



**togetherforbetter**

*Board of County Commissioners*  
Clark County, Nevada

Michael Naft, Chair

William McCurdy II, Vice Chair

April Becker

Jim Gibson

Justin Jones

Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick

Tick Segerblom

---

The Board of County Commissioners of Clark County, Nevada met in joint regular session with Moapa Fire Protection District Board of Fire Commissioners, Mount Charleston Fire Protection District Board of Fire Commissioners, Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees, University Medical Center of Southern Nevada Board of Hospital Trustees, in full conformity with law and bylaws of said Boards, at the regular place of meeting in Clark County, Nevada, on Tuesday, January 6, 2026:

CLARK COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER  
COMMISSION CHAMBERS  
500 S GRAND CENTRAL PKWY  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SEC. 1. OPENING CEREMONIES .....	3
CALL TO ORDER.....	3
SEC. 2. COMMISSIONERS' / COUNTY MANAGER'S RECOGNITION .....	3
SEC. 3. PUBLIC FORUM.....	3
SEC. 4. AGENDA .....	10
SEC. 5. CONSENT AGENDA: ITEM 6 THROUGH 37.....	14
Purchasing & Contracts.....	15
Town Services .....	16
Business License.....	16
Environment and Sustainability .....	17
Public Works .....	17
Real Property Management.....	20
Budget & Finance.....	20
General Administration.....	20
SEC. 6. ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA .....	21
SEC. 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 10 A.M.....	21
SEC. 8. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES .....	23
SEC. 9. BUSINESS ITEMS.....	24
PUBLIC COMMENTS.....	38

## SEC. 1. OPENING CEREMONIES

### CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:46 a.m. by Chair Segerblom with the following members present:

**Commissioners Present:**

Michael Naft, Chair  
William McCurdy II, Vice Chair  
April Becker  
Jim Gibson  
Justin Jones  
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick  
Tick Segerblom

**Absent:**

None

**Also Present:**

Kevin Schiller, County Manager  
Lisa Logsdon, Deputy District Attorney  
Lynn Goya, County Clerk  
Nancy Maldonado, Deputy Clerk  
Ruby Ochoa, Deputy Clerk

## SEC. 2. COMMISSIONERS' / COUNTY MANAGER'S RECOGNITION

1. Recognize all the sponsors from the 2025 Annual District D Toy Giveaway.

**ACTION: DELETED FROM AGENDA.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## SEC. 3. PUBLIC FORUM

2. Public Comment

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Good morning, this is the Tuesday, January 6 meeting of the Clark County Commission.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Good morning, Commissioners. We do not have any Commissioners, County Managers recognition items. So, we'll move to the first section set aside for public comment.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. This is the first period for public comment. Anyone wishing to speak on an item on the agenda, come forward, identify the item you want to speak on, and also tell us your name, and spell your last name.

**MATTHEW WINTERHAWK**

Matthew Winterhawk, for the record, W-I-N-T-E-R-H-A-W-K. Good morning, Chair, Members, Commissioners. Speaking today on behalf of Clark County residents and Nevadans who cannot be here, because they're caring for children, and you know, working jobs at this hour.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Can you give us the item you're speaking on?

**MATTHEW WINTERHAWK**

I have the script, so I have the items as well. So many of these are dropping their kids off today for—

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

I'm sorry. Will you give us the item you're speaking on?

**MATTHEW WINTERHAWK**

Okay. I have a list of them. It's not just one.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Well, just give us one, then.

**MATTHEW WINTERHAWK**

Okay. Item 5. Do you want me to start with Item 5? Fantastic. Such chairs, vice chairs, and board appointments determining who controls the agendas, audits, and information flow for the entire year. I'm asking for the Commissioners to explain the criteria used for these appointments, and whether the appointee have ties to vendors, active conflicts of interest with the nonprofits and contractors overseen by these boards. So, that's Item 5.

Regarding the consent agenda, there are many that have amended contracts, inter-local agreements, ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning), and SAP (Systems, Applications and Products) system changes that are going to be referenced today. Specifically, Item 30, Olive Crest, the lease nonprofits operating on public land, which have layered funding, require full transparency, including the funding sources, affiliated entities and subcontractors, and the outcome metrics, which I have not seen.

I'm also asking for a discussion on Items 31 through 33, related to Medicaid and managed care financial structures. Nationally, these programs represent some of the largest documented fraud exposure areas, not because it isn't needed, but because oversight is often weak. The public deserves to know what independent audits exist, and what claw-back mechanisms are in place for this.

Finally, Item 40. The proposed 911 telephone line surcharge. I'm asking this body to provide a public audit of existing 911 funding. Families out here are tapped out. New surcharges, without proof of need, is eroding the trust with your citizens. I'm not here to accuse. I'm here to insist that you have audits, insist that you have outcomes, and insist that you have transparency, before you expand any of these programs, much of which are on this agenda today. So, thank you for allowing whatever that was.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you. Next.

**THEODORE LICHTENSTEIN**

Hi. My name's Theodore Lichtenstein. My address is 5640 Vasila Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89110. I'm speaking about Item 37, the short-term lodging rentals.

People want the ability to rent out their place, both short-term and long-term. Clearly, there's a demand by both people who want a short-term place to stay, and also people who are offering a place to stay short-term. Just because hotels exist does not mean that people shouldn't have other short-term lodging options. This is similar to Uber and Lyft not being denied the ability to operate because taxis already exist. Thank you.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you.

**ED UEHLING**

Yes. My name is Ed Uehling, U-E-H-L-I-N-G. I'm here to speak on Item 37. About five years ago, this law was created based on very dubious ideas that I talk about in my letter to you. Actually, they were false ideas that Airbnb people tend to have more parties, that they create trash in the neighborhoods, that they're more dangerous than hotel guests.

When in fact, Airbnb people are vetted by at least three people. Their previous experience with other hosts, the current hosts, and Airbnb itself. The hotels don't vet anyone, except maybe a gambler who might be acting illegally.

The real cause isn't any of those things. The open secret and truth is that this law didn't arise from community need, but from the hotel industry influence and questionable financial incentives liberally provided by the hotel industry to so-called public decision-makers. Most likely, including the district attorney himself, who wants to challenge the decision of the U.S. Court.

The district court judges of the United States of America, on the other hand, are not elected. And so, they are not so easily plied with money because of that. While the hotel industry authored and heavily funded this legislation, no affected or attacked homeowners have ever been offered compensation for the loss of income and property use, despite the constitution itself clearly requiring compensation when the government takes or destroys private property rights.

This Commission destroyed about 10,000 Airbnb owners. As a result, thousands of homeowners lost income and property value, while resort hotels gained protection from competition. This was the real motivation of this. Even worse, the law even granted every individual hotel effective control over how tens of thousands of private homeowners or property owners in the county, which happened to be within a half mile of a hotel are affected.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you, Mr. Uehling.

**ED UEHLING**

What?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you. Your time is up.

**ED UEHLING**

Oh, okay. Here. (Inaudible).

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Next, please.

**JACQUELINE FLORES**

I'm Jacqueline Flores. I'm speaking on Item 37.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Could you spell your last name?

**JACQUELINE FLORES**

Jacqueline Flores, F-L-O-R-E-S. Item 37, regarding the appeal of the preliminary injunction granted by the federal court regarding property rights and short-term rentals.

On the federal court injunction you are considering to appeal today is not ambiguous, emotional, or political. It is thorough, well-reasoned, and granted in a careful analysis of both the facts and the law. The court did not issue this injunction lightly. It laid out in clear terms how the county's regulations cross constitutional boundaries, and imposed unlawful burdens on property owners.

Yet, instead of taking this ruling seriously and fixing what the court has already identified as unconstitutional and problematic, this Board is choosing to appeal. That choice does not demonstrate respect for the law. It demonstrates resistance to it. Appealing an injunction without addressing the underlying violations only prolong harm and increase costs for the public.

This Board frequently speaks about its commitment to following the constitution, respecting people's rights, and individual freedoms. Those principles, however, are not slogans to be repeated during campaigns. They are obligations, especially when a federal court tells you plainly that the county has exceeded its authority. Every dollar spent appealing this injunction is a dollar not spent correcting the problem, not spent on compliance, and not spent serving residents.

Litigation is not a solution here. It is a delay tactic that shifts responsibility into the future, while taxpayers foot the bill. True respect for the rule of law means acknowledging when government oversteps, and acting promptly to correct it. Opposing this appeal would not be a sign of weakness. It will be a sign of integrity, accountability, and maturity in governance. Voting to approve this agenda item will prove to the public that this body lacks all of this.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you. Next, please.

**CHERYL WOODS**

Hello. My name is Cheryl Woods. 7445 Wandering Street, Las Vegas. I wasn't planning on speaking today. I'm just here in support of short-term rentals. I've operated my short-term rental for seven years successfully, up until last year. It was very challenging with the economy being like it was. I don't know if you guys are aware of the lack of tourism in Las Vegas last year, so I supplemented my income by driving.

And a lot of people didn't want to come to Las Vegas last year because of all the—or the States, I guess, because of the tariffs and things of that nature. But they did appreciate the fact that there are solutions to what the hotels were doing, like gouging, charging \$9 for a bottle of water and the excessive resort fees, that there is an option like short-term rental.

