the County of Clark, State of Nevada together with its successors and assigns.
- (h) "County Commission" means the Board of County Commissioners or Planning Commission of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- (i) "County Master Plan" means the comprehensive plan adopted by the County Commission in 1983 and all amendments thereto including, but not limited to, all adopted land use, development guides and elements, including the land use and development guide and the general plan map for unincorporated portions of the Las Vegas Valley adopted by the County Commission on January 24, 1974, except as amended by the adoption of more recent plans in effect as of the Effective Date.
- (j) "Development Agreement Ordinance" means Chapter 30.20 of the Clark County Unified Development Code (Title 30) along with any other Chapters of the Clark County Code that are relevant to this Agreement.
- (k) "Effective Date" means the date, on or after the adoption by the County Commission, of an ordinance approving execution of this Agreement whereas the Agreement has been executed and signed by both parties, that this Agreement is recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.
- (l) "NDOT" means Nevada Department of Transportation.
- (m) "NRS" means Nevada Revised Statutes.
- (n) "PFNA" means the Southwest Las Vegas Valley Public Facilities Needs Assessment Report, dated December 1, 2000, incorporated herein by this reference and approved by the County Commission on January 2, 2001.
- (o) "Project" means the Subject Property and the proposed development of the Subject Property described in this Agreement.
- (p) "Subject Property" means that certain real property, which Owner owns or has the right to acquire, located in the County and more particularly described on Exhibit "A".
- (q) "Term" means the term of this Agreement together with any extension agreed upon pursuant to Section 7.02 hereof.

## **SECTION 2 – RECITAL OF PREMISES, PURPOSE AND INTENT**

2.01 Recitals. This Agreement is predicated upon the following facts and findings:

(a) Statutory Authorization. The County is authorized, pursuant to NRS §278.0201 through 278.0207, inclusive, to enter into binding Development Agreements with persons having a legal or equitable interest in real property to establish long range plans for the development of such property.

(b) Ownership Interest. Owner represents that it has, will acquire, or has the right to acquire, fee title ownership of the Subject Property.

(c) County Authorization, Hearing and Ordinance. All preliminary processing with regard to the Project has been duly completed in conformance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The County Commission, having given notice as required by law, held a public hearing on Owner's application seeking approval of the form of this Agreement and the execution hereof by the County. At the described meeting, the County Commission found that this Agreement is consistent with the County's plans, policies and regulations, including the County Master Plan, that the Agreement meets the requirements of Title 30 of the Code, and that the execution hereof by and on behalf of the County is in the public interest and is lawful in all respects. During the same meeting at which the public hearing was held, the County Commission adopted the Ordinance approving this Agreement and authorizing the execution hereof by duly constituted officers of the County. Said ordinance was scheduled to be effective two weeks after adoption. County agrees to record a certified copy of the ordinance as required by NRS §278.0207.

(d) County Intent. The County desires to enter into this Agreement in conformity with the requirements of NRS, and as otherwise permitted by law, and this Agreement to provide for public services; public uses and urban infrastructure; to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the County and its inhabitants; to minimize uncertainty in planning for and securing orderly development of the Project and surrounding areas; to insure attainment of the maximum efficient utilization of resources within the County at the least economic cost to its citizens; and to otherwise achieve the goals and purposes for which the State statute and County ordinance authorizing Development Agreements were enacted.

(e) Owner Intent. In accordance with the legislative intent evidenced by NRS §278.0201 through §278.0207, inclusive, authorizing Development Agreements and the intent of the County in adopting an ordinance allowing Development Agreements, Owner wishes to obtain reasonable assurances that Owner may develop the Project in accordance with the conditions established in this Agreement. Owner acknowledges that there are insufficient public services, which includes facilities and infrastructure, existing or planned at this time. In order to develop the Subject Property, Owner is willing to enter into this Development Agreement in order to pay Owner's fair share of the costs to provide certain public services, facilities, and infrastructure in the area of this Project. Owner further acknowledges that this Agreement was made a part of the County Record at the time of its approval by the County Commission and that the Owner agrees without protest to the requirements, limitations, or conditions imposed by this Agreement and the Concurrent Approvals.

(f) Acknowledgment of Uncertainties. The parties acknowledge that circumstances beyond the control of either party could defeat their mutual intent that the Project be developed in the manner contemplated by this Agreement. Among such circumstances is the unavailability of water or other limited natural resources, federal regulation of air and water quality, and similar conditions. Owner recognizes that water shortages could affect the County's ability to perform its obligations hereunder. Owner further acknowledges and agrees this Agreement does not relieve the Owner from compliance with existing, changed, modified or amended rules regulations, laws, ordinances,

resolutions, fees codes, etc., of other governmental agencies. Such rules, regulations, laws, ordinances, resolutions, fees, codes, etc. of governmental entities must be complied with by the Owner and are not locked in nor a part of this Agreement. It is not the intent of the parties nor shall this Section be construed as excusing the County of any obligation hereunder or depriving Owner of any right under this Agreement, which can be performed.

(g) Provision of Water and Sewer Service. Owner clearly understands and agrees that, amongst other requirements, water commitment and sanitary sewer system development approval must be obtained from the proper governmental entities namely the Las Vegas Valley Water District and the Clark County Water Reclamation District. Fees and services for such commitments and systems are established by said governmental entities and must be paid and complied with by the Owner in accordance with said governmental entities requirements as amended from time to time. This Agreement or the County does not guarantee or provide the provision of water and sewer services.

2.02 Incorporation of Recitals. The foregoing recitals shall be deemed true and correct in all respects with respect to this Agreement and shall serve as the basis for the interpretation of this Agreement.

2.03 Permitted Uses, Density, Height and Size of Structures. Pursuant to NRS §278.0201 and the Code, this Agreement must set forth the maximum height and size of structures to be constructed on the Subject Property, the density of uses and the permitted uses of the land. County agrees the Project may be developed to the density and with the land uses set forth in the Land Use and Development Guide/Plan, along with the development standards set forth in the Concurrent Approvals and the Applicable Rules.

### **SECTION 3 – DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT**

3.01 Time for Construction and Completion of the Project. Subject to the terms of this Agreement and Applicable Rules, Owner shall have discretion as to the time of commencement, construction, phasing, and completion of any and all development of the Project. Nothing herein shall be construed to require the Owner to develop the Project or any part thereof.

3.02 Reliance on Concurrent Approvals and Applicable Rules. County hereby agrees that Owner will be permitted to carry out and complete the entire Project in accordance with the uses and densities set forth in the Concurrent Approvals subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and the Applicable Rules. Pursuant to the terms of this Agreement and subject to Owner's infrastructure obligations described in this Agreement, the development of the Project may proceed.

3.03 Air Quality Conformity. Owner acknowledges County has adopted an air quality plan and agrees to comply with the applicable provisions thereof, including any state and federal rules and regulations.

3.04 Dust Mitigation. Owner will educate Builders and contractors within the Project of the applicable rules of the Clark County Department of Air Quality & Environmental Management with respect to dust mitigation and will encourage compliance therewith.

