

# Board of County Commissioners

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JAMES B. GIBSON  
Chair  
JUSTIN JONES  
Vice Chair  
MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK  
WILLIAM MCCURDY II  
ROSS MILLER  
MICHAEL NAFT  
TICK SEGERBLOM

---

COMMISSION CHAMBERS, GOVERNMENT CENTER  
500 SOUTH GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106  
TUESDAY, MARCH 15, 2022

The Board of County Commissioners of Clark County, Nevada met in joint regular session with the Clark County Water Reclamation District Board of Trustees in full conformity with law and bylaws of said Board, at the regular place of meeting in the Commission Chambers, Government Center, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada on Tuesday, the 15<sup>th</sup> day of March 2022 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. The meeting was called to order at 9:03 a.m. by Chair Gibson and, on roll call, the following members were present, constituting a quorum of all members.

## CALL TO ORDER

### CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS:

Jim Gibson  
Justin Jones  
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick  
William McCurdy II  
Ross Miller  
Michael Naft  
Tick Segerblom

### Absent:

None

### Also Present:

Yolanda King, County Manager  
Lisa Logsdon, Deputy District Attorney  
Sherry Ly, Deputy District Attorney  
Lynn Marie Goya, County Clerk  
Jewel Gooden, Assistant Clerk, BCC  
Michelle Hinkson, Deputy Clerk

Commissioner Ross Miller participated via telephone

JIM GIBSON

Good morning, we wanna welcome you to our County Commission Meeting this morning. The, uh, all Members of the Board are present, but, uh, Commissioner Miller is present by telephone this morning. Uh, the first order of business is to, uh, have the Invocation which will be offered by Reverend Staci H- Hylton, Las Vegas Center for Spiritual Living. We appreciate your being here. We'd ask all to stand and remain standing for the pledge of allegiance.

**INVOCATION**

STACI HYLTON

And so, and so if it's comfortable for each one, please close or lower your eyes and just take a deep breath. As we just practice the presence of this very moment, knowing that there's just one. One power, one presence, one source of all life. That which is peace and power and love and joy and abundance and beauty and light and life itself. I recognize myself as being one with that source of all, as I'm one with each and every person here, each of us is in an ex- expression of the divine. Each, each of us, each of us is here to be the love and the light and the presence and the essence of that one source and that one power. And so right here, and right now, what I know about this meeting is that it is good and very good. That all that are here that are here to express to these Commissioners, they do so with clarity and brevity. They do so with grace and ease, knowing that they are heard from a place of compassion, knowing that the Commissioners are here to do their very best to uplift this beautiful city. I'm knowing that that which needs to be recognized is recognized, approved, authorized, distributed, identified. All of it is done with ease and grace in the time span that has been provided for this very day. So, I just call it good and very good. I release this word too, that universal presence, which only ever says, yes. I release it. I let it go. I let it be. And together we can say, amen.

AUDIENCE

Amen

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

GIBSON

Miss King, this morning, before we get into the, um, the items that are the, uh, recognition items. I think it appropriate to, uh, that, that this Board note the passing of, uh, Colleen Pappa, the wife of, uh, much beloved Eric Pappa, who has been important to, uh, the entire County for a good long while. She was a, a remarkable person and, uh, had a, a great impact on the County. Uh, she worked for the Clark County in the Building Department at Administrative Services. Uh, she worked as a County lobbyist at the Nevada Legislature for two sessions, and she worked for a time in private industry for the Nevada Home Builders Association, with Irene Porter whom we all know and loved and respected. She quit all that when, uh, her daughter, Kae was born 15 years ago, completely selfless act. That was all that mattered at that point, taking care of family and other things that were most important. That is the, uh, reputation and legacy she leaves behind. And I think it appropriate for us to pause where we sit and, um, reflect in silence for a moment. (silence) Thank you. Miss King?

## Commissioners/County Managers Recognition

**ITEM NO. 1** Recognize St. Patrick's Day and highlight the significant contributions of the Irish American Community in Clark County.

YOLANDA KING Good morning, Chair Gibson and Commissioners. The first, um, section of the agenda today are your Commissioners, uh, County Manager Recognition items. We have Item Number 1, which is to recognize St. Patrick's Day and highlight the significant contributions of the Irish American Community in Clark County.

MICHAEL NAFT Good morning, we're gonna, we're gonna begin the recognition of Irish American Heritage Month with a special performance. If we could ask the band to take the center stage, there. Uh, we're joined this morning by Dave Rooney and Dave Browne, otherwise known as The Black Donnellys. Recognized internationally, they hail from Dublin, uh, Ireland. And are the resident musicians right here in Las Vegas at Rí Rá in Mandalay Bay, located, of course, in District A. Uh, they've won many international awards and believe it or not, I'm told they have broken the Guinness World Record for the longest ever consecutive concert, with Dave Browne playing the guitar nonstop for one hundred and fourteen hours (laughs). Please join me in welcoming The Black Donnellys.

AUDIENCE (Applause)

DAVE ROONEY Thank you. Uh, good morning.

AUDIENCE Good morning.

DAVE BROWNE It isn't morning. Is it?

ROONEY It is morning. Yeah.

BROWNE Way too early for us to perform anywhere.

ROONEY (laughs) This is the middle of the night actually.

BROWNE Yeah.

ROONEY Um, uh, we're very proud to be here to be representing, uh, the Irish in America. Uh, we've been in Las Vegas for the last eight years and, uh, our home from home as we like to call it. Uh, we've been very lucky to, uh... We've been very lucky to see all fifty States of America by way of, uh, a documentary that we released, uh, last year. And, uh, we won an Emmy award for it, thank God. And, um, uh, it was very uh, surprising and, uh, satisfying to see the influence that Ireland has had on America by way of our culinary exploits and, uh, our music. And, uh, of course the beloved Irish pub where it's, uh, it's always good to go and, uh, have some, uh, fun, as we like to call it. But, um, uh, one of the songs that we wanted to play for you today is from our movie. It's called, "This is My Home." And the movie is called "An Irish Story". Excuse me. This is My Home. And I'm gonna play it for you now. But, uh, thank you for, uh, having us here. And, uh, and it goes like this.

THE BLACK DONNELLYS (singing)

BROWNE Okay. Pretty quick. Pretty good, pretty good.

AUDIENCE (applause)

ROONEY Faster. Not that fast (laughs)

AUDIENCE (applause)

ROONEY Thank you very much. Have a great day, thank you.

BROWNE Happy St. Patrick's Day, everybody. (crosstalk)

NAFT The Black Donnellys. (crosstalk) Thank you so much to The Black Donnellys for joining us this morning, for staying up late for us this morning. We really, really appreciate it. Thank you. We have a couple, uh, additional special guests. I see a lot of smiles in amongst County staff and amongst this Board here, and I, I know why. Um, in a minute we're gonna talk about, uh, one of our special guests, but I just wanna take a second to thank, uh, our former Honorary Consul General to Ireland, Terry Murphy, who is here, who always makes this celebration special. Join me in thanking Terry.

AUDIENCE (applause)

NAFT And one of the reasons why this, uh, celebration of, uh, Irish American Heritage Month has become so special is because of, uh, the things that, uh, Commissioner Larry Brown did during his time here on the County Commission. He served three terms, was chair of the RTC, was chair of the LVCVA, uh, and, uh, uh, certainly means a lot to so many in this building and throughout the County. So, we wanted to, uh, uh, I- in addition to, uh, honoring Irish American Heritage Month, uh, we wanted to honor one of our favorite Irish Americans and that's Commissioner Larry Brown. Uh, could we roll the tape from the booth and Commissioner, will you join us up here?