So, I was able to, in addition to driving people, give out my card and let them know that this is available, and a lot of them were happy to have that option. So, I just want to say that fighting to get us out is not the real solution. I think short-term rentals should exist as an option. The type of people that I have in my

Airbnb is like skiers, climbers, families, people attending weddings. It's no party house. So please take that into consideration, and allow short-term rentals to exist. That's all I have to say.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you.

**MALIE SIMPSON**

Hello. My name is Malie Simpson, S-I-M-P-S-O-N. I'm speaking on agenda [Item] 37. I'm here today to express my support for the Greater Las Vegas Association, to stand in defense of its position. I'm a short-term rental operator, and over the past 10 years, we face increasing challenges.

Originally, we entered the short-term rental business to simply make ends meet with our property. Over time, we grew to truly love hosting many visitors from around the world, many who have expressed their appreciation for having an alternative to staying on the Las Vegas Strip for their entire visit.

In addition to managing my own properties, I help manage other homeowners' properties. Many of these owners are struggling, because they have either received fines or shut-down notices, despite their efforts to comply with county requirements. Several have waited, and sometimes for over two years, without being contacted or given a determination.

This prolonged lack of response has created significant hardship for these property owners who have invested their time, money, and effort into their homes with reasonable expectation that they would be able to obtain a license. Meanwhile, we continue to pay property taxes, as well as short-term rental taxes.

Given these circumstances, it's difficult to understand why Clark County continues to refuse issuing to applicants who have applied in good faith and appear to meet these qualifications. And on top of this, I just wanted to mention—I'll give an example of a current situation with one of our properties.

I'm a single mom, first of all, of four kids. My oldest has been in the military, fought for our freedoms in America here, one which is our property rights. He's currently stationed at the Pentagon in D.C. He's actually flying out tomorrow, just to fix a couple minor things on his own property to make sure he's ready for his upcoming inspection for the City of Henderson.

So, these are just an example of how we take care of our properties. We want to follow the laws that are currently in place. We want to have these licenses, and we want to be fair to everyone. Thank you.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you.

**FRED HAMSAYEH**

Hello. I'm Fred Hamsayeh. I'm in District F. I'll spell the last name, H-A-M-S-A-Y-E-H. I'm talking on Item 37.

My background's a little bit different from the folks that have already spoken. I've stayed at some of these places. Before I moved here in 2018, I stayed at a place in 2017. I do travel a lot back and forth to California, so that's kind of where I stay at. And so, I've seen these firsthand from a visitor standpoint.

And the one thing I'll say is that your policy, does not differentiate, at least from what I've seen, it does not differentiate from owner-occupied and non-owner-occupied rentals. I think there's a big distinction

there, because a lot of the issues that you might have tend to be inherent with maybe somebody who's not owner-occupied. Owner-occupied is a little bit different.

I mean, you're literally staying at somebody's house, they're there the whole time. You're less likely to be disruptive. And plus, you have a vetting system and everything that they've already talked about. So, when I see just this blanket policy and this archaic licensing process, I'm thinking, "Is this fair? Is this just?"

And then the worst part is, all the money that's been spent, the taxpayer money fighting this, instead of just coming to the negotiating table and just saying, "Hey, look, let's talk this out. Let's talk to people who've maybe used this service who don't have a conflict of interest necessarily. They're not operating, but they've used it." And they do see some of your points for licensing for possibly somebody who's not living there.

I can see a business licensing requirement for that. But somebody who's living there? You talk to some of these folks, parents, grandparents, the kids moved out, they have an empty room. No one's going to go to the suburbs and party. I think anybody that stayed in this city long enough, this county, I've been here since 2018, knows you don't go to the suburbs to party. You go to the Strip, right? So, you have a geographical distinction as well.

I think if you sit down and look at all the factors you're considering, it just seems like it wasn't really thought-out talking to somebody who understands how this works, who understands the process, who's actually stayed at some of these places. I'm willing to bet, nobody here has stated an Airbnb, or any kind of short-term rental. Maybe you have, but the point is, if you have, you would know this. And so, that's the point.

So, in terms of you're asking about public comment on an appeal? I mean, why keep spending money? I mean, I've been involved litigation on a personal and business level, and I think we know nobody wins except the lawyers, right? Nobody wins except the attorneys. So, let's not go through with this. Let's just put a pause to it. Let's get to the negotiating table, talk it out with people, and find some compromise. Common sense compromise. That's all I got.

**JUSTIN JONES**

Sir, can I get your contact info? Sir, can I get your contact info?

**FRED HAMSAYEH**

I'm sorry. I'm hard of hearing. I'm sorry.

**JUSTIN JONES**

Same. Can I get your contact information afterwards?

**FRED HAMSAYEH**

Oh, okay. Where I give that to?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. Next.

**ANTHONY DEVRIES**

Hello. Excuse me. My name is Anthony Devries. Oh, Anthony Devries, D-E, V like Victor, R-I-E-S. My address is 8545 West Warm Springs, Suite A-4174. I'm here to speak on behalf of Item 37, short-term rental appeal, granted by the United States District Court.

The issue that we have highlights a troubling pattern that we seem to see over and over, where the rights of individuals conflict with the interest of powerful industries. This injunction exists, because county regulations went so far that a federal court had to step in. Instead of correcting overreaches, this Board chooses to appeal, preserving a system that disproportionately benefits large, well-connected corporate interests, while burdening small property owners.

We speak about protecting property rights and freedom, but those values ring hollow when enforcement and regulation falls the hardest on those with the least political influence. I believe in regulation. Of course, we have to have it. And I believe that there should be a licensing procedure. But why is it so difficult? What is wrong here? Why has Clark County only approved 500 licenses approximately out of 2,500 available? Why has that taken three years?

Well, how has Henderson been able to approve 500 licenses within six months? The first step in solving a problem or an issue is identifying it. So, what are we going to identify? What's wrong here? Why has it taken so long?

What that tells me is there's another agenda. There's an agenda that we don't talk about openly. Okay? Is it to protect the influence of large corporations? Really, in your heart of hearts, when you go to sleep tonight, can you really ask yourself if you're protecting the rights of the property owners? Again, I believe in regulation, we have to have it.

But then why don't we focus more on the regulation itself? If short-term rentals have parties, well, why don't we have a special—The code Enforcement go out there, or the police, when they're having these parties, when the property's not being taken. Most of these short-term rental operators, I think there's somewhere around 10,000, most of them vet their clients, their guests, and they have more of an influence over their clients than a lot of the hotel operators.

I have a family. When I go somewhere, when I travel, I want to stay in an Airbnb. I'm sure you guys agree with that, right? I don't want to have my kids stay in a hotel. It's better for the family when we can all be together. But let's define, really, what the issue is over here. Let's make it easier to get these licenses. Thank you for letting me speak.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you.

**ANTHONY DEVRIES**

Appreciate it.

**AL ROJAS**

Yeah. Hello. My name is Al Rojas. I'm a resident of Sunrise Manor, a proud resident of Sunrise Manor. I'm going to discuss Item 42, which has to do with appointing a trustee for the Las Vegas County Library District.

I want to say that we need a library up there in Sunrise Manor over by Lake Mead and Hollywood Boulevard. We've already had a new library over on the west side, which is fantastic. I've been looking into that. And whoever becomes a trustee, I would like to have them maybe discuss that on the agenda, maybe reach out to me.

Us in Sunrise Manor, we have a Neighborhood Watch program. We've been involved in removing 45 encampments, 45 RVs (Recreational Vehicles), with the help of our Commissioners, with ourselves

independently, and with Metro (Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department). That is a high crime area that it definitely needs attention. There's two designated areas that I've looked on the satellite map that are BLM (Bureau of Land Management) land, vacant land, which would be perfect for a library.

There's a high school, two elementary schools, and a middle school in that district, and there's a lot of stealing going on. The kids are going in there and just robbing a lot of these stores. And we really need to— The more a kid can read, they make better decisions. So, we need a library up there. Hopefully you guys can reach out to me. My name is Al Rojas. I'm on social media. I'd like to maybe meet with you. We'd like to get a group up there.

We have a lot of people engaged in our group. We just had an RV, a group of RVs that came in. We got them out without the help of anybody. So, we're engaged. We need attention up there. We need another library. We only have one little, small library in Sunrise Manor for 200,000 people. We need something up there on Lake Mead and Hollywood, and there's land up there, and I will be posting that on social media so our Commissioners can see what area I'm talking about.

Let's get that going. Let's get the community going. Let's make Clark County the fantastic, safe place that it is. We're the entertainment capital of the world, and we're really, really good people here. Thank you.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Thank you. That completes the public comment. We'll close the public comment up here and turn it over to the County Manager.

## **SEC. 4. AGENDA**

3. Approval of Minutes of the Regular Meeting on December 2, 2025. (For possible action) (Available in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, we now move to the agenda section. Item 3 is approval of the minutes of the regular meeting on December 2, 2025.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, I move to approve the minutes.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion to approve the minutes. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes.

4. Approval of Agenda with the Inclusion of Any Emergency Items and Deletion of Any Items. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, we now move to approval of the agenda with the inclusion of any emergency items and deletion of any items. Staff is requesting on agenda Item 5, that the third paragraph be deleted and redesignated a President and Vice President of the Board of Directors of the Las Vegas Valley Water District, which actually I think we already did, correct? So, we'll take that off.