3.05 Water Conservation. Owner agrees to encourage water conservation in the Project. Owner agrees to design any open space using the best available, water conserving techniques, including but not limited to proper soil preparation and water conserving irrigation systems and equipment. Landscaping adjacent to public streets shall be limited to water conserving plant materials.

3.06 Temporary Storm Water Construction Permit. Owner agrees to educate Builders and contractors within the Project on the requirements for a Temporary Storm Water Construction Permit issued from the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP).



## SECTION 4 – PUBLIC FACILITIES

4.01 Public Facilities. Owner agrees that prior to issuance of any building permit for a single family dwelling, multiple family dwelling, retail, office, industrial or hotel use in the Project, they will pay the fees as set forth in the Public Facilities Chart below, hereinafter referred to as Chart 4.01-A, except as modified by this Section 4.01.

In addition, the fees set forth in Chart 4.01-A below may be increased or decreased from time to time during the term of this Agreement if the modified fees are uniformly applied to all development and construction within the Public Facilities Needs Assessment area. The County and Owner agree that any fee modifications shall be applied only for building permits not yet issued. Owner and the County will not be entitled to any payment or reimbursements for fees paid for building permits issued prior to any such fee modification.

<b>CHART 4.01-A PUBLIC FACILITIES CHART</b>			
<b>Type of Development</b>	<b>Infrastructure Category</b>		<b>Total</b>
	<b>Parks</b>	<b>Public Safety<sup>1</sup></b>	
<b>Single Family Dwelling Unit</b> (per dwelling unit)	<b>\$532.93</b>	<b>\$900.81</b>	<b>\$1433.74</b>
<b>Multi Family Dwelling Unit</b> (per dwelling unit)	<b>\$532.93</b>	<b>\$883.24</b>	<b>\$1416.17</b>
<b>Retail</b> (per square foot gross floor area)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$0.60</b>	<b>\$0.60</b>
<b>Office</b> (per square foot gross floor area)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$0.67</b>	<b>\$0.67</b>
<b>Industrial</b> (per square foot gross floor area)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$0.40</b>	<b>\$0.40</b>
<b>Hotel</b> (per room)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$902.27</b>	<b>\$902.27</b>

<sup>1</sup> Fees only for Fire; no Metro

4.02 Parks. In addition to the fees for parks in Chart 4.01-A above, Owner agrees that this development is subject to the Residential Construction Tax, as set forth and defined in Nevada Revised Statutes.

4.03 Traffic Study. Owner shall prepare and submit to the County (and NDOT if applicable) a Traffic Study (if required) acceptable to the County (and NDOT if applicable) for the Subject Property prior to submittal of any final map for technical review, or prior to County issuance if any grading or building permits; whichever occurs first, and Owner agrees to comply with said Study as approved by the County. Any modification to the Traffic Study must be approved by the Director of the Department of Public Works.

In addition to the fees in Chart 4.01-A above, Owner agrees to construct at its sole cost and expense and dedicate to the County (or NDOT if applicable) any such roadway and traffic improvements identified in the Traffic Study as approved with conditions by the County (and NDOT if applicable), which are necessary for the Subject Property or for the mitigation of any traffic impacts caused by the development of the Subject Property.

Each facility must be built in the manner prescribed by the Code, NRS, and in accordance with the, “Uniform Standard Drawings for Public Works Construction, Off-Site Improvements, Clark County Area, Nevada”, as amended by the Concurrent Approvals as approved by the County, and the State’s Design Manual prior to issuance of any building permits for the area impacted by the facilities, as identified in the Traffic Study as approved with conditions by the County (an NDOT if applicable). Nothing herein shall be construed to require Owner to construct the applicable traffic improvements if Owner does not develop the impacted area. Owner acknowledges it shall be responsible for all public and private roadway construction (if applicable), utility installations and modifications, lighting, traffic control equipment and signage, and aesthetic improvements relating to the development.

4.04 Drainage Study. Owner shall prepare and submit to the County a Drainage Study, if required by the Clark County Department of Public Works, acceptable to the County for the Subject Property prior to recording any final map or the issuance of any grading and/or building permits. In addition to the fees in Chart 4.01-A above, Owner agrees to construct at its sole cost and expense and dedicate to the County such flood and drainage facilities identified in the Drainage Study which are necessary for the flood protection of the Subject Property or for the mitigation of any downstream flood impacts caused by the development of the Subject Property.

Each facility must be built, in the manner prescribed by Code, prior to issuance of any grading and/or building permits for the area impacted by the facilities as identified in the approved Drainage Study in accordance with Code. Notwithstanding any other provision in this section no grading or building permit shall be issued in any area not protected by the drainage facilities identified in the approved Drainage Study.

## **SECTION 5 – REVIEW AND DEFAULT**

5.01 Frequency of Reviews. As required by NRS §278.0205 and the Development Agreement Ordinance, at least once every twenty-four (24) months during the Term of this Agreement, Owner shall provide and County shall review in good faith a report submitted by Owner documenting the extent of Owner's and County's material compliance with the terms of this Agreement during the preceding twenty-four (24) months. If at the time of review an issue not previously identified in writing is required to be addressed, the review, at the request of either party, shall be continued to afford sufficient time for response.

5.02 Opportunity to be Heard. County and Owner shall be permitted an opportunity to be heard orally and in writing before the County Commission regarding their performance under this Agreement in the manner set forth in Development Agreement Ordinance.

5.03 Procedures in the Event of Noncompliance. In the event of any noncompliance with any provision of this Agreement, the party alleging such noncompliance shall deliver to the other in writing a courtesy notice, not less than thirty (30) calendar days prior to declaring a default under this Agreement. The time of notice shall be measured from the date of post mark which may be sent by regular mail.

The courtesy notice shall state the reason for noncompliance, any action necessary to correct the noncompliance, specify the nature of the alleged default and, where appropriate, the manner and period of time in which the noncompliance may be satisfactorily corrected. During the period of time the default letter is pending, the party alleged to be in default shall not be considered in default for the purposes of termination or institution of legal proceedings. If the default is corrected, then no default shall exist and the noticing party shall take no further action. If the default is not corrected within thirty (30) calendar days, the following courses of action shall apply:

(a) County Procedures

(i) Intent to Remedy Noncompliance. After proper notice and the expiration of the above-referenced periods for correcting the alleged default, the Director of Development Services, or his or her designee, may do one or both of the following options:

- (1) Immediately direct County staff to recommend that all future zoning, land use, and mapping applications within the Project be conditioned so that the building permits to be issued as a result of those approvals shall not be issued until the default is corrected, or;

(2) Issue a letter providing notice of County's intent to set the matter for hearing before the County Commission. The letter shall notify Owner of the action taken. In the event the County selects this option, County shall give Owner at least seven (7) business days notice to correct the default before the matter is scheduled for a hearing. The letter notifying Owner of the hearing shall contain the intended hearing date. The seven (7) business days will be measured from the date of the certified mailing of the notice.

(ii) Hearing Schedule. If the default is not corrected within the time specified above, the matter shall be scheduled and noticed as required by law for consideration and review by the County Commission on the next available Commission zoning agenda.