AUDIENCE (laughing)

NARRATOR (video) Commissioner Larry Brown is a man who wears many hats in Clark County. Starting from his early days as a wiley right-hander for the Las Vegas Stars baseball team. The Commissioner parlayed his near big-league dream into a three-decade career in public service. He first took the mound as a Clark County Commissioner in 2009. A man of many talents, Commissioner Brown became an expert on infrastructure.

LARRY BROWN (video) You know, we're up here talking about the future of Las Vegas. And here we are standing on the infrastructure that really lays the foundation.

NARRATOR (video) Including public transportation, roadway construction, and flood control efforts, leading both the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada and the Regional Flood Control District to local excellence and national prominence. Commissioner Brown served as the Chair of the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority and his professional sports background was well suited to pave the way for major league franchises and facilities to be built in Clark County. Larry Brown's Irish roots run deep every year around St. Patrick's Day. And he always goes green, spreading the Shamrock spirit from across the pond to the desert.

BROWN (video) No place in the world like Las Vegas does it better. And we take a lot of effort and a lot of time to celebrate all these different cultural events, and St. Patrick's Day, certainly my family, the McKenna's and the Lee's from the island. And it's, it's just wonderful.

NAFT Larry Brown, everybody. Thank you very much.

AUDIENCE (applause)

NAFT So, so in leaving the County, Commissioner Brown, I think got a little bit shy. Doesn't want to join us up here. That means we're gonna deliver, I'm not sure if it's allowed, but we're gonna deliver some special treats from here to you as a sign of our appreciation. Uh, eh- (laughs).

AUDIENCE (applause) (crosstalk)

MARILYN KIRKPATRICK You don't wear a hat for St. Patrick's? Come on, you need it for a little - (inaudible) (laughing)

NAFT All the way from Ireland. Commissioner, we just, again, want to thank you so much for your service. We all, um, really you didn't get the celebration you deserved, uh, because of the pandemic. In fact, uh, I was joking with, uh, Commissioner Kirkpatrick. We all have green coffees this year, but we, it was two years ago that I ordered those coffees, and this was the first meeting ca- uh, that was not open to the public and we weren't sharing drinks. So, uh, we had those on reserve. Uh, I wanna thank Rachel and our folks at, uh, Grand 500 for getting twenty green matcha drinks prepared this morning. Um, I'm gonna... These are very heavy.

AUDIENCE (laughing)

NAFT Commissioner, I'm gonna send the microphone around. I know Commissioner Kirkpatrick wanted to kick us off.

KIRKPATRICK Thank you. And Commissioner Brown, I really wanted to say thank you. Uh, lot of fun times, a lot of great memories, but most importantly, the impact that you've left on Clark County, uh, and infrastructure, uh, goes a long ways. And what I tell folks is something that you taught me very early on from the legislative process to now, is what we talk about today is actually gonna happen in ten years from now. So, we can't wait. So, I am thankful for our friendship. I am glad that retirement life looks great for you. So, um, just wanted to... St. Patrick's Day wouldn't be the same for me if you weren't around. So, thank you very much.

TICK SEGERBLOM Thank you, Larry, for all you did both at the City and here at the County. Um, and we talk about this all the time, as far as your legacy is, is we see it every day, we're benefiting from it, but it's also gonna be out there for another twenty and fifty years. So, thank you so much.

GIBSON So, the thing that I think about when I think about you, Larry, well, I can't say exactly what I think about, but one, one of the things that I think about is how deliberative you've always been. And I think if there's anything we can take from the, your service and your legacy here, it is, think it out first. Make sure you enlarge the circle so that all of us have an opportunity to, to think about it,

GIBSON including the community. But enlarge the circle and be deliberate as you move forward. You've, you've left that legacy with us. And our hope is that as we work along now, a- after you've departed, that you'll be able to see we're doing that. Thanks so much for coming today.

JUSTIN JONES Uh, I, I would echo, uh, our, our Chair's, uh, sentiment. Um, one of the things I learned, I was, uh, very green as I, uh, started on the County Commission. Um, and I really appreciated those chats that we had in your office. Just understanding ho- how to be a County Commissioner and, and the wise advice. And I'll just say in your retirement job with the Aviators is the same, uh, way that you were on the County Commission, which is always saying, "Come on in, come on in, come on in." Sometimes to the, uh, the, uh, annoyance of, of Jenna, your Community Relations Person with the Aviators, but it's really who you are. Um, you're an inviting, uh, consensus builder, and we appreciate all your work here.

WILLIAM MCCURDY II Um, good morning. Uh, and congratulations to you, uh, from what I've been able to learn from the footprints that you've laid is that you've always been community focused, and people driven. And, uh, through your leadership from your time, both in the City Council and from the County Commission, I think that, uh, we would be, you know, uh, proud to continue to, you know, move your legacy forward and continue to keep people first. So, thank you. And, um, we look forward to making you proud.

NAFT (crosstalk) Want the mic? One more time, please thank Commissioner Larry Brown.

AUDIENCE (applause)

NAFT We can put our hats on for a photo. You're ready.

MCCURDY (laughs)(crosstalk)

AUDIENCE (applause)

**ACTION** No action was taken by the Board.

**ITEM NO. 2** Present a proclamation to Nevada Blind Children's Foundation, recognizing March 2022 as Nevada Blind Children's Awareness Month.

KING Commissioners, next we have Item Number 2, which is to present a proclamation to the Nevada Blind, um, Children's Foundation recognizing March 2021 as Nevada Blind Children's Awareness Month.

GIBSON I'd like to invite Emily Smith and those who are accompanying her to come forward. While she's coming, let me tell you a little bit about what we're doing here today. Happy to recognize the incredibly hard work and commitment of the Nevada Blind Children's Foundation. Nevada is just one of seven states that does not have an official school for blind and visually impaired youth. And it's imperative that we bring awareness of the unique services that Nevada Blind Children's Foundation offers to our community. They serve our entire community by providing educational, recreational, and real-life skills to blind and visually impaired youth, ages, uh, from birth to twenty-two years.  
(crosstalk) Now, it's in- it's interesting that there are more than four hundred

GIBSON severely visually impaired and or totally blind children living in Southern Nevada. Uh, the numbers of visually impaired youth requiring assistance beyond normal eyecare is estimated to be about forty-five hundred in our community. The, the Nevada Blind Children's Foundation, a nonprofit, provides both pre and after school programs and hot meals for youth aged, uh, school aged youth, as well as full day programs and hot meals for blind and visually impaired preschoolers.

This nonprofit organization is committed to ensuring Nevada's blind and visually impaired youth can enjoy a fulfilling life. And one of greater independence with enhanced opportunities through advocacy, education, and meaningful services. To elevate our citizenry's awareness of the needs and talents of our blind and visually impaired youth, Nevada Blind Children's Foundation strives to improve and promote the quality of life for those whose vision is an obstacle to learning in a traditional public classroom setting.

In light of this, we hereby declare March 2022 as Nevada Blind Children's Awareness Month. And we are so grateful, Emily, for the work that you do. I had to find you.

EMILY SMITH (laughing) Yeah.