And then staff is also requesting on Item 39, under section two of the attached Ordinance 2449, that the name given to the Lower Kyle Canyon Citizens Advisory Council be amended to Upper and Lower Kyle Canyon Citizens Advisory Council.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

With those changes read into the record, I move to approve the agenda.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

There's a motion to approve the agenda. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

That motion passes.

5. Designate a Chair and Vice Chair of the Clark County Board of County Commissioners; and Designate a Chair and Vice Chair of the Liquor and Gaming License Board; and Designate a President and Vice President of the Board of Directors, Las Vegas Valley Water District; and Designate a Chair and Vice Chair of the following Boards of Trustees: Big Bend Water District, Clark County Water Reclamation District, Kyle Canyon Water District, University Medical Center of Southern Nevada, and the Coyote Springs Water Resources General Improvement District; and Designate a Chair for the following Fire Protection Districts: Moapa Valley Fire Protection District Board of Fire Commissioners and the Mt. Charleston Fire Protection District; and Appoint Commissioners to the following boards and councils: the Clark County School District (CCSD) Technical Advisory Committee, the CCSD Oversight Panel, the Family and Juvenile Justice Services Policy and Fiscal Affairs Board, the Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance, the Nevada Association of Counties (NACO) Board of Directors, and the Southern Nevada Council of Governments. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED. COMMISSIONER MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK MOTIONED TO DESIGNATE COMMISSIONER MICHAEL NAFT AS CHAIR AND COMMISSIONER WILLIAM MCCURDY II AS VICE CHAIR OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AND COMMISSIONER WILLIAM MCCURDY II MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE LIST OF DESIGNATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS AS READ INTO THE RECORD.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, your next item is to designate a Chair and Vice Chair of the Clark County Board of County Commissioners.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

All right. At this point, I'll entertain a motion. Commissioner Kirkpatrick.

**MOTION**

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would make a motion that Commissioner Naft be the Chair, and Commissioner McCurdy II be the Vice Chair.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

Any other motions? Seeing none. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

And that motion passes. So, congratulations. And if you want to switch places? No? Okay. All right. I get to be here for one more meeting, so thank you so much.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners—

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

But also, even though I'm no longer Chair, I just want to thank the staff and the County Manager. It's been a great two years. And so, thank you so much for all of you.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Before we move on, Chair Segerblom, thank you for your leadership. I'm sure, as I'll find out, and Commissioners Kirkpatrick and Gibson and you have said, it's a thankless role. So, this is your thanks. We all very much appreciate your leadership over these two years.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

And thank your staff, because they're going to have a lot of work.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Your next designation under this item, is designate a Chair and Vice Chair of the Liquor and Gaming Board. Do you want me to read them in a block? Okay.

Designate Chair and Vice Chair of the following boards of Trustees: Big Bend Water District, Clark County Water Reclamation District, Kyle Canyon Water District, University Medical Center of Southern Nevada, and the Coyote Springs Water Resources General Improvement District.

Designate a Chair for the following Fire Protection Districts: Moapa Valley Fire Protection District Board of Fire Commissioners, and the Mount Charleston Fire Protection District.

Appoint Commissioners to the following boards and councils: The Clark County School District (CCSD) Technical Advisory Committee, the CCSD Oversight Panel, the Family and Juvenile Justice Services Policy and Fiscal Affairs Board, the Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance, the Nevada Association of Counties (NACO) Board of Directors, and the Southern Nevada Council of Governments.

**MOTION****WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Thank you, Manager Schiller. For the Las Vegas Valley Water District for President, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, and for Vice President, Commissioner Gibson.

For Big Bend Water District Chair, Commissioner Naft, Chairman Naft, and Vice Chair, Commissioner Gibson.

Water Reclamation District Chair, Commissioner Segerblom, Vice Chair, Commissioner Jones.

Kyle Canyon Water District Chair, Commissioner Becker, Vice Chair, Commissioner Naft.

University Medical Center Chair, Commissioner McCurdy II, Vice Chair, Commissioner Segerblom.

Clark County School District Technical Advisory Committee, Commissioner Segerblom.

Oversight panel, Commissioner Segerblom.

Coyote Springs Resource General Improvement District Chair, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, Vice Chair, Commissioner Naft.

Family and Juvenile Justice Services Policy and Physical Affairs Board, Commissioner Jones and Commissioner Becker.

Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance, Commissioner Jones.

Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board Chair, myself, Commissioner McCurdy II, Vice Chair, Commissioner Segerblom.

Moapa Valley Fire Protection District, Commissioner Kirkpatrick.

Mount Charleston Fire Protection District, Commissioner Becker.

NACO, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, Commissioner Gibson, and non-voting representative, Commissioner Jones.

Southern Nevada Council of Governments, Commissioner Jones, and myself, Commissioner McCurdy II. And that completes our appointments for today.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

There's a motion, cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Motion passes.

**SEC. 5. CONSENT AGENDA: ITEM 6 THROUGH 37**

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, we can now move to the approval of your consent agenda, consisting of Items 6 through 37.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

I move to approve of our consent agenda, Items 6 through 37.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Motion for approval. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

That motion carries.

**Purchasing & Contracts**

6. Authorize the Chair to sign an Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement with City of North Las Vegas, for CBE No. 606736-23, for SFY-2024 Account for Affordable Housing Trust Fund (AAHTF) Program Funds; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: AUTHORIZED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

7. Approve, ratify and authorize the Chair to sign the Interlocal Agreement with City of Henderson, for CBE No. 607668-25, for Inmate Housing; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

8. Approve an Amendment to the Contract with SAP Public Services, Inc, for RFP No. 5459-03, for Enterprise Resource Planning System; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Amendment; or take other action as appropriate. (Previous Amendments and original Contract are available for public viewing at the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division) (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

9. Approve and ratify an Amendment to the Contract with Raise the Future, for CBE No. 606603-23, for Foster Parent Pre-Service Training, Adoption Recruitment Events and Staffing for Permanency Roundtables; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Amendment; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

10. Approve the award of Bid No. 607694-25, for Concrete Repair Services Countywide, to the low responsive and responsible bidder, contingent upon submission of the required bonds and insurance. Staff recommends award to Maile, Inc. dba Maile Concrete; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Town Services

11. Note for the record the following Town Advisory Board (TAB) and/or Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) Minutes: Bunkerville TAB - October 2, 2025; Enterprise TAB - November 25, 2025; Moapa Valley TAB - November 26, 2025; Paradise TAB - November 25, 2025; Sunrise Manor TAB - November 13, 2025; and Whitney TAB - October 30, 2025.

**ACTION: NOTED FOR THE RECORD.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Business License

12. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign a Master Wireless Use License Agreement (MLA) between Clark County and CITYSWITCH SWF DEVC01, LLC, allowing CITYSWITCH to install its wireless communications equipment of streetlight poles to provide telecommunications services; and providing for other matters properly related thereto. Commission District: All (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

13. Accept the application of Stimulus Technologies of Nevada, LLC for a Franchise to provide telecommunications services in unincorporated Clark County; cause public notice of the application pursuant to NRS 709.070; and set a public hearing to consider awarding a franchise for February 17, 2026, at 10 a.m. following the public notice period; and providing for other matters properly related thereto. Commission District: C, F (For possible action)

**ACTION: ACCEPTED. PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR FEBRUARY 17, 2026, AT 10 A.M.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

14. Approve the application for a Change of Location (adding an additional suite) of an existing Importer/Wholesaler for K&R Beverage LLC (A Nevada Limited Liability Company), dba Franco Wine, Kai D. Christensen, Member/Manager (60%), Robert J. Castellani, Member/Manager (25%), Robert L. Davies, Member/Manager (15%), located at 3935 W. Reno Ave, Unit F&G, Las Vegas, NV 89118. Commission District: A (Sitting as the Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board) (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Environment and Sustainability

15. Approve and authorize the Department of Environment and Sustainability to submit applications to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for Clean Air Act Sections 103 and 105 grants, not to exceed \$2.2 million, to fund air quality projects and programs in CY26 and through June 30, 2027; authorize acceptance of grant funds awarded; and authorize the Director to execute any necessary documents relating to the application or award, including an EPA Assistance Amendment to extend the budget/project period. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Public Works

16. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign Supplemental No. 4 to the professional engineering services contract between Clark County and GCW, Inc. (Tim McCoy, President) for additional engineering services for the Bellagio Pedestrian Bridge project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

17. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign Supplemental No. 2 to the professional engineering services contract between Clark County and GCW, Inc. (Tim McCoy, President) for additional engineering services for the Las Vegas Boulevard Roadway Improvements between Interstate 215 and Fashion Show Drive project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

18. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign Supplemental No. 1 to the professional engineering services contract between Clark County and AtkinsRealis USA, Inc. (Matthew S. Baird, P.E., CFM, Vice President) for additional engineering services and to extend the contract term for the Flamingo Wash, Cimarron Branch between Russell Road and Patrick Lane project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

19. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign Supplemental No. 1 to the professional engineering services contract between Clark County and Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. (Ken Gilbreth, P.E., Vice President) to extend the contract term for the Spring Mountain Road between Rainbow Boulevard and Aldebaran Avenue project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

20. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 20 to the interlocal contract between Clark County and Regional Flood Control District to increase total funds for design of the Orchard Detention Basin project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

21. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 5 to the interlocal contract between Clark County and Regional Flood Control District to increase total funds and extend the contract term for design of the Flamingo Wash between Maryland Parkway and Palos Verdes Street project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

22. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 4 to the interlocal contract between Clark County and Regional Flood Control District to increase total funds and extend the contract term for design of the Flamingo, Cimarron Branch between Russell Road and Patrick Lane project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

23. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 3 to Interlocal Contract No. 3042 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to decrease funds for construction of the Jones Boulevard between Blue Diamond Road and Windmill Lane project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

24. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 1 to Interlocal Contract No. 3215 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to increase funds for construction of the Patrick Lane between Durango Drive and Rainbow Boulevard project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

25. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Interlocal Contract No. 3238 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for construction of the Carey Avenue between Pecos Road and Nellis Boulevard project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

26. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Interlocal Contract No. 3237 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for construction of the Sunset Road between Durango Drive and Decatur Boulevard - Phase 1 project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

27. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 6 to Interlocal Contract No. 1164 among Clark County, Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson, City of Boulder City, and City of Mesquite to increase total funds for the Maryland Parkway Bus Rapid Transit: Russell Road to Medical District (formerly Fremont Street) project. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

28. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the revised Amendment No. 1 to Interlocal Agreement No. 23-017 among Clark County, Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson, City of Boulder City, and City of Mesquite for the Freeway and Arterial System of Transportation (FAST) Arterial Management System. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

29. Approve and authorize the County Manager or his designee to sign Supplemental No. 2 to the professional services contract between Clark County and One Call Locators Ltd. d/b/a ELM Utility Services (Harley Hartman, President) to extend the contract term from December 31, 2025 to December 31, 2026, and increase total funds for locating and marking Public Works' subsurface facilities. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Real Property Management

30. Approve and authorize the Director of Real Property Management or her designee to sign a Lease Agreement between Clark County and Olive Crest for ±113,266 SF (± 2.6 acres) on Assessor's Parcel Number 138-02-701-003, including the on-site building addressed as 4233 N Rancho Drive Las Vegas, NV; and sign any other documents necessary for the transaction and management of the lease. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## Budget & Finance

31. In accordance with NRS 244.210 and 354.220 through 354.250, approve, authorize, and adopt the Chair to sign a resolution to authorize refunds as shown on Exhibit "A". (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (RESOLUTION R-1-6-26-1).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

32. In accordance with NRS 354.598005, authorize the September 2025 transfer of appropriations between functions within various funds as shown on Exhibit B. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

33. Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a second amendment to the Interlocal Contract between the Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP), amended to the Nevada Health Authority, and Clark County for the federally required participation share and administrative costs of the Directed Managed Care Organization Capitated Payment (Directed MCO Payment) program for inpatient and outpatient care. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## General Administration

34. Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the correction of the 2022-2023 thru 2025-2026 Secured and the 2022-2023 thru 2025-2026 Unsecured Assessment Roll AR-0106-26-1 and order the corrections to be made. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

35. Direct the County Treasurer to apportion, combine, or combine and reapportion the unpaid balances of special assessments for Special Improvement District Number 121 (Southern Highlands Area) on original Assessor's Parcel Numbers and approve the apportionment, combination, or reapportionment to current Assessor's Parcel Numbers as follows: 191-06-701-009, 191-06-713-013 to 191-06-701-011, 191-06-701-013; as applicable, and that the Board find that the combining or reapportioning of assessments will not materially or adversely impair the obligation of the County with respect to any outstanding bond secured by assessments or increase the principal balance of any assessment to an amount such that the aggregate amount which is assessed against a tract exceeds the minimum benefit to the tract that is estimated to result from the project which is financed by the assessment. (For possible action)

**ACTION: DIRECTED/APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

36. Approve and authorize the allocation of \$5,000 in Clark County Outside Agency Grant (OAG) funds to the Clark County School District (CCSD) for the Del Sol High School Mariachi Program (DHSM); authorize the County Manager or his designee to execute the required grant resolution per NRS 244.1505; or take other action deemed appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

37. Authorize the District Attorney's Office or designee to file an appeal with the Ninth Circuit of the decision by the United States District Court to grant, in part, a motion for a preliminary injunction in the case of Greater Las Vegas Short-Term Rental Association, et. al., v. Clark County, et. al., Case No. 2:25-cv-01173-MMD-BNW. (For possible action)

**ACTION: AUTHORIZED.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

## SEC. 6. ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA

No Items were heard separately.

## SEC. 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS – 10 A.M.

38. Conduct a public hearing; approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign an ordinance amending Title 24 of the Clark County Code to add Chapter 24.04 Changing the Language from Water, Sewage and Other Utilities to Water, Wastewater and other Utilities and to Establish Certain Requirements and Prohibitions for Clark County Water Reclamation District Infrastructure and Services; and provide for other matters properly related thereto or take other action as appropriate. (Also sitting as the Board of Trustees for the Clark County Water Reclamation District) (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (ORD 5327; EFFECTIVE 1/21/2026).**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, it's now 10 a.m., so we'll now move to the public hearing section of your agenda. Item 38 is to conduct a public hearing to approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign an ordinance amending Title 24 of the Clark County Code to add Chapter 24.04, changing the language from water, sewage and other utilities to water, wastewater and other utilities and to establish certain requirements and prohibitions for Clark County Water Reclamation District infrastructure and services, and provide for other matters properly related thereto or to take other action as appropriate.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Mr. Minwegen. Good morning.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Mr. Chair and Members of the Board, good morning. Tom Minwegen with the Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD). The purpose of this new ordinance amendment is to add provisions to the Clark County Code to protect the infrastructure and the services of the CCWRD, as it relates to the members of the general public who are not recognized as customers, under the district's service rules. Mr. Chair, I'll be glad to answer any questions the Board may have now, or wait until after any public comment.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. If there's no questions now, we'll open up the public hearing. Anyone wishing to speak can come forward at this time. Seeing none, are there any questions from the Commission?

**MOTION****WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

If no questions, I move for approval, Mr. Chairman.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you, Commissioner McCurdy II. There's a motion for approval on Item 38.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Motion carries.

**TOM MINWEGEN**

Thank you, Members of the Board.

**END PUBLIC HEARINGS**

## SEC. 8. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES

39. Introduce an ordinance to amend the boundaries of the area served by the Lower Kyle Canyon Citizen Advisory Council; changing the name of the Advisory Council; and providing for other matters properly relating thereto; and set a public hearing. (For possible action)

**ACTION: INTRODUCED AND SET FOR PUBLIC HEARING ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2026, AT 10 A.M. (BILL 1-6-26-1).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, now we're going to move to introduction of ordinances. Item 39 is an ordinance to amend the boundaries of the area served by the Lower Kyle Canyon Citizens Advisory Council, changing the name of the advisory council, and providing for other matters properly related thereto.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you, Mr. Manager Schiller. I'll introduce the ordinance and set the public hearing for January 20, 2026, at 10 a.m.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Your next Item is an ordinance to—

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

I'm sorry. Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question?

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Of course.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

So, when the ordinance comes back, we can make suggestions as long as it's not more than what's in the current ordinance, or what?

**LISA LOGSDON**

Yeah. I mean, these ordinances are a little bit different. You're not imposing a fine or a levy, or anything like that. But yeah, as long as it's kind of within the scope of that ordinance, and that it is not more restrictive, then you can make changes.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Okay. I just want to don't miss my opportunity, in case I want to make some changes. Just saying.

**LISA LOGSDON**

Yes.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

We'll be on alert.

40. Introduce an ordinance to amend Title 4 (Revenue and Taxation) of the Clark County Code to add a new Chapter 4.35 to impose a 9-1-1 telephone line surcharge; and providing other matters properly relating thereto; and set a public hearing. Commission District: All (For possible action)

**ACTION: INTRODUCED AND SET FOR PUBLIC HEARING ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2026, AT 10 A.M. (BILL 1-6-26-2).**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Next ordinance, an ordinance to amend Title 4 (Revenue and Taxation) of the Clark County Code to add a new Chapter 4.35, to impose a 9-1-1 telephone line surcharge; and providing for other matters properly related thereto, and set a public hearing.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

I'll introduce the ordinance and set the public hearing for January 20, 2026, at 10 a.m.

## SEC. 9. BUSINESS ITEMS

41. Identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings; receive updates on the activities of the various regional boards and commissions; and direct staff accordingly.

**ACTION: DISCUSSED. STAFF DIRECTED TO COMPILE REPORTS ON THE OUTSTANDING ORDINANCES AND PILOT PROGRAMS.**

*Attachment(s) submitted and filed with the County Clerk's Office*

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

We can now move to your business section. Item 41 is to identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or the Board at future meetings, receive updates on the activities of the various regional boards and commissions, and direct staff accordingly.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Are there any issues to be raised during emerging issues?

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Yes. I would like maybe a manager's report or something, of all outstanding ordinances or things where they are within the system. I feel like we have a lot going on, and I just want to be able to stay on top of them. So, is that something that maybe legal can get us, so that we have a document that we know where it's at in the process? Okay.

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Yes.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

And then lastly, just for my colleagues. I talked to Commissioner Naft about this. I want to host a kids' safety fair, so that—we have a lot of great agencies here in Clark County, where we can do things that make it safe for kids all the way around. So, any of you that want to participate would be great, but I just

want to—I think it's time and people are asking for something different, and let's see what we can do with this.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Commissioner Gibson?