(iii) Review by County Commission. Following consideration of the evidence presented before the County Commission and a finding based on substantial evidence that a default has occurred by Owner and the default remains uncorrected, the County Commission may authorize the suspension of building permits within the Project or may amend or terminate this Agreement. Termination shall not in any manner rescind, modify, or terminate any Vested Right in favor of Owner, existing or received, as of the date of the termination. Owner shall have twenty-five (25) calendar days after the date of notice of the County Commission's decision is filed with the Clark County Clerk, Commission Division, to institute legal action pursuant to Sections 5.05 and 5.06 hereof, to determine whether the County Commission abused its discretion in determining whether a default existed and remained uncorrected.

(b) Owner Procedures

(i) After proper notice and the expiration of the above-referenced periods for correcting the alleged default, Owner may issue a letter requesting a hearing before the County Commission for review of the alleged default. Upon receipt of the letter, County shall schedule an item to consider the alleged default on the next available Commission zoning agenda.

(ii) Review by County Commission. Following consideration of the evidence presented before the County Commission and a finding based on substantial evidence that a default has occurred by County and remains uncorrected, the County Commission shall direct County staff to correct the default. Owner shall have twenty-five (25) calendar days after the date of notice of the County Commission's decision is filed with the Clark County Clerk, Commission Division, to institute legal action pursuant to this Section hereof to determine whether the County Commission abused its discretion in determining whether a default existed and remained uncorrected.

(c) Waiver. Failure or delay in giving any notice provided for herein shall not constitute a waiver of any default. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, any failure or delay by any party in asserting any of its rights or remedies in respect to any default shall not operate as a waiver of any default or of any such rights or remedies, or deprive such party of its right to institute and maintain any actions or proceeding which it may deem necessary to protect, assert, or enforce any of its right or remedies.

(d) Notices. All notices provided for herein shall be sent to and in the manner provided in Section 7.08 of this Agreement.

5.04 Option to Terminate. After proper notice and the expiration of the above-referenced period for correcting the alleged default, the party alleging the default shall give notice of intent to amend or terminate this Agreement pursuant to NRS §278.0205 (the "Notice of Intent"), with notices sent in the manner

provided by Section 7.08 of this Agreement. Following any such Notice of Intent, the matter shall be scheduled and noticed as required by law for consideration and review by the County Commission.

5.05 Unavoidable Delay or Default, Extension of Time for Performance. Neither party hereunder shall be deemed to be in default, and performance shall be excused, where delays or defaults are caused by war, acts of terrorism, insurrection, strikes, walkouts, riots, floods, earthquakes, fires, casualties, acts of God, restrictions imposed or mandated by governmental entities, failure of governmental agencies (other than County) to perform acts or deeds necessary for the performance of this Agreement, enactment of conflicting state or federal laws or regulations, new or supplementary environmental regulations, litigation, or similar matters beyond the control of the parties. If written notice of any such delay is given to County within thirty (30) calendar days after the commencement thereof, an automatic extension of time, unless otherwise objected to by County within ten (10) business days of such written notice, shall be granted coextensive with the period of the enforced delay, or longer as may be required by circumstances or as may be subsequently agreed to between County and Owner.

5.06 Institution of Legal Action. The County and Owner agree that the County would not have entered into this Agreement if it were liable for damages under or with respect to this Agreement. Accordingly, the County and the Owner may pursue any remedy at law or equity available for breach, except that neither the Owner nor the County shall be liable to the other or to any other person or entity for any monetary damages whatsoever. Prior to the institution of any legal action, the party seeking legal action must give the thirty (30) day notice of default as set forth in Section 5.03. Following such notice, a public hearing must be held by the County Commission where the allegations will be considered and a decision regarding their merits will be reached. Any judicial review of the County Commission's decision or any legal action taken pursuant to this Agreement will be heard by a Court under the standard review appropriate to Court review of zoning actions, and the decision of the County Commission shall be overturned or overruled if its decision is clearly arbitrary and capricious. Judicial review of the decision of the County Commission shall be limited to the evidence presented to the County Commission at the public hearing. If a party desires to present new or additional evidence to the Court, such party may petition the Court to remand the matter to the County Commission to consider the additional or new evidence. Jurisdiction for judicial review or any judicial action under this Agreement shall rest exclusively with the Eighth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada.

5.07 Applicable Laws. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the law of the State of Nevada.

## **SECTION 6 – CONFLICTING LAWS**

6.01 Conflicting State or Federal Rules. In the event that any conflicting state or federal laws or regulations enacted after the Effective Date prevent or preclude compliance with one or more provisions of this Agreement or require changes in plans, maps or permits approved by the County, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect as to those provisions not affected, and the conflicting laws or regulations shall not be applied retroactively, and:

(a) Notice and Copies. Either party, upon learning of any such matter, will provide the other party with written notice thereof and provide a copy of any such law, regulation or policy or an account of any such action or inaction together with a statement of how any such matter conflicts with the provisions of this Agreement; and

(b) Modification Conferences. The parties shall, within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice referred to in the preceding subsection, meet and confer in good faith and attempt to modify this Agreement to bring it into compliance with any such federal or state law or regulation, or accommodate any such action or inaction.

6.02 County Commission Hearings. In the event the County believes that an amendment to this Agreement is necessary pursuant to this Section 6 due to the effect of any federal or state law or regulation, the proposed amendment shall be scheduled for hearing before the County Commission. The County Commission shall determine the exact nature of the amendment or suspension necessitated by such federal or state law or regulation or action or inaction. Owner shall have the right to offer oral and written testimony at the hearing. Any suspension or modification ordered by the County Commission pursuant to such hearing is subject to judicial review as set forth in Section 5.06. The parties agree that any matter submitted for judicial review shall be subject to expedited review in accordance with Rule 2.15 of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada.

6.03 Cooperation in Securing Permits. The County shall use its Best Efforts to cooperate with Owner in securing any County permits, licenses or other authorizations which may be required as a result of any amendment or suspension resulting from actions initiated under this Section 6. Owner will be responsible to pay all applicable fees in connection with securing of the permits.

## **SECTION 7 – GENERAL PROVISIONS**

7.01 Enforcement and Binding Effect. Subject to the limitations of NRS §278, this Agreement is enforceable by either party in accordance with its terms notwithstanding any change (which, except for this Agreement, would otherwise be applicable) in any of the Applicable Rules. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the County from increasing "cost based fees" which are deemed to be administrative fees for issuance of land use approvals, building permits, plan checks, or inspections which are based upon actual costs to the County and which are uniformly applied to all development and construction subject to the County's jurisdiction. "Cost based fees" do not include the fees addressed in Section 4.01 of this Agreement.

7.02 Duration of Agreement. The Term of this Agreement shall commence upon the Effective Date and shall expire on the date the land use application expires or upon the eighth (8th) anniversary of the Effective Date, or when all obligations hereunder are satisfied, whichever occurs earliest, unless extended by written agreement executed by County and Owner.

