

Clark County, Nevada
Business Impact Statement

The following Business Impact Statement was prepared pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 237.080 and 237.090 to evaluate whether the proposed amendments to Clark County Code Title 13, Chapter 13.04, which correct clerical errors in Table 108.2.6(2) and Table 108.2.6(5) relating to gas quantity ranges and the standpipe permit fee, will impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a business or directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of a business within Clark County.

The proposed amendments are clerical in nature and do not establish new fees or increase existing fee amounts.

The following sections are amended:

Section 13.04.070: Revised Table 108.2.6(2) and Table 108.2.6(5) due to clerical errors.

1. The following constitutes a description of manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

a. Notice:

On Thursday January 22, 2026, the Clark County Fire Department sent public notice to all industry stakeholders (Attachment 1). In addition, a copy of the notification and proposed ordinance changes were published in the Las Vegas Review Journal on January 22, 2026, January 26, 2026, January 27, 2026, January 28, 2026, January 29, 2026, February 2, 2026, February 3, 2026, February 4, 2026, February 5, 2026, February 9, 2026, February 10, 2026, February 11, 2026, February 12, 2026, February 17, 2026, and February 18, 2026, (Attachment 2).

A copy of the notification and proposed ordinance changes were also posted on the Clark County website in the Fire Prevention section, provided for public viewing at the Clark County Fire Department Fire Prevention Customer Lobby at 4701 W. Russell Rd., Las Vegas, NV 89118 and also made available at the Clark County Fire Department Fire Administration at 575 E. Flamingo Rd., Las Vegas, NV 89119. The required minimum fifteen (15) working day public comment period was observed, during which three (3) responses were received.

b. Summary of Comments:

CCFD received three comments during the review period. Concerns listed include an increase in the permit fees charged for work performed in Clark County. Additionally,

comments indicate a lack of satisfaction with review times, inspection scheduling, and customer service. Service levels were listed as a concern in the comments received, as well as a lack of accountability. Responses cited a lack of clear communication as a concern.

Comments received indicated conflicts with the permit requirements related to temporary special event structures, excessive plan review times, and inconsistent guidance from review staff.

None of the comments received indicate they oppose the proposed changes contained within this ordinance. The proposed amendments do not modify the underlying fee structure adopted with the 2024 fire code and are limited to correcting clerical errors in the gas quantity ranges and standpipe permit fee to reflect the Board's original intent.

- c. Public Availability of Comments: A copy of the proposed amendment and the Business Impact Statement may be obtained by contacting the Clark County Clerk's Office located at Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601, or by emailing ClerkRec@ClarkCountyNV.gov.

2. The total number of businesses likely to be affected by the proposed rule:

The total number of businesses likely to be affected by the proposed rule includes only those businesses that apply for permits with fee elements found in Table 108.2.6(2) and Table 108.2.6(5). This is specific to hazardous materials permits that are measured in cubic feet and fire standpipe installations.

3. The following chambers of commerce and trade associations were notified of the proposed rule:

African Chamber of Commerce & Tourism, Boulder City Chamber of Commerce, Henderson Chamber of Commerce, Korean American Chamber of Commerce, Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce, Las Vegas Latin Chamber of Commerce, Las Vegas Urban Chamber of Commerce, Mesquite Chamber of Commerce, Pahrump Valley Chamber of Commerce, Ward 5 Chamber of Commerce, Women's Chamber of Commerce, Southern Nevada Home Builders Association, Nevada Resort Association, Nevada Contractors Association, NAIOP

4. The following is a summary of the workshop(s) conducted pursuant to NRS 237.080 (5):

The department did not conduct workshops related to this ordinance.

5. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

a. Adverse Effects:

There are no adverse economic effects of the proposed rule. The changes proposed are clerical in nature and clarify that no modifications are being made to the adopted fee amounts or fee structure.

b. Beneficial Effects:

The beneficial effects of the proposed rule include ensuring consistent permit fees are applied correctly. Making these clerical updates will prevent negative monetary consequences from applying fees that were not intended or investigated.

c. Direct Effects:

The direct effects are that the corrected fee tables will be used to accurately calculate fees for permits as shown in the adopted fire code.

d. Indirect Effects:

There are no known indirect effects attributable to the proposed rule; however, comments were received that describe indirect effects impacting businesses related to the prior ordinance adoption and related department policies, which are not attributable to the current proposed amendment.

e. Other Economic Effects to be Considered:

The clerical errors being corrected by this ordinance would have a negative effect on stakeholders if left in place.

6. The following constitutes a description of the methods that the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

The proposed rule was narrowly focused on clerical errors and did not include any other substantive changes.

7. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

There is no additional cost anticipated by the proposed rule.

8. The proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee and the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

The proposed rule does not create a new fee or increase an existing fee.

9. The money generated by the fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

There is no new or increased fee.

10. The proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards regulating the same activity. The following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:


The proposed rule does not duplicate any known federal, state, or local standard.

11. Set forth the reasons for the conclusions regarding the impact of the proposed rule on businesses.

CCFD received no challenges to the proposed ordinance based on the limited clerical nature of the changes. Comments received primarily related to the original fire code adoption and broader policy and administrative issues and did not oppose or identify impacts attributable to the limited clerical corrections in the proposed ordinance. The limited scope of the proposed ordinance does not create or modify any requirements.

Certification of Business Impact Statement

Pursuant to NRS 237.090 (2), I, Kevin Schiller, as County Manager for Clark County, Nevada, hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and accurately.


Kevin Schiller

County Manager, Clark County Nevada

Dated: 3-11-2026