GIBSON And, come up here. The... We cannot overstate the value of your contribution and the contribution of your foundation. My hope is that by us recognizing you today, and others talking about what is happening, that your foundation will do even better at what they need to do. Thank you so much. And thank you to these beautiful people for being here today. Thank you very much for coming today. And Emily, would you like to, you have to.

CROWD (laughing)

GIBSON Just, ju- just a moment.

SMITH Well, I, I just like to say we, we do represent students from, from each of your areas. And, um, you know, unfortunately in Nevada, there's only about 37% of blind, visually impaired adults who have a high school diploma and about the same amount that have full time employment. And so, our mission as an organization is to help our students achieve their full potential, and really, uh, change those statistics. So, thank you so much for bringing light to that.

GIBSON Well, thank you so much for what you... Thank you so much for coming down here today. We, we love having you here. We're gonna take a picture of you now.

UNIDENTIFIED Okay.

GIBSON Okay.

JUSTIN JONES Jim, there's a proclamation down there.

GIBSON (inaudible) Thank you, Commissioner Jones, and yes, we have a proclamation to present to you. It's not just my words.

SMITH Right.

GIBSON So, I'm gonna give it to you and you can just figure out how to position yourself so that that's in-

SMITH Great. You guys wanna hold that?

GIBSON Wha- what- That's a good idea.

SMITH There you go.

UNIDENTIFIED Oh, it's kinda heavy.

SMITH Yeah.

GIBSON Yeah.

SMITH There you go, perfect.

CHRIS ERICKSON Straight up. Get it straight up and down.

GIBSON So, like you wanna straighten it out.

SMITH There you go. Perfect. Good job.

ERICKSON All right. Squeeze it a little, Commissioner Segerblom. (inaudible) Alright, Straight up and push that thing, (inaudible) there we go. One, two.

AUDIENCE (applause)

GIBSON Boy, you take a great picture. Thank you so much. Thank you so much for coming today. Thank you so much. (crosstalk)

**ACTION** No action was taken by the Board

**ITEM NO. 3 Public Comment**

KING Okay, Chair Gibson. That concludes the Commissioner County Manager Recognition item. We are now on the first Public Comment section of the agenda.

GIBSON This is the time on our agenda when we invite those from the public who wish to make a comment on a specific item on our agenda, to come forward. What we'd ask you to do is to state your name, please indicate to us which agenda item you want to address and, uh, feel free to take the entire three minutes.

GIBSON Thank you very much.

DIANA VALLES Thank you. Good morning, Chair Gibson and County Commissioners and happy St. Patrick's Day. My name is Diana Valles, V-A-L-L-E-S. And I am the President of the Culinary Union Local 226. I am here today to talk about airli- airline catering workers at Harry Reid International Airport. These are workers who fuel the engine of Nevada's economy, the tourism industry. Many workers in the industry were out of work for months and have still not financial recover from the effects of the pandemic. These workers are essential, and they deserve healthcare, a fair raise, safety, and a reasonable

VALLES workload on the job. These workers have been doing more, and they deserve more. Airlines got ninety-six billion dollars in pandemic bailouts. Their workers should not have to struggle to live. I would like to introduce two LSG S- S- Sky Home Chefs workers at Harry Reid International Airport who will talk about their working conditions. Josefina Rebollar and Alfred Taylor.

JOSEFINA REBOLLAR Good morning to everybody. Um, happy St. Patrick's-

GIBSON Will you, will you put that microphone right at where your lips are? There you go.

REBOLLAR Good morning. My name is Josefina and happy thanks- um, St. Patrick. Uh, my name is Josefina, last name Rebollar, R-E-B, as in boy, O-L-L-A-R. And I'm from LSG Sky Chef. And I work for a utility porter at LSG Sky Chef for eighteen years. As a utility- as, mm, sorry. As a utility porter, I sweep, mop, clean and sanitize cafeteria, restroom, work area, and all equipments in the kitchen. I also clean the areas where the food is being prepared for the airlines' passengers.

It was - been difficult this past two years during the COVID-19 pandemic. The work has been stressful, and I get headaches from all the extra work that we had to do. Sometimes I've been to, been had to work seven days a week, and I haven't gotten a raise since the pandemic began, after work.

I go home and try to take care of my family. I'm a mother of eight children and fourteen grandkids. Right now, I'm trying to adopt one of my grandkids. So, I'm taking care of a baby. I am exhausted, but I have to do what I have to do to survive. That's, that is why I'm fighting for the union contract so my coworkers and I can have fair wage, good healthcare and benefits, and to be treated with respect at work at LSG Sky Chef. Should be sign a contract to, so that workers like me are able to provide for our family. Thank you.

GIBSON Thank you very much.

AUDIENCE (applause)

GIBSON If you- So e- excuse me. So, the way we do this, we, we understand how important it is to you, but please feel it. And we'll try and avoid the clapping and the yelling and that sort of thing. Thank you very much. Yes, sir.

ALFRED TAYLOR Good morning. My name is Alfred Taylor. Good morning to the Clark County Commissioner. I have been a utility porter at Sky Chef for four months now. The pandemic has really been difficult for all of us. My coworkers are the first line of defense against the spread of COVID-19 at work. As a utility porter, I have to clean, sanitize constantly. We are short staffed, and so we are doing double the work sometimes. It has been stressful. Workers at LSG Sky Chef prepare the food for the airlines. They work in thirty-degree freezers and have to clean those freezers all the time. Every day, I have to put on an extra layer of clothes to go in those freezers, clean and disinfect just as fast as I can. Then come out and take off all those extra layer of clothing before moving on to the next area that I have to clean. I am proud to work there. I do the work I know that is essential for workers. We work so hard every day to make sure things run smoothly and are cleaned well. The reason I'm here today, so that my coworkers and I are fighting for a contract at LSG Chef. It has been six years

TAYLOR since we had a contract. We do everything. Right now, the healthcare we get through the company is not good at all, and expensive. We does better healthcare benefits, we deserve better healthcare benefits. LSG Sky Chef need to do the right thing and sign the contract for the workers so that we are protected. Thank you.

GIBSON Thank you, sir.

AUDIENCE (applause)

GIBSON Okay. Is there... are, are there others who wish to make public comment on other items on our agenda this morning? I have a card from a Walter Seip. Are you, would you like to, you wanna come forward? Pardon me?

WALTER SEIP I will comment later.

GIBSON You wanna do it at the end?

SEIP Later.

GIBSON Okay. And okay. Mister Uehling.

ED UEHLING Yes. My name is Ed Uehling. I wanted to comment on Item Number 7, where you are giving seven million dollars to a blatantly political organization with no protections for the public. It's just like, uh, you would never approve giving seven million dollars to some right wing, uh, racist church to, uh, do campaigning. And this is exactly what the Culinary Union does is, is do t- these campaigns. So, I'm wondering if you can hear this item separately.

GIBSON We can pull the item. And we'll do that.

UEHLING Thank you.

GIBSON Is there anyone else who wishes to speak during this public comment period? Mister I, I think it's, uh, Mister Taylor, is there a Mister or Miss Taylor? (laughs) I can't read the first name. All right. There being no one, we'll proceed with the rest of the agenda. Miss King.

**ACTION** No action was taken by the Board

**ITEM NO. 4** Approval of Minutes of the Regular Meeting on February 15, 2022. (For possible action) (Available in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division)

KING Chair Gibson. We are on Item Number 4, which is the approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of February 15th, 2022.