7.03 Assignment.

(a) Transfer Not to Relieve Owner of its Obligation. Except as expressly provided herein, no assignee or transferee of any portion of the Project within the area covered by a recorded subdivision map shall be subject to the obligations of Owner as to the portion of the Project so assigned or transferred nor be deemed to have assumed all such obligations, and such assignment or transfer shall not relieve Owner of its obligation as to the assigned or transferred portion of the Project.

(b) Transfer to an Affiliate of Owner. The rights of Owner under this Agreement may be freely transferred or assigned to any entity, partnership, or corporation, which Owner controls, or in which Owner has a controlling interest, or which controls Owner; provided, such entity shall assume in writing all obligations of Owner hereunder.

(c) Third Party Assignment. The rights and obligations of Owner under this Agreement may be freely transferred or assigned to a third party not affiliated with Owner, provided such third party assumes in writing all obligations of Owner hereunder as to the assigned or transferred portion of the Project along with a copy of the sale, transfer, conveyance, or assignment agreement wherein the third party assumes the obligations of the Owner. Upon any such assignment hereunder, the Owner shall be relieved of all obligations and liabilities under or in connection with this agreement. In connection with the conveyance of any portion of the property, Owner shall provide County with written notice of any sale, transfer, conveyance or assignment of any unimproved portion of the Project.

(d) Financial Transactions. Owner has full discretion and authority to transfer, assign or encumber the Project or portions thereof in connection with financing transactions, without limitation on the size or nature of any such transaction, the amount of land involved or the use of the proceeds therefrom, and may enter into such transaction at any time and from time to time without permission of or notice to County.

7.04 Amendment or Cancellation of Agreement. Except as otherwise permitted by NRS §278.0205 and Section 5 of this Agreement, this Agreement may be amended from time to time or canceled only upon the mutual written agreement of the parties hereto; provided however, that to the extent this Agreement expires pursuant to Section 7.02 above.

7.05 Indemnity; Hold Harmless. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, Owner shall hold County, its officers, agents, employees, and representatives harmless from liability for damage or claims for damage for personal injury, including death and claims for property damage which may arise from the direct or indirect operations of Owner or those of its contractors, subcontractors, agents, employees, or other persons acting on Owner's behalf which relate to the development of the Project. Owner agrees to and shall defend County and its officers, agents, employees, and representatives from actions for damages caused or alleged to have been caused by reason of Owner's activities in connection with the development of the Project. Owner agrees to indemnify, hold harmless, and provide and pay all costs for a defense for County in any legal action filed in a court of competent jurisdiction by a third party challenging the validity of this Agreement. The provisions of this Section shall not apply to the extent such damage, liability, or claim is solely caused by the intentional or negligent act of County, its officers, agents, employees, or representatives.

7.06 Binding Effect of Agreement. Subject to Section 7.03 hereof, the burdens of this Agreement bind, and the benefits of this Agreement inure to the parties' respective successors in interest.

7.07 Relationship of Parties. It is understood that the contractual relationship between County and Owner is such that Owner is an independent contractor and not an agent of County for any purpose.

7.08 Notices. All notices, demands and correspondence required or provided for under this Agreement shall be in writing and delivered in person or sent by overnight courier or mailed by certified mail postage prepaid, return receipt requested. Notices shall be sent to the address on file to Owner and/or Applicant, as shown on "Exhibit B" and the Comprehensive Planning Department and Office of the District Attorney-Civil Division addressed as follows:

To County: COUNTY OF CLARK  
Department of Comprehensive Planning, Current Planning Division  
Clark County Government Center  
500 South Grand Central Parkway, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 551741  
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1741  
Attn: Joel McCulloch

With a Copy to: COUNTY OF CLARK  
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY-CIVIL DIVISION  
Clark County Government Center  
500 South Grand Central Parkway, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 552215  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215

Either party may change its address by giving notice in writing to the other and thereafter notices, demands and other correspondence shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address. Notices given in the

manner described shall be deemed delivered on the day of personal delivery or the delivery date by overnight courier or mail is first attempted.

7.09 Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties. This Agreement integrates all of the terms and conditions mentioned herein or incidental hereto and supersedes all negotiations or previous agreements between the parties with respect to all or any part of the subject matter hereof.

7.10 Waivers. All waivers of the provisions of this Agreement must be in writing and signed by the appropriate officers of the County or Owner, as the case may be.

7.11 Recording Amendments. Promptly after the Effective Date, an executed original of this Agreement shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. All amendments hereto must be in writing signed by the appropriate officers of County and Owner in a form suitable for recordation in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. Upon the completion of performance of this Agreement or its earlier revocation or termination, a statement evidencing said completion or revocation signed by appropriate officers of County and Owner shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada.

7.12 Release. Each unit within the Subject Property shall be automatically released from the encumbrance of this Agreement without the necessity of executing or recording any instrument of release upon the issuance of an Occupancy Permit for the building in which the unit is located.

7.13 Headings, Exhibits, Cross-references. The headings and captions used in this Agreement are for convenience and ease of reference only and shall not be used to construe, interpret, expand or limit the terms of this Agreement. All exhibits attached to this Agreement and the recitals at the front of this Agreement are incorporated herein by the references thereto contained herein. Any term used in an exhibit hereto shall have the same meaning as in this Agreement unless otherwise defined in such exhibit. All references in this Agreement to Sections and Exhibits shall be to Sections and Exhibits of or to this Agreement, unless otherwise specified.

7.14 Severability of Terms. If any term or other provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced by any rule of law or public policy, all other conditions and provisions of this Agreement shall nevertheless remain in full force and effect, provided that the invalidity, illegality or unenforceability of such term does not materially impair the parties' ability to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby. If any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the parties hereto shall, if possible, amend this Agreement so as to affect the original intention of the parties.

7.15 Voluntary Agreement. Owner acknowledges that they had the option of conducting their own public facilities needs assessment study, but instead voluntarily chose to accept the findings, conclusions and fee schedule contained within the County PFNA defined in Section 1.01(n) of this Agreement. Owner further acknowledges and agrees that it voluntarily, willingly and without protest and duress freely enters into this Agreement and accepts the terms and conditions herein.

7.16 No Third Party Beneficiary Rights. This Agreement shall inure solely to the benefit of each party hereto and its successors and permitted assigns and nothing in this Agreement, express or implied, shall confer upon any other person or entity, including the public or any member thereof, any rights, benefits or remedies of any nature whatsoever.

*[signatures appear on following page]*

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed by the parties on the day and year first above written, as authorized by Ordinance No. 1579 of the Clark County Code, to be effective on the date shown in Section 2.01(c).