**JIM GIBSON**

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yesterday, we cut the ribbon for the \$600 million investment in the Las Vegas Convention Center campus, as we opened up the new Central Hall. What a remarkable achievement, and we can all be proud of the effort that was undertaken there. The county and its independent departments were instrumental in helping move that thing along so that it was on time and on budget.

Of course, we all know that as you work on something that has a big budget, occasionally you come back and you augment the budget, but it was on time and on budget, and declared by the folks who are the producers of the CES (Consumer Electronics Show) Show, the greatest convention center in the world, the best convention center in the world.

I think that is noteworthy for us, because as you think about it, in 2024, conventioners spent collectively about \$10 billion in Clark County as they visited for their activities. 46,000 jobs were supported, \$2.7 billion in wages. The convention sector supported an estimated 70,000 jobs, and \$3.9 billion in wages, \$16 billion in overall economic output.

I want to thank the department, well, all of the employees in Clark County who moved permit applications, revisions to those permit applications, inspections, all of that, in a way that enabled this development to happen in a timely way. And we all knew the gun was to our head, because we had to have this project completed and the new convention center addition and revisions done, and open and ready to go yesterday, and it was.

So, congratulations to all of us. Congratulations to those who were intimately involved in the work. We thank you very much for your service and the contribution you made, because as you can see, the contribution is large. Thank you.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

And congratulations to you as Chair of LVCVA (Las Vegas Convention and Visitor's Authority). Anything else for emerging issues?

42. Appoint one qualified individual to serve on the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board of Trustees for the remainder of a term ending September 19, 2027, from the list of following applicants: Tya Mathis Coleman, Kurt Fores Geyrozaga, and Edward Profit. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (EDWARD PROFIT).**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, next item is to appoint one qualified individual to serve on the Las Vegas Clark County Library District Board of Trustees for the remainder of the term ending September 19, 2027, from the list of the following applicants: Tya Mathis Coleman, Kurt Fores Geyrozaga, and Edward Profit.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, I move to approve Edward Profit.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Motion carries.

43. Appoint 2 qualified individuals to serve on the Spring Valley Town Advisory Board for the remainder two-year term ending January 6, 2027, from the following list of applicants: Dayton Vasquez, Barbara Foley-Perry, Kriselle Gabriel, Valerie Cossio, Justine McDowell, John Prine, Thimble Davidson, Dustin Swaggerty, Melisa Roman, Elijah Parton, Amari Tate Joestar. (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED (KRISELLE GABRIEL AND JUSTINE McDOWELL).**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Your next item is to appoint two qualified individuals to serve on the Spring Valley Town Advisory Board for the remainder of a two-year term ending January 6, 2027, from the following list of applicants: Dayton Vasquez, Barbara Foley-Perry, Kriselle Gabriel, Valerie Cossio, Justine McDowell, John Prine, Thimble Davidson, Dustin Swaggerty, Melisa Roman, and Elijah Parton, and Amari Tate Joestar.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Commissioner Jones.

**MOTION**

**JUSTIN JONES**

Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to appoint Kriselle Gabriel and Justine McDowell to the Spring Valley Town Advisory Board, and I believe Ms. Gabriel may be here, also.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

There's a motion.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

The motion carries.

44. Approve and Authorize the Chair to appoint five (5) additional members (including one (1) At-Large member, two (2) Representatives and two (2) Alternates) to the Community Development Advisory Committee (CDAC), for a two-year term, from the list of the following nominees: (One (1) At-Large) Patrina McKinney; (Representing two (2) Town Advisory Boards/Citizens Advisory Councils) Representatives Vernon W. Pollock and James Ortiz; (Two (2) Alternates) Lauren Abbott and Lori Houston, and to recognize the appointment of one (1) member to CDAC by a participating city, Diana Ramirez (North Las Vegas). (For possible action)

**ACTION: APPROVED.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Next item is to approve and authorize the Chair to appoint five additional members, including one at-large member, two representatives, and two alternates, to the Community Development Advisory Committee, known as CDAC, for a two-year term from the list of the following nominees: One at-large, Patrina McKinney, representing two Town Advisory Board Citizens Advisory Councils, representatives Vernon W. Pollock and James Ortiz. Two alternatives, Lauren Abbott and Lori Houston, and to recognize the appointment of one member to CDAC via participating city, Diana Ramirez, North Las Vegas.

**MOTION****WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, I move for approval of the individuals read into the record.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. There's a motion for approval. Cast your vote.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Motion carries.

45. Consider and approve the Business Impact Statement, pursuant to NRS Chapter 237, for the proposed amendments to Title 4 (Revenue and Taxation) of the Clark County Code by adding a new Chapter 4.35 - 9-1-1 Telephone Line Surcharge to impose a surcharge on telephone lines, including mobile telephone service, for the purpose of ensuring that the 9-1-1 emergency response system provides efficient, interoperable, and technologically advanced public safety communications; and providing for other matters properly related thereto. Commission District: All (For possible action)

**ACTION: RECEIVED/APPROVED.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Next item is to consider and approve the Business Impact Statement (BIS), pursuant to NRS Chapter 237, for the proposed amendments to Title 4 of the Clark County Code by adding a new Chapter 4.35 – 9-1-1 Telephone Line Surcharge to impose a surcharge on telephone lines, including mobile telephone service, for the purpose of ensuring that the 9-1-1 emergency response system provides efficient, interoperable, and technologically advanced public safety communications, and providing for other matters properly related thereto.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Good morning, Mr. Harwell.

**MIKE HARWELL**

Good morning, Chair, Commissioners. Mike Harwell for Business License. This is a BIS for the 9-1-1 surcharge ordinance that was introduced earlier. This was posted in the Las Vegas Journal [Las Vegas Review Journal] twice, and sent to all the telecom companies and the public, and other companies that would be impacted. It was also sent to the Chamber of Commerce and the trade associations.

We received 19 responses. Thirteen were in opposition. Five were sent in support, and two in neutral. The main concern about the opposition was the cost. State law allows up to \$1 per line to be charged per each month by the county on all customers of telecom companies, including wireless. This includes all the incorporated cities as well. So, it's a county-wide tax imposition.

Their main concern was like on larger families, let's say a family of five, they would be paying \$5 a month additional for this 9-1-1 surcharge. The surcharge is meant to enhance, pay for enhancement and improvement of the 9-1-1 emergency system. This was passed in the state law many, many years ago. Washoe County started it quite some time ago.

It wasn't until 2017 when they allowed the largest county, Clark County, to be able to impose the tax. We did not do it right away. The original cap was \$0.25. Then it was increased in 2018 by the state to \$1. Washoe went to \$0.85 at that time, and then a few years ago they went to the full \$1.

So, that's the maximum. You don't have to charge a \$1, that's the maximum that can be charged. There's four that were in support, because they felt that it's a small charge to pay for enhanced safety features in the 9-1-1 system. And I'm here and available, if you have any questions.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Are there any questions related to the BIS?

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

May I ask a question? So, it's standard protocol to accept the BIS, but then the next time, right? Regardless, it has to come back one more time. So, in that presentation, can I ask you for some specific things that I think should really have to be talked about? Is that the appropriate time? Is now the appropriate time, Lisa, or not?

**LISA LOGSDON**

You can do it either now, if you've got questions, we can answer them now, or we can add that to a presentation when the ordinance is set for public hearing at the next meeting.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Well, just for me personally, right? So, I'd look at the comments and it's very clear that some people have not been here very long to know how the system has worked in our state. So, telling me to call the state. "That's not helpful. This is my responsibility." Right? Or telling me, but I also get the \$1, right? So, I have no secret. I think that that's a lot.

But I do think in the presentation, based on this BIS, it should really talk about the truth. The truth is nobody has a landline. I'm probably the only crazy person in North Las Vegas that has a landline, because I believe in paying my 9-1-1 system fee, right? But it's not enough. So, as the time and the valley has grown, that revenue really doesn't count for much. So, at the end of the day, if people understood that that less than 1% of Clark County residents have a landline? So that revenue is not consistent.

Two, I go back to, and I know it because Old Red's opened, and the 9-1-1 system went down because of something that happened in another state thousands of miles away from us, because we were connected. And I think if people knew why that system doesn't necessarily work for us, because if they have a tornado in Missouri, or Mississippi, or I can't even remember where it was, but it was far away, it impacts us, right?

I just think that we could tell people all the reasons why this is before us, and why we've got to talk about what this looks like, right? Because at the end of the day, I promise you, nobody wants to call 9-1-1 and not have an answer. Which is the same reason that we tried to start doing fireworks enforcement, right? Twenty-five thousand calls shut down the entire system, and we need to answer those calls.

Our first responders want to answer those calls. But I think we need to put that in the presentation. I think we need to talk about what it looks like going forward, because based on the 19 comments that we got, it's apparent that people don't know that.

So, I know you can answer the questions. I know the answers to the questions. But the everyday person does not answer the questions—doesn't know the answers. So, not picking on you. I get it. But it's a process, but I do think we should have a real good conversation going forward.

**MIKE HARWELL**

And this ordinance does include the salt and mobile phone lines, too. So that adds to the landlines, as far as the revenue generation.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

No, I get it. I just want to make sure that we can talk about it to where the average— To a point where there's a blurb that I can put in my newsletter about it, right? So that people know this is why we have to do it. Here's the consequences of if we don't do it.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Commissioner Segerblom?