JONES Move for approval.

GIBSON There's a motion by Commissioner Jones for approval. Please cast your votes. (silence) And Commissioner Miller is on the telephone. Commissioner Miller, do you have... Would you vote on this item, orally? (silence) Commissioner Miller, you might be muted. (silence) Why don't we post the votes that have been taken? The motion carries.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the minutes be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN</b>     | None   |

**ITEM NO. 5** Approval of Agenda with the Inclusion of Any Emergency Items and Deletion of Any Items. (For possible action)

KING

Item Number 5 is the approval of the agenda with the inclusion of any emergency items and deletion of any items. Um, Chair Gibson, I do have a couple of changes to make into, um, to make to the agenda. Please note that Items Numbers 29 and 30, which are under your consent agenda, will be held until the April 5th Board of County Commissioners meeting. Also note that Item Number 54 under the business section of the agenda will also be held until the April 5th Board County Commissioners meeting.

GIBSON

Thank you.

KING

And those are all the changes at this point.

GIBSON

Are there other changes to the agenda? At the pleasure of the Commission.

JONES

Make it a motion to approve with those changes.

GIBSON

There's a motion to approve with the changes. Please cast your votes. And Commissioner Miller, if you would audibly vote, please post the vote. The motion carries. Thank you.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the agenda be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEMS NO. 6 THROUGH 41 – CONSENT AGENDA**

KING

Next, we can move you the, to the Consent Agenda. Please note that Items Number 6 through 41 comprise of your consent agenda. Please note that Item Numbers 29 and 30 um, have been, um, held until your April 5th Board County Commissioners meeting. And also taken separately will be Item Number 7. And those are all the changes I have at this point.

GIBSON

And are there any other changes to the agenda at the pleasure of the Commission?

JONES Mister Chair, then I'd go ahead and move for approval to consent agenda with the, uh, changes noted by Miss King.

GIBSON There's a motion by Commissioner Jones for approval, uh, with the noted changes. If there's no other discussion, please cast your votes. And Commissioner Miller? Please post the votes. The motion carries.

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the Consent Agenda be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 6** Approve an Amendment to the Contract with Oracle America, Inc., for CBE No. 604130-16, for Software, Software Maintenance, Cloud Services and Hardware; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Amendment; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 7** Ratify and approve the Contract with Culinary Academy of Las Vegas, for CBE No. 606057-21 for Clark County Workforce Economic Stability Training Initiative, contingent upon submission of the required insurance; and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the Contract; subject to approved budget appropriations; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

KING Commissioners, next we can move to the section of the agenda, which are items taken separately from the consent agenda. We have Item Number 7, which is to ratify and approve the contract with Culinary Academy of Las Vegas for CBE number 606057-21 for the Clark County Workforce Economic Stability Training Initiative, contingent upon submissions of the required insurance and authorize the Chief Financial Officer or her designee to sign the contract subject to approved budget appropriations and take other action as appropriate.

GIBSON Uh, and, uh, Mister Schiller, are you going to take this item?

KEVIN SCHILLER Yes, uh, good morning, Chair and Commissioners. Um, just a high-level overview of this, this contract with Culinary Academy. This is a result of a partnership between Social Service and the Culinary Academy. Um, this actually began in preparation pre COVID. Um, but I wanna amplify the fact that this contract actually supports in our current economic recovery and in terms of our emergency housing and needs, um, workforce training. Um, the unique component to this contract, there's several outcomes that are tied within

SCHILLER

this contract. Um, one is particularly tied to, uh, case management and intensive wraparound services, so that social service component, which actually addresses self-sufficiency, life skills training, um, those specific pieces that are tied into making somebody successful and not just referring them to a workforce training program, it also facilitates their placement and will support economic recovery for them and their self-sufficiency to move on. So, the capacity, it's an up to, um, the \$7.6 million in terms of the client base that's based on their capacity. Um, and as we increase the, um, footprint that will, um, obviously support increased client referrals. The other piece is that there's very specific data tracking tied to this from the Social Services Site in conjunction with Culinary related to those outcomes. So, there'll be outgoing reports to the Board.

GIBSON

Is there anything further, Miss King on this item?

KIRKPATRICK

Thank you, Mister Chairman. I just wanted to add that. Um, we've already seen much successes with this program. Um, starting right down in our own cafeteria, we've taken several people off of social service. We started this pre COVID. So just, this is just now we know we've have n- more folks. So, um, many folks that worked down in our own cafeteria came off of our Social Service roles and now are working in a culinary background, um, throughout the valley. So, we've seen some good successes and I think it's worth keeping.

GIBSON

Okay. There being nothing more from our staff, Mister Uehling.

UEHLING

Yes. Um, it's a, I, I, it's a sort of ironic that this is being passed in the middle. Uh, first of all, we all know that the, the Culinary Union plays an instrumental part in getting Democrats elected to the different public offices. They're on the ground, there are hundreds of them all over the County, uh, various Commissioners have acknowledged their very important work for the, the, their elections. And, um, and to, uh, to go... It's a start in the middle of the, of the time when the people are registering to do this, give seven million dollars in that very time, people are registering to be candidates for a program that starts a few days after the primary, uh, when it's decided who is going, who are going to be the candidates of the different parties and, uh, with, uh, no discussion in the contract about how, h- h- how funds are not going to be used for political purposes, how, what the separation is going to be. I noticed there's no one here to, t- t- to discuss, well, how were those negotiations carried out. What are, (phone rings) what are the protection... Excuse me, (laughs) sorry.

GIBSON

So, Mister Uehling, if you need to...

UEHLING

(laughs) What? I'm sorry, what?

GIBSON

I said, go ahead and take that call.

UEHLING

(laughs) Okay. Anyway, I thought, I thought it was cynical of the, uh, of the Commission to form a committee in the Water Authority composed of people all on the west side, including an official of Hughes to t- t- decide that we're going to take up the grass on the east side and create a heat island and move that water that we're saving to the Hughes Corporation. I thought that was cynical, but this is even worse.

GIBSON So, I would just comment, uh, this, uh, is an item that was, uh, uh, on our plate in 2019, late 2019. And then in 2020, as we began to, we began to work seriously on a different kind of, and a different magnitude of workforce training and employment opportunity. Uh, if there is uh, something about the timing, it is strictly a coincidence. This has been something that has been negotiated and worked on for months and months and months. So, it's unfortunate that, um, we would have to respond to that con- that concern, Mister Uehling. The other thing that I think is fair to say is that there's never been a transfer of water from people on the east side to the west side. That is just ridiculous. People who are on the east side, who have no interest in removing their turf, don't. Uh, I live in Henderson. I did, some of my turf. And I think people can make that decision, but it isn't about, uh, rewarding the Hughes Corporation. This is about doing what we must do in order to resolve water issues. Yes, sir. Please tell us your name.

DON ORTEGA Yes. My name is Don Ortega and I'm actually would like to speak on Item Number 31.

GIBSON So...

ORTEGA As is written on the card.

GIBSON We, uh, just so you know, uh, Item 31 is one that we have already taken action on.

ORTEGA Well, it also says it before you take the action, you will ask if there are people that want to comment.

GIBSON I asked the public comment period was the- (crosstalk)

ORTEGA What's this?

GIBSON ... and I indicated, if you have an interest in speaking to an item on the agenda, please come forward, State your name, spell your last name and address us. Now I'm gonna let you go ahead and talk, but I want you to know that the consent agenda items, the time for that was when we announced, when I announced public comment on specific items on the agenda. Go ahead and tell us what your concerns are.