**COUNTY:**

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,  
COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA

Attest:

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
James B. Gibson, Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lynn Marie Goya, County Clerk

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT:**

STATE OF NEVADA        )  
                                  )ss:  
COUNTY OF CLARK     )

This instrument was acknowledged before me on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_,

By \_\_\_\_\_, Chair of the Board of County Commissioners, County of Clark,  
State of Nevada

NOTARY PUBLIC

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

My Commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_




**OWNER:**

**Philippe Ziade**

PRINT OWNER NAME

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Owner Signature



**ACKNOWLEDGMENT:**

STATE OF NEVADA            )


)ss:

COUNTY OF CLARK         )

This instrument was acknowledged before me on the 13 day of SEPTEMBER, 2022,

by PHILIPPE ZIADE

(Printed Name of Document Signer)



NOTARY PUBLIC

Mahatma Gomez  
Signature

My Commission expires: JUNE 8, 2026

**Exhibit "A"**  
**Legal Description**

**(see next page for attachment)**

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

176-15-301-013  
176-15-301-031  
176-15-301-044  
176-15-701-006  
176-15-701-007  
176-15-701-011  
176-15-701-031  
176-15-701-034  
176-15-801-043

THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA;

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THOSE PORTIONS CONVEYED TO CLARK COUNTY IN GRANT, BARGAIN, SALE DEED RECORDED JUNE 13, 1986 IN BOOK 860613 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00665 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA;

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE NORTH 40 FEET THEREOF AS CONVEYED TO CLARK COUNTY FOR ROAD PURPOSES IN DEED RECORDED MARCH 6, 2009 IN BOOK 20090306 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 04315 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA;

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LAND AS DESCRIBED IN THAT CERTAIN DEDICATION IN FEE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDED DECEMBER 27, 2016 IN BOOK 20161227 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00448 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4), THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4), THE NORTH HALF (N 1/2) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) AND THE EAST HALF (E 1/2) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TOGETHER WITH:

THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) AND THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.


TOGETHER WITH:

THE WEST HALF (W 1/2) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW 1/4) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE 1/4) OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.M, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

STEVEN M DUMOVICH, PLS  
TANEY ENGINEERING  
6030 S. JONES BLVD.  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89118  
702.362.8844



# OVERALL BOUNDARY EXHIBIT



SHT 1 OF 1  
DATE: 2/08/2021



**TANEY ENGINEERING**  
6030 S. JONES BOULEVARD  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89118  
(702) 362-8844 FAX: (702) 362-5233

**Exhibit "B"**  
**Development Agreement Owner Correspondence**

**Exhibit "B"**  
**Development Agreement Owner/Applicant Correspondence**

In accordance with Section 7.08, all notices, demands and correspondence required or provided for under this agreement shall be sent to the Owner and/or Applicant as follows:

**Address all Correspondence as follows:**

**Owner**

Magnus Vegas, LLC Attn: Andrew Acuna  
8890 Spanish Ridge Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89148  
702-580-2515 - andrew.growthluxury@gmail.com

**Applicant/Correspondent**

Taney Engineering Attn: Elisha Scrogum  
6030 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89118  
702-362-8844 - elishas@taneycorp.com

**Exhibit "C"**  
**Agenda Sheet, Notice of Final Action, and Agenda Map**

**(see next page for attachments)**



02/15/22 PC AGENDA SHEET

SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
(TITLE 30)

TENAYA WY/WIGWAM AVE

PUBLIC HEARING  
APP. NUMBER/OWNER/DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST  
**NZC-21-0745-MAGNUS VEGAS LLC:**

**ZONE CHANGE** to reclassify 37.9 acres from R-E (Rural Estates Residential) (RNP-I) Zone to R-D (Suburban Estates Residential) Zone.

**WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS** for the following: **1)** setbacks; **2)** increased wall height; **3)** increase non-through (stub) street length; **4)** reduced street widths; and **5)** off-site improvements.

**DESIGN REVIEWS** for the following: **1)** a single family residential development; and **2)** finished grade.

Generally located on the east and west sides of Tenaya Way between Wigwam Avenue and Torino Avenue within Enterprise (description on file). JJ/al/ja (For possible action)

---

**RELATED INFORMATION:**

**APN:**

176-15-301-013; 176-15-301-031; 176-15-301-044; 176-15-701-006; 176-15-701-007; 176-15-701-011; 176-15-701-031; 176-15-701-034; 176-15-801-043

**WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS:**

1.
  - a. Reduce the front setback for garages to 20 feet where a minimum of 30 feet is required per Table 30.40-1 (a 33.3% reduction).
  - b. Reduce the front setback for residences to a minimum of 10 feet where a minimum of 30 is required per Table 30.40-1 (a 66.6% reduction).
  - c. Reduce the side setback to 5 feet where a minimum of 10 feet is required per Table 30.40-1 (a 50% reduction).
  - d. Reduce the side street (corner) setback to 10 feet where a minimum of 15 feet is required per Table 30.40-1 (a 33.3% reduction).
2. Increase wall height to a maximum of 12 feet (6 foot screen wall with a 6 foot retaining wall) where a maximum of 9 feet (6 foot screen wall with a 3 foot retaining wall) is permitted per Section 30.64.050 (a 33.3% increase).
3. Increase the length of a non-through (stub) street to 203 feet where a maximum of 150 feet is allowed per Section 30.52.030 (a 35.3 % increase).
4.
  - a. Reduce the width of a portion of Belcastro Street (local street) to 47 feet where a minimum width of 60 feet is required per Section 30.52.030 (a 21.7% reduction).
  - b. Reduce the width of a private street leading up to the radius bulb of a cul-de-sac to 38 feet where a minimum of 40 feet is required per Uniform Standard Drawing 212 (a 5% reduction).

5. Waive off-site improvements being curbs, gutters, streetlights, sidewalks, and partial pavement where required per Section 30.52.050.

**DESIGN REVIEWS:**

1. A single family residential development.
2. Increased finished grade to a maximum of 72 inches (6 feet) where a maximum of 36 inches (3 feet) is the standard per Section 30.32.040 (a 100% increase).

**LAND USE PLAN:**

ENTERPRISE - RANCH ESTATE NEIGHBORHOOD (UP TO 2 DU/AC)

**BACKGROUND:**

**Project Description**

**General Summary**

- Site Address: 8515 Cote Road & 8575 S. Tenaya Way
- Site Acreage: 37.9
- Number of Lots: 94 residential/2 common
- Density (du/ac): 2.5
- Gross Minimum/Maximum Lot Size (square feet): 11,753/24,301
- Net Minimum/Maximum Lot Size (square feet): 10,052/18,603
- Project Type: Single family residential development
- Number of Stories: 1 & 2
- Building Height (feet): Up to 35
- Square Feet: 3,600 to 6,100
- Open Space Required/Provided: 0/51,841 square feet

**Neighborhood Meeting Summary**

This request is for a nonconforming zone change to reclassify approximately 37.9 acres from an R-E (RNP-I) Zone to an R-D Zone for a single family residential development. The applicant conducted a virtual neighborhood meeting on August 16, 2021 as required by the nonconforming zone boundary amendment process. The required meeting notices were mailed to the neighboring property owners within 1,500 feet of the project site and 32 neighbors attended the meeting. Concerns expressed by the neighbors for this project included the project density, a trail alignment, building heights, building setbacks, drainage, and traffic.