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

And also, I'd just add, it's up to a \$1. So, we're going to monitor very closely the revenue and potential revenue, and try to tailor the fee to what we need.

**MIKE HARWELL**

That's correct. There's also a cap in state law, that if that fund— It goes into a special fund for payment of enhancement of the 9-1-1 system. If it goes above \$1,500 by the end of a fiscal year, then it's incumbent

upon the county to reduce that \$1 fee, or whatever that fee is, to get it below \$15 million. So, that's the cap that you can't carry over into the next fiscal year.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Are there any other questions for Mr. Harwell?

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Can I ask one more question? So, within the ordinance, it also says there's going to be an oversight committee?

**MIKE HARWELL**

Yes, there's a 9-1-1 Surcharge Advisory Committee. It's made up of members of all the cities and county, or members of that representation, and they make recommendations to the county as to how to spend the funds that are collected.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

I know. So, I have two requests of that. One, I don't want to be the same vote as the cities, because I'm pushing the green button for the hard votes. We've got to have a bigger person on there. And number two, I want someone from finance, so that we can ask the hard questions, because that board ultimately is going to advise to us on what future projects probably need to move forward, correct?

**MIKE HARWELL**

Correct. In state law, there's certain persons that have to be on the committee. It's, for example, a representative from each of the police departments of each of the jurisdictions. I think it mentions that another member has to be a member appointed by any jurisdiction that employs marshals, but I think other—

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Well, I just want to make sure that I have a fair chance if I don't like something that 90% of the Board is wanting to do.

**MIKE HARWELL**

The way I read the state law is, the county has the ultimate decision as far as how this money's spent.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

Perfect. Well, it'd just be great to have someone from finance on there, so that they know the books, so we want to make sure that we're not approving stuff that may have to come out of general fund for other things, like staff, which people forget about.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you.

**MOTION**

**WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

Mr. Chair, we've received the BIS, and I move for approval.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Motion for approval.

**VOTE**

**VOTING AYE:** Michael Naft, William McCurdy II, April Becker, Jim Gibson, Justin Jones, Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**MICHAEL NAFT**

That motion carries.

**MIKE HARWELL**

Thank you.

46. Discuss the outcomes of Clark County's grant-funded Crisis Response Team (CRT) pilot program, identify unresolved policy and operational issues revealed through the pilot, and provide direction to staff regarding next steps for potential continuation or expansion of a County CRT model and direct staff accordingly. (For possible action)

**ACTION: DISCUSSED. COMMISSIONER JONES REQUESTED THE ITEM BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA IN 30 DAYS TO RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON FUNDING FOR THE PROGRAM.**

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Commissioners, your next item is to discuss the outcomes of the Clark County grant-funded Crisis Response Team (CRT) pilot program, identify unresolved policy and operation issues revealed through the pilot, and provide direction to staff regarding next steps for potential continuation or expansion of a County CRT model, and direct staff accordingly.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Ms. Frierson, good morning.

**ABIGAIL FRIERSON**

Thank you, Chair. For the record, my name is Abbie Frierson. I'm Deputy County Manager here at Clark County. I also have Chief Samuels and Deputy Chief Touchstone here from Fire, if you have any questions, although I'll be handling the presentation today.

So, the goal of today is to give you a real high level report-out on what this pilot looked like. In order to do that, I'm just going to briefly define what a CRT is, discuss what our model is, and then talk about some of the program outcomes, and what it would cost if this Board decided to give us direction to move forward.

Briefly, as a general concept, 9-1-1 systems are designed for responses to acute medical emergencies, fire, and specialty rescue efforts. Communities have developed alternative response models to handle mental health emergencies with the same urgency and professionalism of medical emergencies, while tailoring those responses to the needs of the situation.

Alternative response models will often pair a behavioral health clinician with either police, fire, or EMS (Emergency Medical Services), with the primary goal being to provide more appropriate response to individuals experiencing a mental health crisis.

In order to give a little bit of history of how we got here, this Board on October 17, 2023, gave us direction to explore alternative response models and funding.

**JUSTIN JONES**

Ms. Frierson, sorry, your presentation for the public isn't—

**ABIGAIL FRIERSON**

I'm so sorry. I forgot to do that part. I apologize. There we go. Thank you, Commissioner. And then the Clark County Fire Department (CCFD) stepped up and wanted to pilot this model in the fire department, recognizing the impacts related to individuals experiencing behavioral health crisis on the individual staff and the emergency response system. CCFD secured the funding and created the CR18 alternative response pilot program that we're going to discuss today.

Before I jump into describing the CR18 [CRT18] pilot, I want to talk about what the current response model looks like without the CR18 [CRT18]. CCFD will receive a 9-1-1 call involving a behavioral health crisis. This call is coded as a CARD-25 (Crisis Assistance Response Dispatch) call. CCFD will respond to the CARD-25 call using the same protocols and acuity scales for physical illness or injury incidents.

Dispatch protocol classifies these incidents on a medical director's acuity scale of one to six, and those dispatch protocols must follow the Southern Nevada Health District's (SNHD) emergency medical responses. And this creates a limited ability to assess behavioral health conditions, which are the purpose of those calls.

The CRT18 program was designed as a three-person unit with one paramedic, one EMT (Emergency medical Technician), and one Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW). EMS will go and identify medical conditions which can mimic mental illness, and when no injury or illness is identified, then the LCSW can conduct a behavioral health assessment to diagnose psychiatric and substance use disorders. CRT-18 provided immediate support, appropriate interventions, and short-term stabilization and case management services.

Just as a bit of background, the way that we structured it was that CCFD contracted with Community Ambulance for the EMS staff and Reliable Healthcare Services for the social work services. Those social work services included a clinical program manager, a LCSW, and a case manager.

CCFD was awarded a SAMHSA (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration) grant in the amount of \$535,000, which we used to fund this pilot. That was sufficient to staff one CRT unit for 12 hours a day, seven days a week, for six months. This pilot ran from April 13, 2025, to September 29, 2025.

Before I jump into program outcomes, I wanted to talk a little bit about how many CARD-25 calls we get. Nationally, up to 31% of 9-1-1 incidents to which police departments respond can involve individuals with mental illness. In 2023, LVMPD received approximately 39,595 behavioral health calls.

CARD 25 calls are a subset of these calls. In 2023, we had 12,532 CARD-25 calls. In 2024, we had 9,995 CARD 25 calls. And in the first 11 months of 2025, we had 9,396 calls. These CARD 25 calls are about 6% of total EMS calls in the valley.

Next, I'm going to talk to program results. I think there were three main program results from the CR18 pilot. CR18 increased the availability of EMS crews for more urgent medical incidents, by having another team that can respond to the behavioral health calls. Our CR18 unit responded to 758 incidents with 673 patient contacts.

Our pilot also showed that CR18 de-escalates incidents. Our clinical program manager states that the CR18 deescalated 94% of the clients, and prevented 572 L2Ks, which are legal 2000s, which are involuntary mental health holds. I think it's really hard to validate what could have happened if you didn't intervene, but I think what the data did show, is that LVMPD was on scene of 64% of these CARD-25 calls prior to CR18's [CRT18] arrival, and had close to 40% of those individuals in restraints.

Upon incident closure, 65% of those individuals in restraints were no longer in restraints, and only 8% of the clients that were contacted reached their destination in restraints. So, I think that is solid evidence that CR18 [CRT18] did de-escalate those incidents.

I think one of the most exciting outcomes of the pilot was that CR18 did reduce the strain on the Las Vegas Valley ER, the emergency room system. Of the 673 patients contacted, 472, which meant 70% of them, were diverted from an ER, and only 201, or 30% of them, were transported to an ER.

When you don't have the CRT, in 2024, we had 82% of our CARD-25 calls go to the ER. And in the first nine months of 2025, 72% of our CARD-25 calls went to the ER when there was no CRT present. The pilot also showed that 30% of those individuals, those cases were resolved on scene with no transport at all. 34% were referred for substance use treatment programs. 27% were transported directly to a behavioral health hospital instead of going through the ER, and 14% were transported to other community service providers.

If this Board were to direct us to move forward, an estimated budget for a permanent CRT would be for one CRT unit, seven days a week, that ran 12 hours a day for 365 days a year, would be \$1.5 million annually. There are potential cost offsets that we would need to work with fiscal to explore a little more fully.

That could include Medicaid transport cost reimbursements, transport revenue, state grant funds, if we wanted to structure our program meeting their requirements, which are different than how we model our program. And then potentially there's Medicaid reimbursement for the LCSWs if we become a Medicaid provider.

Before I move to questions, I did want to thank Ed Zagalo and Steve Smith, who helped us design and set up and monitor and analyze the program. I did want to thank the Chief Samuels and Deputy Chief Touchstone for volunteering to do this program, this pilot program in their department, for securing the funding and doing all the legwork that came with monitoring the program.

But finally, I'd like to thank the Board for their direction, county manager, and the senior team for their support. And with that, I don't know if there are any questions.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Commissioner Jones, you'd placed this item on the agenda.

**JUSTIN JONES**

Thank you, Mr. Chair. And thank you, Ms. Frierson, for the presentation, and for all the work that you put into this and really standing up a crisis stabilization model, which is what we as the Board have talked about for the last three years. And again, reiterating the thanks to our CCFD, Community Ambulance, Steve Smith, Ed Zagalo, and everyone who really put a tremendous amount of effort into this pilot project.