ORTEGA Mister Gibson, the little box and where it says, "in consent," says, "You will ask for comments before you go to the consent vote." My name is Don Ortega. I'm coming to you today. I live in Clark County. I'm coming to you today on Item Number 31 to ask that you not approve this. Why? Because it says responsible bidder. Unfortunately, you don't have a responsible bidder that the staff is recommending that you give it to. Why do I say that? My experience with Logistical Solutions is, recently they were doing work on a roof of a building, they sent a JLG'd man lift operator who didn't know how to start the piece of equipment. He didn't know how to start the piece of equipment. If I went to take my commercial driver's license test and couldn't start the equipment, that's an automatic fail. That doesn't have much to do with hazardous waste, but it shows you the standard of how they do things. Also, Logistical Solutions may have caused these, a hazardous material spill in their previous hazardous material storage location on Stephanie. I say may have,

OERTEGA

because I can't provide the video because the video is held by Clark County Water Reclamation, and they refuse to release it because, national security. Thirdly, currently right now, this morning as of seven-thirty, you have on Desert Inn, hopefully somebody's upstairs, actually working. A Clark County facility with a parking lot that has an unsecured gate. You see those white drums right there? This is what's on them. Flammable hazardous waste. You know who delivered those to that County facility? Logistical Solutions. Now, I would like for any of you to explain to me where in County, State, or Federal Regulations, the storage of flammable hazardous waste in an unsecure facility is allowed. They have requirements under County, State, and Federal Regulations to make sure they're doing their actions properly. This is not proper actions. This is a violation. So, I'm asking that you do not approve this until you get answers for why they're working like this for the County. They may say their policy is to meet, their practices show otherwise. It's unfortunate that their employees, all twenty of them, aren't practicing the way they're supposed to. I hold a license that was regulated by the Federal Government. I'm required to meet those standards, and I do. They hold certificates, licenses, regulations that, established by the State and Federal Government, they're not meeting their requirements as an organization. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have that I can answer. Anyone?

GIBSON

(crosstalk) So, sir, the, uh, what I'm gonna do, we, we have already approved that item, but we will add this direction to staff, to look into the things that you have just said to us and to consider them. And if we need to come back, then we will. Thank you very much. Now, Item 7 was the item we were hearing. Um, are there, is there any other comment on Item 7? Anything from the Board? Then I'll entertain a motion on Item 7.

JONES

Motion to approved agenda Item 7.

GIBSON

There is a motion for approval by Commissioner Jones, please cast your votes. Motion carries.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:**

Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II

**VOTING NAY:**

None

**ABSENT:**

Ross Miller

**ABSTAIN:**

None

**ITEM NO. 8** Note for the record the following Town Advisory Board (TAB) and/or Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) Minutes: Bunkerville TAB - October 14, 2021; Enterprise TAB - February 9, 2022; Lone Mountain CAC - February 8, 2022; Mt. Charleston TAB - December 2, 2021; Paradise TAB - February 8, 2022; Red Rock CAC - January 26, 2022; Sandy Valley CAC - November 9, 2021, January 11, 2022, & February 8, 2022; Spring Valley TAB - February 8, 2022; Sunrise Manor TAB - February 10, 2022; and Winchester TAB - February 8, 2022.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:**

Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick

|                    |                               |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
|                    | Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None                          |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller                   |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None                          |

**ITEM NO. 9** Authorize the Chairman to sign the Interlocal Contract with the State of Nevada, on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services; Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP) and Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (DWSS), authorizing Clark County to provide to DHCFP the non-federal share for medical, administrative and transaction costs in accordance with the Nevada State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act for coverage to eligible individuals, retroactively from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2023; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 10** Approve the selection of AD Partnership, LLC dba Airport Dimensions - Chase Sapphire Lounge by The Club (Chris Gwilliam, VP, Global Business Development) for Request for Qualification 27-21 Airport Lounge Operator - C-Gates; and authorize staff to negotiate an agreement with the selectee; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 11** Authorize the Director of Aviation to sign a Contract (CBE-1167) between Clark County and Extreme Networks, Inc. (Paul Semak, Senior Vice President, Sales) to provide Hardware, Software, Maintenance and Support Services; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 12** Approve the relinquishment of interest in portions of right-of-way grants issued to Clark County by the Bureau of Land Management situated within Assessor's Parcel No. 125-19-301-012 generally located near Hualapai Way and Western Clark County 215 Bruce Woodbury Beltway; and authorize the County Manager or her designee to

sign any documents necessary to complete the relinquishment process. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 13** Approve and authorize the County Manager or her designee to sign a professional engineering services contract between Clark County and Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. (Ken Gilbreth, P.E., Vice President) to provide design engineering services for the Clark County 215 Bruce Woodbury Beltway between Sunset Road and Durango Drive On-Ramps and Miscellaneous Sound Walls project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 14** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 1 to Interlocal Contract No. 1057 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Casino Drive from Needles Highway to Harrah's Hotel in Laughlin, Nevada project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 15** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 1 to Interlocal Contract No. 1249 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Intersection Improvements Program: Roundabouts at Various Locations project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 16** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 1 to Interlocal Contract No. 1257 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Searchlight Solar Power Streetlights project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 17** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 1 to Interlocal Contract No. 1265 between Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Moapa Valley Airport Road Relocation project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 18** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 2 to Interlocal Contract No. 1209 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Intersection Improvements Program: Fiscal Year 2021 project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 19** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 3 to Interlocal Contract No. 725 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Carey Avenue between Nellis Boulevard and Toiyabe Street project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None

**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 20** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 3 to Interlocal Contract No. 802 between Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Decatur Boulevard between Cactus Avenue and Warm Springs Road project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 21** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 3 to Interlocal Contract No. 906 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Jones Boulevard between Cactus Avenue and Blue Diamond Road project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 22** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 4 to Interlocal Contract No. 883 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Desert Inn Road between Nellis Boulevard and Hollywood Boulevard project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 23** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 6 to Interlocal Contract No. 373 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Sandy Valley, Columbia Pass and Miami Street project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick

**VOTING NAY:** Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**ABSENT:** None  
**ABSTAIN:** Ross Miller  
None

**ITEM NO. 24** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign Supplemental No. 6 to Interlocal Contract No. 414 between Clark County and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the funding account for the Russell Road between Clark County 215 Beltway and Rainbow Boulevard project. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 25** In accordance with NRS 244.210 and 354.220 through 354.250, approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign a resolution to authorize refunds as shown on Exhibit "A. " (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation (including the adoption of Resolution No. R-3-15-22-1) be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 26** Authorize the creation of 8 full-time permanent positions for the Eighth Judicial District Court. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 27** In accordance with NRS 354.598005, authorize the December 2021 and January 2022 transfer of appropriations between functions within various funds as shown on Exhibit B. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick

|                    |                               |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | None                          |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | Ross Miller                   |
|                    | None                          |

**ITEM NO. 28** Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign an Interlocal Contract between the Department of Health and Human Services Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (DHCFP) and Clark County for the federally required participation share and administrative costs of a Practitioner Upper Payment Limit (UPL) program. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 29** Authorize the award of \$250,000 of Clark County marijuana fees to fund the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada Clean Slate Legal Assistance Project; authorize the County Manager or her designee to execute the required grant resolution per NRS 244.1505; or take other action deemed appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** Deleted from the agenda (held to April 5, 2022)