Site Plans

The plans depict a single family residential development consisting of 94 residential lots on 37.9 acres with a density of 2.5 dwelling units per acre. The site is bisected by Tenaya Way with the 2 portions of the project being developed as separate neighborhoods. The plans depict 31 lots on the portion of the site west of Tenaya Way and 63 lots on the portion of the site east of Tenaya Way. The entrances to both portions of the development will be from Tenaya Way and the entrances will be gated. The plans show 51,841 square feet of open space which will be used mainly for drainage easements. Access within the interior of the development will be from 38 foot wide private streets. No sidewalks are being provided within the development and with the exception of Wigwam Avenue, no sidewalks are being provided with the adjacent public streets.

There is an existing portion of Belcastro Street on the northern portion of the site that is dedicated, partially improved, and provides access to 4 existing single family residences abutting the site. The project will not provide right-of-way dedication from the terminus of this portion of Belcastro Street to Cougar Avenue. The applicant is requesting waivers of development standards to reduce the width of the right-of-way dedication for this portion of Belcastro Street and allow the over length stub street to provide the minimum necessary access to the existing residences. The site slopes from west to east with the lowest portions of the site being along the eastern boundary of the site. The requests for increased retaining wall heights and increased finished grade are for the areas along the eastern boundary of the site.

#### Landscaping

The plan depicts a 15 foot wide landscape area with a detached sidewalk along Wigwam Avenue consisting of trees, shrubs, and groundcover. The plans depict a 6 foot wide landscape area along the other public street that abuts this site consisting of trees, shrubs, and groundcover. At the entrances to the development the plans depict 10 foot wide landscape areas consisting of trees, shrubs, and groundcover.

#### Elevations

The plans depict a total of 8 different home models which include five, 2 story home models and three, 1 story home models. The 1 story home models will have a height of 20 feet and the 2 story home models will be up to 35 feet in height. All of the homes will have a flat roof. The exterior of the homes will consist of large decorative windows, combinations of stucco finish, stone, decorative metal panels, and concrete.

#### Floor Plans

The plans depict a total of 8 different home models which include five, 2 story home models and three, 1 story home models. The homes will be between 3,600 square feet to 6,100 square feet in area. The homes will have options for 3 to 5 bedrooms and garages for 3 to 5 cars.

#### Applicant's Justification

The applicant indicates that the proposed development is compatible with existing and approved developments in the area. The increases in retaining wall height and increased finished grade are necessary to level the site to provide proper drainage. The request to waive off-site improvements is necessary to maintain the rural standards of the existing community and be in harmony with existing development in the area. The front setback reductions are to allow setbacks more in line with the R-E zoning district. The R-E Zone allows the setbacks to be measured from the property line, which is the center line of private streets. Whereas the R-D zone measures the front setback from the edge of the private street. The side street setback reduction will be internal to the site and only effect lots within the proposed development, they will not impact adjacent developments. The proposed modifications to the northern portion of Belcastro Street will allow necessary access to 4 existing residences without providing a fully developed local street which would be more costly to maintain, not benefit the community, and could create possible nuisance issues for the adjacent residents.

**Prior Land Use Requests**

Application Number	Request	Action	Date
ZC-1026-05	Established an RNP-I Overlay District for portions of the Enterprise Planning Area which include this site and the surrounding area	Approved by BCC	October 2005

**Surrounding Land Use**

	Planned land Use Category	Zoning District	Existing Land Use
North	Ranch Estate Neighborhood (up to 2 du/ac) & Low-Intensity Suburban Neighborhood (up to 5 du/ac)	R-E (RNP-I) & R-1	Single family residential & undeveloped
South, East, & West	Ranch Estate Neighborhood (up to 2 du/ac)	R-E (RNP-I)	Single family residential & undeveloped

This site and the surrounding area are located within the Public Facilities Needs Assessment (PFNA) area.

**Related Applications**

Application Number	Request
PA-22-700001	Amendment to the Master Plan for the Clark County Trail Map for the Las Vegas Valley is a companion item on this agenda.
VS-21-0746	A request to vacate and abandon easements and rights-of-way is a companion item on this agenda.
TM-21-500212	Tentative map for a single family residential development is a companion item on this agenda.

**STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL:**

The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed request meets the goals and purposes of Title 30.

**Analysis**

**Current Planning**

Zone Change

The applicant shall provide Compelling Justification that approval of the nonconforming zoning boundary amendment is appropriate. A Compelling Justification means the satisfaction of the following criteria as listed below:

- 1. A change in law, policies, trends, or facts after the adoption, readoption or amendment of the land use plan that have substantially changed the character or condition of the area, or the circumstances surrounding the property, which makes the proposed nonconforming zone boundary amendment appropriate.*

The applicant indicates there have been multiple changes in this area within the last few years which would make this request appropriate. These changes include the Legacy Charter School at

the southeast corner of Wigwam Avenue and Montessori Street, and residential developments which are located on the northwest corner of Wigwam Avenue and Montessori Street, and west of Rainbow Boulevard between Cougar Avenue and Torino Avenue. However, staff does not agree with the applicant. Schools, like the Legacy Charter School, are a special use that can be allowed within residential zoned districts. The Legacy Charter School site is zoned R-E (RNP-I) and the development of the school is not a change in law, policy, or trends for the area. The recently adopted Master Plan (November 2021) designates this site as Ranch Estate Neighborhood, which allows single family residential development up to 2 dwelling units per acre. This would indicate a continuing trend and policy to retain low density residential development for this area. The other residential developments referenced by the applicant are located at the edges of this established RNP-I area. This project would extend higher residential densities into the core of this established RNP-I area, farther than past developments. Therefore, staff finds that there has not been a change in law, policies, trends, or facts that have substantially changed the character or condition of this area to make this request appropriate.

2. *The density and intensity of the uses allowed by the nonconforming zoning is compatible with the existing and planned land uses in the surrounding area.*

The applicant indicates that densities for existing single family residential developments range from 2 to 6.8 dwelling units per acre; therefore, the overall density and intensity of this project is compatible with the area. However; the higher density residential developments are located on the edges of this established RNP-I area. The proposed development would increase residential density well into the interior of this RNP-I area where existing developments are at densities of 2 dwelling units per acre. Staff finds that the proposed development is not compatible with the density and intensity of existing developments within the interior of this RNP-I area

3. *There will not be a substantial adverse effect on public facilities and services, such as roads, access, schools, parks, fire and police facilities, and stormwater and drainage facilities, as a result of the uses allowed by the nonconforming zoning.*

There has been no indication from public services and facility providers that this development would have an adverse effect on public facilities and services in this area. The Clark County School District has indicated that this development would increase student yield by 37 students for the schools that serve this area (16 elementary students, 9 middle school students, and 12 high school students). The School District also indicates that 2 schools that would serve this area (Steele Elementary School and Sierra Vista High School) are over capacity with current enrollment. The increase in density for the proposed residential development will create an increased demand for parks within the area

4. *The proposed nonconforming zoning conforms to other applicable adopted plans, goals, and policies.*

The site is designated Ranch Estate Neighborhood in the Master Plan. These are areas intended for single family residential development up to 2 dwelling unit per acre. Characteristics of these areas include supporting the retention of existing large lot neighborhoods within the Las Vegas Valley, and providing greater setbacks between neighboring dwellings than typically found in

suburban/urbanized area. The design of the project with the requested waivers of development standards for setbacks does not comply with the intent and characteristics for the Ranch Estate Neighborhood in the Master Plan. The project does comply with Goal 1.1 of the Master Plan to provide opportunities for diverse housing options to meet the needs of residential for all ages, income levels, and abilities. However, by increasing the density of the development over 2 dwelling units per acre the project does not comply with Goal 1.5 of the Master Plan to maintain opportunities for ranch estate lifestyles with the Las Vegas Valley. Therefore, The proposed development does not comply with other applicable adopted plans and goals.