Back in November, I went to the NAMI (National Alliance on Mental Illness) Conference, and there was a panel that was specifically based on mobile crisis CRT teams. And on that panel were three representatives from the City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, and City of Henderson. Clark County was noticeably absent, because aside from this pilot project, we are the only jurisdiction that didn't have an operational CRT in operation.

In spite of the fact that we are the social services provider, we run the county hospital, we stood up the Crisis Stabilization Center, this is really one of the three legs of the three-legged stool for crisis. 988 (Suicide & Crisis Hotline), which the state is taking the lead on, Crisis Stabilization Center, which we work with UMC (University Medical Center) on. Mobile crisis is really that middle piece of it, in order to, again, divert situations in which people are facing a substance use disorder, or mental health crisis.

Looking at the Crisis Stabilization Center, almost a third of all of the patients that are going to the Crisis Stabilization Center come from the city's CRT program. The city stood up their program a few years ago. And Abbie, if you'll go back to the CR18 program results slide? So, our statistics— Next one, sorry. That one. Yeah.

Our statistics for our pilot program are very consistent with the data that comes out of the City of Las Vegas. This is data we just got this morning. In 2025, the City of Las Vegas's CRT program took more than 4,800 CRT calls, and 72% of those were diverted. That means more than 3,450 cases were diverted away from our emergency departments across the valley.

They did that with three units on the city side. Not only does it divert from our emergency departments, but it also diverts from the jail, which we also operate, and also, we fund two-thirds of Metro's budget. This allows our public safety officers to get back on the street as quick as possible, because they can simply hand it over to the CRT, go back to patrol, and doing the public safety work that we want them to be doing.

So, I think this is absolutely necessary. I don't think that we should be waiting around until the next budget cycle to make it operational. I understand that that's going to require us to find money on the front end. We may recoup that money on the back end, but certainly on the front end, we need to allocate the dollars to get this up and running, at least one unit as soon as possible. I think that we should be looking particularly at AB309 (Assembly Bill) dollars for funding a first phase of this, and then maybe we look at additional units as we go into the FY27 (Fiscal Year) budget cycle.

And then just generally speaking with regards to pilot programs, sort of to Commissioner Kirkpatrick's request for a tracker of ordinances that we've asked for from a board, I think we probably need a tracker of any other pilot projects across the county for tracking pilot projects, because if we know that a pilot project is good, we don't need to wait around until many months after the pilot project to decide where to go from there.

And so, I think that we should get started on this one but also take a look at any other pilot projects across the county that we have running now, and assess whether they are projects that we should bring back forward to the Board sooner than waiting around several months. So, that would be my recommendation.

#### **MARYLN K. KIRKPATRICK**

May I ask a question or two?

**JUSTIN JONES**

Yeah.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

And just for you, Jessica, sorry to put you on the hot seat. One, I would be very nervous about relying on the Medicaid reimbursement dollars, considering what's going on as a whole, and much rather, if we're going to fund it, just fund it, and then put that money maybe into a pot for future pilot programs or something, so that it's a one-shot experience.

I wrote the original legislation for this, and so, the numbers have been like this for many, many years, but there's so much uncertainty. Would it make sense for us to just establish that reimbursement pot? Can we do that into something that we could use for other future social service pilot programs? I know we're in the process of starting the budget. It's the first of the year. So, I'm just thinking outside the box for the longer term, and maybe it's a better fiscal way.

So, I'm sorry to put you on the hot seat like that. If you don't have the answer, that's fine, but I've just maybe got to explore that. I just worry about relying on reimbursements at this time, in our world.

**JESSICA COLVIN**

No, it's a good point. We actually already participate in this program. It's a Medicaid reimbursement called GEMT (Ground Emergency Medical Transportation). To your point, this program is pretty fairly lucrative. It's how we have funded a lot of our PAYGO (Pay-As-You-Go) money for the Fire Training Center. We made that decision early on that we would not use that money for that reason. You never know what's going to happen with the funding.

And so, we determined to put that into a capital fund and put it into the training center. And so, I would recommend the same. So, when they say the presentation cited Medicaid reimbursement, it's the same program. And so, I would also be concerned that if there's any change by CMS (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services) or the federal administration on the Medicaid reimbursement.

So, we could continue to put it into capital, or if you wanted to just carve out this piece of the program, and put it towards future pilot programs, we could do that as well.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Commissioner Gibson?

**JIM GIBSON**

Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, the CRT team that was up and was a part of this pilot program, what happened? Is it now disbanded? Does it continue to work?

**ABIGAIL FRIERSON**

So, as I said earlier, we used Reliable for the social work, which is one of the companies that one of the city's CRTs already contract with. So, they're still in place. And then the EMS services were through communities, still in place.

**JIM GIBSON**

Good. So, one of the things, we own a hospital. And if we didn't have the CRT program, we know that a whole lot of this mental health disturbance, and the people and families would have gone to our ER, which means that there's a savings that we are already experiencing at our hospital. The manager and I

have worked on a program where we have worked to divert folks who use the ER at UMC as their primary care doctor, and a savings occurs when you do that.

Now, because of the way we're structured, I'm not really sure that we know how that gets accounted for. But I do think that we need to take a hard look at what the effect at the UMC, and Commissioner McCurdy II, maybe this is something that you could look at. What happened to the UMC revenues/expenses associated with the people who ultimately would have gone to the ER, and were going to the ER forever, until just now.

I don't even know how to begin that kind of an audit, but it's something we need to get our arms around, because there already is a savings in the system, if you look holistically at our county finances. So, I suggest we take a hard look at that.

#### **KEVIN SCHILLER**

And I'll just say, I think to your point and Commissioner Kirkpatrick's point, the issue of reimbursements, federal funding, those are all things that are challenges. But as I've talked about with this Board, I think all the time the issue of our system of care, it's another piece of that system of care.

I think what you're really referencing is, the connecting of the dots in the system of care. The good news is, we've really developed a system of care. Now I think the devil's in the detail. And then there's challenges specific to how you fund and reimburse in those pieces. To Commissioner Kirkpatrick's point, I would kind of call the federal money coming in is not something we really would depend on, as much as we would utilize for foundational money if we need it.

It's so much like dessert, because we don't want to bank on anything we lose. I think UMC is also challenged. They're going to be significantly challenged in this coming year, related to that. So, I think the timing of this is good, related to the discussion point of how do we divert from the most expensive level of care, which would be your ER, into our other.

And then I always talk about the stair-step at those various levels. And I think that the timing of this is good. And probably Commissioner McCurdy II, as we speak with Mason, I think what we could come back with is kind of some discussion of a framework of what that looks like.

You really kind of need two things. You need the fund map, and you need the program map. I think this program has kind of highlighted even some communication detail around how a call comes in. We don't want to duplicate services. We want to make sure we're making the dollar go as far as we can and making sure that we have kind of a visual for you, so you can see all of that as you're approving funding and those different pieces.

#### **WILLIAM MCCURDY II**

And I think in addition to that, Mr. Chairman, yes, there's going to be a cost savings as we continue to divert. But also, I think as we get further down the road, we should have some special attention towards handholding that individual after we've diverted them, gotten them into care, triaged them for, let's say, six months to see if we can get them the information that they need, connect them with the providers that they need to be connected with, so that we can get them to a path of self-sustainability as well. So, that's something we could speak to Mason about.

#### **JIM GIBSON**

Just one more thing. I get that when a patient, one of these patients would have gone to the ER, there would be generated revenue as a result of that, from some source. So, I get that it isn't just only a savings.

We're losing revenue, too. So that's why I think it's especially important that we really do a deep dive to figure out what the real cost is. Thank you.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**  
(Inaudible)

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Go ahead.

**MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK**

So, another question. So, if it's \$1.5 million for our entire fiscal year, so we're literally halfway through, right? And I would bet that we would want to market it, and we'd want to say how the process works, because I think that that's where there's some confusion for everyday families, right? When do I need those services and who do I call?

So, I must have got maybe a dozen calls in the last month like autism services. Where are those, right? I find myself on the internet trying to look at them. So, I do think that we could find, Jessica, the \$600,000 to keep it going. I do think that maybe we've got to, before we push it out, each Commissioner should have a document on what does this look like, and how do you know?

Because I find in my district in particular, that we find a lot of young teens that have mental health setbacks, right? Or we have grandma who's been bipolar her whole life, who forgot to take her medication, and it's done a whole bunch of different things. Those folks also could benefit from all of this. But I don't know how to explain it and I don't know.

It's not a bad word anymore. Before, right? Used to be a bad word. This Board has done a good job with Commissioner Jones leading the helm on talking about mental health and dealing with it. But now give me something else to go with it, so that what we can kind of tell people, right? This is a good time when you can call. And then that way we're promoting our own service on the front end.

So, I just would want the whole enchilada, right, for the piloting. And now that we know what the pilot, now that we know that there's a need, so word will get out, and it'll be busier than we want. But at the same time, we need to tell people, where's the right place to go? I feel like, Kevin, you always have that little map of the transitional stair steps.

We kind of need the same thing, because we have done a good job investing in the crisis to get people to the right place, but I can't explain it on one page to anybody. I think that we probably could put it all there, so that people could see how it could work. If this doesn't work, you can go here, or call this number, right?