**ITEM NO. 30** Authorize the award of \$250,000 of Clark County marijuana fees to fund the Nevada Legal Services Clean Slate Legal Assistance Project; authorize the County Manager or her designee to execute the required grant resolution per NRS 244.1505; or take other action deemed appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** Deleted from the agenda (held to April 5, 2022)

**ITEM NO. 31** Approve the award of District ITB No. 210037, “Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Waste Removal, Transportation, and Disposal Services”, to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, contingent upon submission of required insurance; or take other action as appropriate. Staff recommends award to Logistical Solutions, LLC (Ginnie Salazar, Executive Director). (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 32** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign an interlocal agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Water Reclamation District for the diversion of wastewater from the District's Lincoln Lift Station Sewershed to the City of Las Vegas, Project No. 19101, Lincoln Lift Station Rehabilitation; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 33** Approve and authorize the General Manager to utilize the University of California/OMNIA Partners Agreement No. 2021002889, competitively solicited and awarded to Fisher Scientific Company, LLC (Lisa V. Witte, President), for the purchase of Laboratory Equipment and Supplies on an as needed basis; District CFN 220014; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 34** Approve and authorize the General Manager to sign an Agreement for Engineering Services with VTN Nevada to provide design, bid, and engineering services during construction for Project No. 20003, Centrate and Acid Waste Pipelines; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 35** Approve and authorize the General Manager to sign the First Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement with the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA), allowing the district to purchase 9,000 kW of solar power among the electric power supplied under the interlocal agreement, at the rate of \$30.49/MWh; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:** Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II  
**VOTING NAY:** None  
**ABSENT:** Ross Miller  
**ABSTAIN:** None

**ITEM NO. 36** Approve and authorize the Chair to sign the correction of the 2021-2022 Secured and the 2021-2022 Unsecured Assessment Roll AR-0315-22-6 and order the corrections to be made. (For possible action)

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 37** Pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes, note for the record that the Official Reports and Documents received from various County offices are on file in the County Clerk's Office, Commission Division.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 38** Approve the submittal of filing federal and state trademark applications for Clark County's Economic Development "BRIGHT BEYOND THE LIGHTS" mark. (For possible action)

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 39** Approve and authorize the Chair to execute the First Amendment of the Financial Assistance Agreement between Clark County and Rainbow Dreams, LLC for the operation of an early childhood education program; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 40** Authorize the creation of three (3) permanent full-time Child Development Specialist (C27) positions. (For possible action)

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 41** Direct the County Treasurer to apportion, combine, or combine and reapportion the unpaid balances of special assessments for Special Improvement District Number 97B (Strip Maintenance) on original Assessor’s Parcel Numbers and approve the apportionment, combination, or reapportionment to current Assessor’s Parcel Numbers as follows: 162-09-703-023 to 162-09-703-024 thru -025; as applicable, and that the Board find that the combining or reapportioning of assessments will not materially or adversely impair the obligation of the County with respect to any outstanding bond secured by assessments or increase the principal balance of any assessment to an amount such that the aggregate amount which is assessed against a tract exceeds the minimum benefit to the tract that is estimated to result from the project which is financed by the assessment. (For possible action)

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 42** Conduct a public hearing and approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign an ordinance to amend various chapters of the Clark County Code concerning appointments by the Board of County Commissioners to various boards, committees and councils to make provisions consistent with other provisions of the code and state law; and providing for other matters properly related thereto. Commission District: All (For possible action)

**KING**

Chair Gibson, it is now past 10:00 AM. We will need, um, to move to the public hearing section of our agenda. That brings us to Item Number 42. Forty-two is to conduct a public hearing and approve, adopt and authorized the chair to sign an ordinance to amend various chapters of the Clark County Code concerning appointments by the Board of County Commissioners to various boards, committees and counsels (crosstalk) to make provisions consistent with other provisions of the code and state law and providing for other matters properly related Thereto.

**GIBSON**

This is a public hearing. Is there anyone who wishes to comment on Item Forty-Two? There being no one, the public hearing is closed, and I'll entertain a motion.

**KIRKPATRICK**

Mister Chair, I'd make a motion to approve Item Forty-Two.

**GIBSON**

Any further discussion, please cast your votes. The motion carries.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Marilyn Kirkpatrick and carried by the following vote that the recommendation (including adoption of Ordinance No. 4927) be approved.

**VOTING AYE:**

Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II

**VOTING NAY:**

None

**ABSENT:**

Ross Miller

**ABSTAIN:**

None

**ITEM NO. 43** Conduct a public hearing and approve, adopt, and authorize the Chair to sign an ordinance to amend Clark County Air Quality Regulations Sections 13 and 14 to incorporate by reference updates to delegated federal National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and New Source Performance Standards in effect as of July 1, 2021; and providing for other matters properly relating thereto. (For possible action)

KING

Item Number 43 is to conduct a public hearing and approve, adopt and authorize the chair to sign an ordinance to amend Clark County air quality regulations Sections 13 and 14 to incorporate by reference updates to deleted federal National Emission Standards and Hazardous Air Pollutants and New Source Performance Standards in effect as of July 1, 2021, and providing for other matters properly relating thereto.

GIBSON

This is a public hearing, which is now open. Good morning,

MARCI HENSON

Good morning, Commissioners. Marci Henson, the Director of the Department of Environment and Sustainability and presented to you today is, uh, an ordinance update to our Section 13 and 14 Air Quality Regulations. These two regulations incorporate by reference, uh, Federal Rules and this is an annual update that we do to make sure that, uh, our Section 13 and 14 Rules are up to date with the most current version of the Federal Rules. Um, the changes are noted in your backup documentation. We did provide a public comment period for these rule changes as well and we did not receive any public comment. Uh, they're pretty minor in terms of updating dates and section titles. Um, and I'll take any questions if you have them.

GIBSON

Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak on this item? They're being no one, the public hearing is closed. Are there concerns or comments that any of the Members of the Board wish to make? There being none then I'll entertain a motion.

JONES

I make a motion for approval.

GIBSON

A motion for approval by Commissioner Jones, please cast your votes. Thank you very much.

HENSON

Thank you.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation (including adoption of Ordinance No. 4928) be approved.

**VOTING AYE:**

Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II

**VOTING NAY:**

None

**ABSENT:**

Ross Miller

**ABSTAIN:**

None

**ITEM NO. 44** Introduce an Ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code, Chapter 20.04, Section 20.04.010, Chapter 20.08, Section 20.08.040, and Chapter 20.09, Section 20.09.010, and Section 20.09.020; approve and authorize the Director of Aviation to approve operation of the service under new operating standards; provide for other matters properly relating thereto; and set a public hearing; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

KING

Commissioners, we can now move to section eight of the agenda, which your introduction of ordinances that brings us to Item Number 44. An ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code of Chapter 20.04, Section 20.04.010, and Chapter 20.08, Section 20.08.040, and Chapter 20.09, Section 20.09.010, and Section 20.09.20 0- 020, and approve and authorized, the Director of the Aviation, um, to approve the operation of the service under new operating standards to provide for other matters properly related thereto and set a public hearing and take other action as appropriate. Staff is recommending that a public hearing be held on Tuesday, April 5th, 2022, at 10:00 AM.

GIBSON

I'll introduce the ordinance and set the public hearing for Tuesday, April 5th, 2022, at 10:00 AM.