## **Summary**

### Zone Change

Staff finds that there has been no changes in law, policies, trends, or facts that have substantially changed the character or condition of the area, or the circumstances surrounding the property, which makes the proposed nonconforming zone boundary amendment appropriate. The proposed development is not compatible in density or intensity with existing or planned land uses in the surrounding area. The project will have an adverse effect on public facilities and services; and the project does not comply with other applicable adopted plans and goals. Therefore, staff finds the applicant has not provided a Compelling Justification to warrant approval of this nonconforming zone change.

### Waivers of Development Standards

According to Title 30, the applicant shall have the burden of proof to establish that the proposed request is appropriate for its existing location by showing that the uses of the area adjacent to the property included in the waiver of development standards request will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner. The intent and purpose of a waiver of development standards is to modify a development standard where the provision of an alternative standard, or other factors which mitigate the impact of the relaxed standard, may justify an alternative.

#### Waiver of Development Standards #1

Staff finds that there are no special or unique circumstances or conditions with this site to justify the proposed setback reductions. The applicant has not provided a sufficient justification to warrant the approval of these requests. Staff finds the request is due to the design and layout of the proposed development which is a self-imposed hardship. The applicant has not shown why a development cannot be designed for this site that complies with the design standards of Title 30. Additionally, staff does not support the zone change to reclassify this site to an R-D zone so staff cannot support waivers of development standards for a project based on the R-D development standards and recommends denial of these requests.

#### Waiver of Development Standards #2

The topography of the site may be a unique or special circumstance to warrant approval of a request to increase the height of the retaining walls. However, since staff does not support the zone change request, staff does not support the request to increase retaining wall heights for the project.

### Design Review #1

Staff does not support the zone change and waivers of development standards necessary for the proposed layout of the development; therefore, staff cannot support the design review for the development.

### **Public Works - Development Review**

#### Waivers of Development Standards #3 and #4a

Staff does not have a practical problem with the design of the portion of Belcastro Street just south of Wigwam Avenue, which includes a reduced public street width of 47 feet instead of 60 feet and a dead end length of 203 feet instead of 150 feet. Since Planning does not support the application in its entirety, staff cannot support this request.

#### Waiver of Development Standards #4b

Staff has no objection to the reduced width of the private streets that end in cul-de-sacs. A 38 foot wide street section, measured from the back of curbs where R-curb is used, is permitted for private streets that are not hammerheads or cul-de-sacs. Staff finds that an additional 2 feet of width to make the street 40 feet wide will not provide any benefit for the subdivision. However, since Planning does not support the application in its entirety, staff cannot support this request.

#### Waiver of Development Standards #5

Staff cannot support the request for non-urban standards on all streets, with the exception of Wigwam Avenue and Montessouri Street. While streets adjacent to other subdivisions in the area are improved to non-urban standards, those subdivisions retained their R-E (RNP-I) zoning, which allows non-urban standards on all local streets. Full off-site improvements are important for the effective flow of traffic, proper control of drainage, and the safety of pedestrians.

### Design Review #2

This design review represents the maximum grade difference within the boundary of this application. This information is based on preliminary data to set the worst case scenario. Staff will continue to evaluate the site through the technical studies required for this application. Approval of this application will not prevent staff from requiring an alternate design to meet Clark County Code, Title 30, or previous land use approval. However, since Planning does not support the application in its entirety, staff cannot support this request.

### **Staff Recommendation**

Denial. This item will be forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners' meeting for final action on March 16, 2022 at 9:00 a.m., unless otherwise announced.

If this request is approved, the Board and/or Commission finds that the application is consistent with the standards and purpose enumerated in the Master Plan, Title 30, and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes.

## **PRELIMINARY STAFF CONDITIONS:**

### **Current Planning**

If approved:

- Resolution of Intent to complete in 4 years;
- Enter into a standard development agreement prior to any permits or subdivision mapping in order to provide fair-share contribution toward public infrastructure necessary to provide service because of the lack of necessary public services in the area;
- Certificate of Occupancy and/or business license shall not be issued without final zoning inspection.
- Applicant is advised that the County is currently rewriting Title 30 and future land use applications, including applications for extensions of time, will be reviewed for conformance with the regulations in place at the time of application; a new application for a nonconforming zone boundary amendment may be required in the event the building program and/or conditions of the subject application are proposed to be modified in the future; a substantial change in circumstances or regulations may warrant denial or added conditions to an extension of time; and that the extension of time may be denied if the project has not commenced or there has been no substantial work towards completion within the time specified.

### **Public Works - Development Review**

- Drainage study and compliance;
- Drainage study must demonstrate that the proposed grade elevation differences outside that allowed by Section 30.32.040(a)(9) are needed to mitigate drainage through the site;
- Traffic study and compliance;
- Full off-site improvements on Wigwam Avenue and Montessouri Street;
- Right-of-way dedication to include 35 feet to the back of curb for Wigwam Avenue, 30 feet for Montessouri Street, 17 feet for Belcastro Street adjacent to APN 176-15-701-006, 30 feet for Belcastro Street south of Cougar Avenue, 30 feet for Cougar Avenue and an elbow at the Cougar Avenue/Belcastro Street intersection, 40 feet to 80 feet for Tenaya Way, 30 feet for Ford Avenue west of Tenaya Way, 30 feet for Monte Cristo Way, and associated spandrels;
- 30 days to submit a Separate Document to the Map Team for the required right-of-way dedications and any corresponding easements for any collector street or larger;
- 90 days to record required right-of-way dedications and any corresponding easements for any collector street or larger;
- All other right-of-way and easement dedications to record with the subdivision map;
- Applicant to coordinate a contribution with Public Works for improvements on Belcastro Street, Cougar Avenue, Tenaya Way, Ford Avenue, and Monte Cristo Way;
- Execute a Restrictive Covenant Agreement (deed restrictions).
- Applicant is advised that approval of this application will not prevent Public Works from requiring an alternate design to meet Clark County Code, Title 30, or previous land use approvals; and that the installation of detached sidewalks will require dedication to back of curb and granting necessary easements for utilities, pedestrian access, streetlights, and traffic control.



**Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD)**

- Applicant is advised that a Point of Connection (POC) request has been completed for this project; to email sewerlocation@cleanwaterteam.com and reference POC Tracking #0300-2021 to obtain your POC exhibit; and that flow contributions exceeding CCWRD estimates may require another POC analysis.