**KEVIN SCHILLER**

Yeah. It's differentiating the issue of you have first responders, right? So that's one component of that conversation. I get those calls, too. And so, I think you're right on. I think we need the parallel to kind of the stair-step with a little more detail about what's that number you call, who do you go to?

We're seeing kind of challenges in the Crisis Stabilization Center also, in terms of how we're working on kind of a promotional campaign for that, which works for multiple populations, the community as a whole, obviously, but also even first responders. Because we've developed this system, and now we've got to explain it, and make it easier. We need a one button, is kind of what you're getting to. So, I hear you and we'll come back with something like that.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Commissioner Segerblom, then Jones.

**TICK SEGERBLOM**

So, I'm not exactly clear if it's \$1.5 million we could authorize, or at some point authorize to get this back up and running. I'm not sure, Commissioner Jones, what you wanted to do, but I think this program is vital. I think it's fantastic. I think my district is probably the epicenter of what we need. And so, whatever it takes.

Commissioner Jones, I would support you 1,000% as far as let's go forward. We don't want to sit around and wait. We could probably go back and analyze what's happened in more detail, but in the meantime, I think it obviously works. So, we don't want to lose it.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Commissioner Jones.

**JUSTIN JONES**

Thank you, Chair. I think the wrap up is whether we can simply continue to fund the existing program that's set up, or whether we have to go through the whole purchasing process. I think that would be the next step. If we can just continue an existing contract, allocate more dollars, then I would say let's bring that back to this Board within 30 days. If not, then we're going to have to go through a process.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

That direction's clear. Okay, thank you. And then we'll also expect the two MIRs, one on outstanding ordinances, and one on outstanding pilot programs.

47. Go into closed session, pursuant to NRS 241.015(4)(c) as amended by AB52, to receive information from the District Attorney regarding potential or existing litigation involving a matter over which the Board has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power, and to deliberate toward a decision on the matter, and pursuant to NRS Chapter 288.220, to receive a report on the status of ongoing labor negotiations; and direct staff accordingly. (For possible action)

**ACTION: NO CLOSED SESSION HELD. NO ACTION TAKEN BY THE BOARD.**

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

**MICHAEL NAFT**

All right, that concludes Item 46. This is the final time set aside for public comment. Anyone wishing to speak can come forward at this time. Please state your name for the record.

**AL ROJAS**

Hello, my name is Al Rojas. I'm a resident of Sunrise Manor. I wanted to address an issue that I think is going to be a concern to all of the Commissioners out there, and you ought to pay close attention. It's something that's happening in our area.

It's the off-ramp of the freeway of I-95 on Charleston, close to Lamb. We're getting a lot of complaints that people are going out there and panhandling as the people are coming off the freeway. It's a concern that's going to eventually hit all of the Commissioners. I'm sure you're going to have—

I've seen homeless problems even when I go to Summerlin. I've seen it, so I know it's all over the county. What's happening there is people are coming off, the homeless are out there underneath the freeway. That's a whole new freeway off-ramp that we've done. We spent a lot of money, and it's already getting thrashed.

The problem with that area is that not only are you getting all the homeless off this off ramp, there's also going to be a marijuana dispensary that was approved in the area. But the biggest problem and the first step that has to be taken, is there's a Pep Boys that was abandoned, or that it was closed down, and there's no fence around it.

Now, I know that the Commissioners have been—And I'm very grateful. I know that's a resource that we have, that the Commissioners can somehow request some of these vacant owners to put a fence around their facilities, and that's step number one.

With our neighborhood watch program, I could go get people and put eyes and ears on it, but we will not make an impact. To address that problem on that intersection off ramp, step number one is to get a fence around that Pep Boys. I'm asking that all the Commissioners work together, help our Commissioner in that area, which is Tick Segerblom, who's been very, very helpful with him and his staff.

Let's get a fence around that. Let's put an eye on that, because it's going to affect every one of your districts with these off ramps, where these homeless are getting in there, and they're just harassing the people that are coming off the freeway, panhandling, and that's going to be a very, very hot spot.

If we can get that under control, that is going to be huge. I consider that to be the biggest problem in Sunrise Manor. If we can get that underneath, under control, it's going to make a big impact throughout the whole county. Thank you very much.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. Next speaker.

**ALLISON FARR**

Hi there. My name is Allison Farr, and I am in Commissioner Kirkpatrick's district up in North Las Vegas. I've lived in Commissioner Naft's district down in the Strip, and I'm about to move into Commissioner Becker's district. So, I'm really happy to talk to you today, not just as an individual, but also as a representative of Solar United Neighbors, or SUN, which is a new nonprofit that we have here in Nevada, specifically in the Southern Nevada area, because I'm the community organizer down here.

So, I wanted to introduce myself and introduce the organization. We are an organization that helps folks join together to go solar, helps individuals, organizations, institutions to go solar, fight for their energy rights. We offer a unique blend of technical assistance, as well as community education, grassroots organizing, to help people make really informed decisions and advocate for clean energy.

There are two in particular things that I wanted to flag for you all. So, the first is bulk buys. In this coming year, we're hoping to do a bulk buy program to help more folks be able to go solar, to afford rooftop solar. One of the kind of dirty little secrets around rooftop solar, is about only 30% of homeowners are able to afford going solar.

So, we're trying to do a bulk buy to expand that circle, because instead of one person going to an installer, we would be a group of people going to an installer to help bring down those prices, maybe

even by 20%. It varies from location to location. But we have helped about 9,000 homes in the United States to go solar and have partnered with different municipalities and governments in order to do that.

And we here in Nevada, have this solar gold mine of our incredible radiance here. It's not just the 30% that are able to afford that, that should be able to benefit, but more people should be able to benefit, too.

So, the second thing I wanted to flag for you as well, is we're not just pro rooftop solar, we're pro large scale solar as well. If it's responsibly sited, and brings community benefits to the local communities, and doesn't negatively impact the local flora and fauna, one of those benefits is something that's starting this year in 2026.

I'm not sure if you all are tracking the federal revenues provision for large scale solar on BLM lands, but starting January 1, five days ago, we had a new provision come into effect. That means that the host counties for large scale solar projects will be benefiting at 25% of the BLM rents.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you, Ms. Farr.

**ALLISON FARR**

Okay. So, these are big numbers. If anybody's interested in talking to me more about it, I can leave my business card.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

If you want to stick around, thank you very much.

**ALLISON FARR**

Sure.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Next speaker.

**ED UEHLING**

Yes. My name is Ed Uehling, and I'm glad to see that we're looking at holistic approaches. I'd like us to take a holistic look at the tourist industry here in Las Vegas.

My family moved here in 1943. I was three years old. It was during the war, and we lived in Boulder City, which was right next to the dam, which had just been finished. The dam was the most significant event that occurred in the United States, in the world, really, up to that time. It was because of the dam in Boulder City, we had to lower our shades at night.

We could only drive the car with the parking lights on, because it was feared that the Japanese would be able to spot the dam if they were able to locate Boulder City. And of course, that turned out to be ridiculous, because no airplanes could fly that distance at that time, but that's the way things were happening at that time.

Then Bugsy Siegel in 1947, opened up the Flamingo Hotel, and had entertainment. People not only started coming because of the dam, but also because of what Las Vegas was becoming, a place of entertainment, a place that people could enjoy and take advantage of.

So, by, I would say, about 1952, large numbers of tourists started to come, but there weren't enough hotel rooms in Las Vegas to take care of those people. So, the county or someone, the Chamber of Commerce, would call my family, and ask if people could stay in our house. This happened to many people, my friends, other people, because the people couldn't stay in their cars in that heat.

And so, it was really the people that did that that helped develop the hotel industry. The hotels started to be constructed during that time, and that significant aspect of the hotel industry it's the type of people that come. Please take a holistic approach to it, and look at the value of that, and take account of that. Thank you.

**MICHAEL NAFT**

Thank you. If there's—seeing nobody else, we will adjourn this meeting until tomorrow at 9 a.m. Thank you.

**END PUBLIC COMMENTS**

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, at the hour of 11:08 a.m., Chair Michael Naft recessed the meeting to the hour of 9 a.m. on Wednesday, January 7, 2026, for the Zoning agenda.

**PLEASE NOTE:** THE COUNTY CLERK KEEPS THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF ALL PROCEEDINGS OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION, THE CCWRD BOARD OF TRUSTEES, THE UMC HOSPITAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES, THE CLARK COUNTY LIQUOR AND GAMING LICENSING BOARD, AND THE CLARK COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY. TO OBTAIN A COMPLETE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF ALL PROCEEDINGS, ANY PHOTOGRAPH, MAP, CHART, OR ANY OTHER DOCUMENT USED IN ANY PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD/TRUSTEES, REQUESTS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE COUNTY CLERK.

TO REFERENCE AGENDA ITEM ATTACHMENTS ONLINE: REFER TO THE MEETING LINK BELOW, SELECT THE ITEM (FILE # COLUMN), AND CLICK THE LINK FOR THE DESIRED ATTACHMENT.

[ONLINE MEETING LINK](#)

APPROVED: /s/ Michael Naft  
MICHAEL NAFT, CHAIR

ATTEST: /s/ Lynn Marie Goya  
LYNN MARIE GOYA, CLARK COUNTY CLERK