**ACTION**

There being no objections, Chair Gibson set the matter (Bill No. 3-15-22-1) for public hearing on, April 5, 2022, at 10:00 A.M.

**ITEM NO. 45** Identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings; receive updates on the activities of the various regional boards and commissions; and direct staff accordingly.

KING

Next, we can move to the Business Section of your agenda. Item Number 45 is to identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings to receive updates on the activities of their various Regional Boards and Commissions and direct staff accordingly.

GIBSON

Commissioner Segerblom, you have emerging issues?

SEGERBLOM

Sorry about that. I was focusing on something else. Yes, I do. Thank you, Mister Chairman. Let's see if we can get our... I'm not sure if the window up there is ready, but I have my emerging issues. So next Wednes... Here we go. Next Wednesday on March 24th, Parkdale Community Center will be hosting their monthly food pantry, starting at 9:00 AM. So be sure and come out and bring your cart to carry your items home if you'll be walking.

On Tuesday, March 29th at 9:00 AM, I'll be at Cambridge Recreation Center for breakfast with myself, hopefully, and the staff. So please call for reservations. I have another item up there. There we go. Um, Cam- that's, that's the Cambridge Recreation Center on March 29th. On Saturday, the April 2nd at the Hollywood Recreation Center we're having a b- b- "Bunny Bash" um, at the Family Louis Park. There'll be food, games, egg hunts. So please call the Hollywood Recreation Center to register for the egg hunts. There we go.

And then finally, uh, that same day on April 2nd out here in the auditorium, uh, the amph- amphitheater we're having... Whoops. (laughs) I think we're having, uh, too much fun on St. Patrick's Day here, but, uh, anyway, so on the April 2nd on the af- afternoon, we're having, uh, with... along with Commissioner McCurdy, I'm co-sponsoring Cesar Chavez Day Festival, be lots of speakers, lots of entertainment, uh, be lots of, of, uh, popups with people that have things to present. So, uh, I encourage everybody to come out on April 2nd afternoon out here in the amphitheater. Thank you, uh, Mister

SEGERBLOM

Chair.

GIBSON

Thank you. Commissioner Kirkpatrick?

KIRKPATRICK

Thank you. Um, uh, Mister Chairman, uh, so I have a couple things. Um, first I wanna start is, um, Miss Tracy Lewis text me about three o'clock this morning, and unfortunately her mom has passed away. So, I told her that I would, um, acknowledge that for Minni 'cause she's a little overwhelmed. So just wanna wanted to recognize I worked with Marza on many things, uh, over the years when I worked at Booker Elementary. So, I just wanted to, um, recognize that. Next, um, uh, uh, so we have some new areas in our district through redistricting, so we've been trying to get out. So, on March 3rd, we went to the Howard Lieburn Senior Center for lunch. Uh, we do a lunch and learn and so we were able to bring in some John Mull's Meats and have a real pre-St Patrick's Day with a little bit of barbecue. Um, we plan on going back more often, they... We brought, uh, twenty volunteers from Nellis and it was really nice to get to know a different part of my district. So, it's been a long time. Uh, so the next thing that we did on March 5th was our Inaugural Health Nation Block Party. So, we started working on this pre COVID, um, crazy enough, um, because healthcare is really an important issue, this particular portion of my District. So, we had, um, tons of folks, um, and little kids. It's always great because they had their first, eye test ever, um, outside of school and they were, um, excited to know that they probably needed glasses and that they were gonna, um, follow up with that. We had a lot of dental screenings that were out there. This is, um, the Raiders did a junior training camp, um, for the kids. Um, I met the alumni um, Roy Hart who is out there, but it really was a lot of fun for the kids and the families. And we had just a little, we had about three-hundred people, we had about three-hundred tickets and then those went very quickly and then we still had people coming. So, it was great, but I really wanna, um, uh, take my hat off to, uh, Kelly and Will because we've started this so many times, we've changed the dates at least ten times in the last two years. So, it was nice to get it started so we can keep doing it. So, uh, thank you to everybody that helped and partnered with us.

The next thing that we did, um, was we did our Aliante Senior Center Luncheon. So, this luncheon, I've been doing for about ten years. And so, we always have to cut it off 'cause there's not an empty seat left. Um, we had about a hundred-fifty people, but we worked with, um, the Attorney General's Office and Matt Ross, He came out and talked about, uh, fraud, what they can do to know license, um, facilities that they should be looking at, different programs that are out there. Um, so it was great. The seniors were very engaged and so we'll be taking them with us to Ardiente, but we encourage people to... Seniors really want to know about all the abuses that are out there, and the AG's office does a really good job. Uh, we partnered with them for a lot of times. But I wanna thank Trish. Um, 'cause she wasn't letting me leave. I didn't realize how serious they were about, you gotta have the first piece and nobody can do anything else until you do that. So, she would not let me go until I had a piece cake, and I was trying to, so ruined my diet, but happy to (laughs), happy to oblige her. So, thanks, Trish.

Uh, so on March 26th, we have our, uh, Young Women's Leadership Conference. So, we've been working on this, I've been working with, uh, Jack Martin. Uh, we've been trying to reach the young women in District B for some time. Um, there's a lot of things that we see with them. Um, they're,

they're angry. They're um, have no direction. They're looking for different ways to go. So, we are doing a partnership, um, with many folks. And this actually is going to impact, uh, girls, young ladies across the valley. So, they'll come from all over the valley to come and hear about different things that they can do and, and different resources that are about their, and most importantly hear from other women that have had the same struggles as them and how they actually made it, um, where they are today and you know, I... And so, the theme of it is, um, the sky is the limit and no matter what comes my way, I'm enough and with heart, which is humility, enthusiasm, attitude, respect, and trust, you can do whatever you want. And so, I'm kind of excited 'cause we have three-hundred young ladies RSVP'd on the first day. Uh, we have a wait list for others, and we hope to have a full four hundred. This is partnership with a lot of folks. So, um, gentlemen, you're welcome to stop by. We will have Gentlemen by Choice. At one point they will be um, escorting the young ladies into a sit-down luncheon so that they can see what they should expect and what that they can get. So that's the new thing that we're working on.

Um, the Health District. So, at the Southern Nevada Health District, just a FYI, we're trying to get feedback, um, from folks about maybe having a new permit application online. There's a lot of things that we learned, uh, during the pandemic and online was great for many applications and processes to walk forward. So, we encourage people to go on the Health District website and to make sure that you give us some feedback, what's good, what's bad about it. And how can we streamline things for you. So, you can also call the Health District at, uh, 702-759-0500, um, if you have any additional questions. Just a reminder, um, the weather is nice and Southern Nevada Water Authority wants to remind you that useless gla- grass is something that you should be get rid of. Um, based on the legislation that was passed, you have a couple years to do it, but the sooner that you can do it is better for all. And just remember, it's not time to change those watering clocks just quite yet. Although the weather is nice. Um, do your part to help us, um, conserve the water that we need.