**TAB/CAC:** Enterprise - denial.

**APPROVALS:**

**PROTESTS:**

**APPLICANT:** MAGNUS VEGAS, LLC

**CONTACT:** ANN PIERCE, KAEMPFER CROWELL, 1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE,  
SUITE 650, LAS VEGAS, NV 89135



# Department of Comprehensive Planning

500 S Grand Central Pkwy · Box 551741 · Las Vegas NV 89155-1741  
(702) 455-4314 · Fax (702) 455-3271

Nancy A. Amundsen, Director

## NOTICE OF FINAL ACTION

March 28, 2022

ANN PIERCE  
KAEMPFER CROWELL  
1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 650  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89135

REFERENCE: NZC-21-0745

On the date indicated above, a Notice of Final Action was filed with the Clark County Clerk, Commission Division, pursuant to NRS 278.0235 and NRS 278.3195, which starts the commencement of the twenty-five (25) day limitation period specified therein.

The above referenced application was presented before the Clark County Board of County Commissioners at their regular meeting of **March 16, 2022** and was **APPROVED** subject to the conditions listed below. You will be required to comply with all conditions prior to the issuance of a building permit or a business license, whichever occurs first.

Time limits to commence, complete or review this approval, apply only to this specific application. A property may have several approved applications on it with each having its own expiration date. **It is the applicant's responsibility to keep the application current.**

### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL -**

#### **Current Planning**

- **Resolution of Intent to complete in 4 years;**
- **Coordinate north-south wall construction adjacent to Torino Avenue with APN 176-15-801-003;**
- **Lots 26, 49, 50, 54, and 91 to be single story only;**
- **Enter into a standard development agreement prior to any permits or subdivision mapping in order to provide fair-share contribution toward public infrastructure necessary to provide service because of the lack of necessary public services in the area;**
- **Certificate of Occupancy and/or business license shall not be issued without final zoning inspection.**
- **Applicant is advised that the County is currently rewriting Title 30 and future land use applications, including applications for extensions of time, will be reviewed for conformance with the regulations in place at the time of application; a new application for a nonconforming zone boundary amendment may be required in the event the building program and/or conditions of the subject application are proposed to be modified in the future; a substantial change in circumstances or regulations may warrant denial or added conditions to an extension of time; and that the extension of time may be denied if the project has not commenced or there has been no substantial work towards completion within the time specified.**

#### **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

JAMES B. GIBSON, Chair · JUSTIN C. JONES, Vice Chair  
MICHAEL NAFT · MARILYN KIRKPATRICK · TICK SEGERBLOM · ROSS MILLER · WILLIAM MCCURDY II  
YOLANDA T. KING, County Manager



# Department of Comprehensive Planning

500 S Grand Central Pkwy · Box 551741 · Las Vegas NV 89155-1741  
(702) 455-4314 · Fax (702) 455-3271

Nancy A. Amundsen, Director



## Public Works - Development Review

- **Drainage study and compliance;**
- **Drainage study must demonstrate that the proposed grade elevation differences outside that allowed by Section 30.32.040(a)(9) are needed to mitigate drainage through the site;**
- **Traffic study and compliance;**
- **Full off-site improvements on Wigwam Avenue, Montessouri Street, Cougar Avenue, Tenaya Way, and Belcastro Street (south of Cougar Avenue);**
- **Right-of-way dedication to include 35 feet to the back of curb for Wigwam Avenue, 30 feet for Montessouri Street, 17 feet for Belcastro Street adjacent to APN 176-15-701-006, 30 feet for Belcastro Street south of Cougar Avenue, 30 feet for Cougar Avenue and an elbow at the Cougar Avenue/Belcastro Street intersection, 40 feet to 80 feet for Tenaya Way, 30 feet for Ford Avenue west of Tenaya Way, 30 feet for Monte Cristo Way, and associated spandrels;**
- **30 days to submit a Separate Document to the Map Team for the required right-of-way dedications and any corresponding easements for any collector street or larger;**
- **90 days to record required right-of-way dedications and any corresponding easements for any collector street or larger;**
- **All other right-of-way and easement dedications to record with the subdivision map;**
- **Execute a Restrictive Covenant Agreement (deed restrictions).**
- **Applicant is advised that approval of this application will not prevent Public Works from requiring an alternate design to meet Clark County Code, Title 30, or previous land use approvals; and that the installation of detached sidewalks will require dedication to back of curb and granting necessary easements for utilities, pedestrian access, streetlights, and traffic control.**

## Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD)

- **Applicant is advised that a Point of Connection (POC) request has been completed for this project; to email [sewerlocation@cleanwaterteam.com](mailto:sewerlocation@cleanwaterteam.com) and reference POC Tracking #0300-2021 to obtain your POC exhibit; and that flow contributions exceeding CCWRD estimates may require another POC analysis.**

## BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

JAMES B. GIBSON, Chair · JUSTIN C. JONES, Vice Chair


MICHAEL NAFT · MARILYN KIRKPATRICK · TICK SEGERBLOM · ROSS MILLER · WILLIAM MCCURDY II  
YOLANDA T. KING, County Manager

# Commission Agenda Map


NZC-21-0745

## ZONING

Clark County Department of Comprehensive Planning, Clark County, Nevada




## PLANNED LAND USE



This information is for display purposes only. No liability is assumed as to the accuracy of the data delineated hereon.

Subject Parcel(s)  
 17615301013  
 17615301031  
 17615701011

See complete list on file



**ENTITY INFORMATION**

**ENTITY INFORMATION**

**Entity Name:**

MAGNUS VEGAS, LLC

**Entity Number:**

E11878522021-4

**Entity Type:**

Domestic Limited-Liability Company (86)

**Entity Status:**

Active

**Formation Date:**

01/26/2021

**NV Business ID:**

NV20211998570

**Termination Date:**

Perpetual

**Annual Report Due Date:**

1/31/2023

**Series LLC:**

**Restricted LLC:**

**REGISTERED AGENT INFORMATION**

**Name of Individual or Legal Entity:**

MICHAEL LATER

**Status:**

Active

**CRA Agent Entity Type:**

**Registered Agent Type:**

Commercial Registered Agent

**NV Business ID:**

NV20141133059

**Office or Position:**

**Jurisdiction:**

**Street Address:**

8890 SPANISH RIDGE AVE, LAS VEGAS, NV, 89148, USA

**Mailing Address:**

**Individual with Authority to Act:**

MICHAEL LATER

**Fictitious Website or Domain Name:**

**OFFICER INFORMATION**

VIEW HISTORICAL DATA

Title	Name	Address	Last Updated	Status
Manager	Philippe Ziade	8890 Spanish Ridge Ave, Las Vegas, NV, 89148, USA	01/26/2021	Active
Manager	Alex Bouzari	8890 Spanish Ridge Ave, Las Vegas, NV, 89148, USA	01/28/2021	Active

Page 1 of 1, records 1 to 2 of 2

[Filing History](#)

[Name History](#)

[Mergers/Conversions](#)

[Return to Search](#)

[Return to Results](#)