Um, so I, I have two other things. One I've been working and most of the Commissioners know I've been working on childcare because childcare has been an issue. I'm not ready for them ladies yet. Uh, childcare has been an issue, uh, for many people getting back to work. And so, we know that the average cost of childcare, uh, for a two-year-old, um, starts at \$250 and goes up to \$400 a week. So, I've been working with the State for a couple years, um, pre COVID. And one of the things that we're trying to do, which is, will be helpful to all the Parks and Rec programs as well as childcare facilities is to find that workforce. So, we, um, will be seeing youth first, we'll be around, uh, 16 to 24. They can sign up to be a childcare worker, uh, in an intern program and they can get paid. They can get additional schooling so they can get their credit sooner, uh, rather than later. So that first one I believe starts, um, today. And then we'll be seeing, you'll be seeing them throughout the valley. So, I wanted to remind our youth that there are lots of opportunities, not only through Parks and Rec, but through, um, uh, the State Childcare Licensing, um, as well.

And then lastly, I just wanted to highlight, uh, the women's basketball team first time in twenty years that, uh, they made it. We were trying to sneak them in today, but they're already practicing for their next adventure. So, they promised that they would come to Clark County, but it is a real testament to the women's basketball team, um, after twenty years to make it this far. And

KIRKPATRICK

so, we wanted to... We, we told them that we would at least mention it and then give them a proper welcoming when they came home. So that's all I have.

GIBSON

Thank you. Commissioner McCurdy.

MCCURDY

Uh, thank you, Mister Chairman. Um, I had the pleasure of joining Fitzgerald Elementary School, Matt, Kelly, uh, Martinez Elementary School as well to celebrate Nevada Reading Week. Our young scholars are dedicated to reading new books and they even showed much interest in entrepreneurship. I want to thank these schools for inviting me to read and I look forward to, uh, continue to show up, uh, throughout the year and also especially, uh, during our next year's Nevada Reading Week.

As was, uh, already mentioned, uh, we're gonna be hosting a Caesar Chavez Day Festival, um, April 2nd through, April 2nd, from 12:00 to 4:00 P.M. here at the Clark County Government Center with Commissioner Segerblom, Assemblywoman, Selena Torres, Somos Votantes and more. Uh, so we invite our community to come on out. It's gonna be a lot of fun.

And finally, um, I want to thank the hundreds of constituents who have emailed my office and reached out, uh, in regarding, uh, their experience of rental increases throughout the Valley. And I just wanna highlight some of the, uh, testimony that we have received, um, from those emails, which are about two hundred and eighty. Uh, the average increase in rent is about \$463 a month. One renter who has never missed a rent payment at their apartment since 2009, they saw his... what he saw his rent increase by \$500. Another renter expects to see their rent double once their lease is up on April 1st. And in fact, most of those who contacted us have never missed a rent payment. One constituent spent \$2,000 just on application fees in search of a new apartment they could afford. Many constituents implored that they are on the verge of homelessness. And I've stated time and time again, that if we have potentially thousands of people entering homelessness, this presents a public safety crisis. If a family doesn't have food, if they don't have housing, Maslow's Hierarchy of needs says we need shelter and food to survive. If they don't have those basic things, we're going to find ourselves in a situation that we will not be able to turn back the clock on. So, some of these constituents are seniors, they are families with small kids, they're single parents, others once enjoyed full-time employment, but now are juggling multiple part-time jobs and are on call. And others are also battling chronic illness like cancer. Many of their landlords will not accept a long-term lease, keeping them on a month-to-month agreement where under State law and I repeat and under State law, landlords can raise the rent each month under that scenario. Residents with HUD vouchers are unable to supplement the additional rent increases. Clark County has been on the job. We have allocated well over two hundred million dollars to keeping our residents housed. I will say to you that that is not a sustainable method. We must buckle down and we must come together to make sure that we take care of Southern Nevada Residents for the benefit of all of us. As it relates to utilities, many renters have pointed out that they are paying, paying upwards of \$100 for water, trash and sewer. Everything is going up. In long term, Clark County Residents, some who grew up in our community are being forced to move into weekly's and into motels. I continue to read each and every email that comes in. I encourage those to continue to share your stories. You can reach out to us at [ccdstd@clarkcountynv.gov](mailto:ccdstd@clarkcountynv.gov), and please include your address within that email, and we will continue to advocate for you for

MCCURDY

the betterment of our community. Thank you.

GIBSON

Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner Naft.

NAFT

Thank you, Chairman. Uh, just, uh, wanted to highlight a few, um, traffic safety issues, as you might have seen yesterday in three hours in Clark County, we had three fatalities, uh, three separate inci- incidents. That means half of our, um, commands were working fatals at the same time. Um, all reckless drivers, um, no other reason other than they were driving recklessly at high rates of speed and irresponsibly. So, the usual reminder, um, to please spread the word that, um, you know, lives are on the line and it's, it's in our hands. Um, and I also want to thank, and highlight some of the work. I know everybody, I think everybody up here, and a lot of department heads have already had the chance to meet with our, uh, Director of Traffic Safety. Um, and something that I think will be very effective is they've started doing quarterly meetings with the commands of all six jurisdictions that have, uh, traffic enforcement responsibilities. Uh, I don't think that meeting's ever been held on a regular basis before. Um, so I'm, I'm optimistic about some of the synergies that'll come out of that and proud that the County is taking a regional response, um, and, and working, I think really well with our colleagues in the cities.

Uh, to highlight a few, uh, a few things going on in and around District A. Just wanted to take a moment to thank and acknowledge the work of our County Clerk, uh, Commissioner Gibson, and I received a report at the LVCVA, uh, at our last Board Meeting highlighting some of the work that they've done in conjunction with, uh, Lynn Goya, our Clerk during the month of February, as we hit our five millionth wedding license, uh, here in uh, the County's jurisdiction. Um, but they also highlighted the economic gain from it, and I believe it's \$2.5 billion annually, but the month, uh, presented a lot of opportunities for, uh, for the County and for the community to benefit. We were highlighted, um, uh, by numerous publications, national and international publications, and it played a significant role in boosting, uh, the social media presence of the destination. So, thank you, Lynn, and, and your entire team for making that happen along with our, um, LVCVA personnel.

Um, up next, uh, want to thank everybody who participated in Walk for Friendship that was held on March 6th. Uh, I had a team along with, uh, city of Las Vegas Councilman, Knudsen came together and, uh, brought a crew to walk and then participate in the carnival that was held after. Uh, the Friendship Circle, which hosts the walk is, um, I- I- is a new partner to the County. Uh, and they, they do a really good job creating social opportunities for those with intellectual disabilities and proud of the work they do. Uh, coming up, want to, uh, give another plug for our really exciting opening of the Echo Trails Park, uh, that is March 22nd, 11:00 to 1:00 PM over on, uh, the corner of Buffalo and Russell. This is the first of five acres that's been developed of about thirty acres, uh, that are planned for parks, uh, in the area. Uh, as I've talked about before, this is an area that's wildly underserved. Um, and while there's no pickle ball, there are tennis courts, which is very unusual. So, we've gotten a lot of, uh, comments about the lack of tennis courts in the southwest, so, I wanna assure everybody tennis courts are coming in at southwe- at, uh, uh, in the southwest at Russell and Buffalo, along with a variety of other amenities, including a splash pad, um, which we will be, uh, I think we're gonna have a big button to push. We're gonna push a big button and start the fountains. It's

NAFT gonna be very exciting so I invite everybody to join us. Uh, there will also be a special performance, uh, from, uh, the Broken Spectacles, uh, of the Blind Center of Nevada. They'll be our, uh, our host band for the event, uh, so looking forward to that on March 26th. Thank you, Chair.

GIBSON Commissioner Jones.

JONES Thank you, Mr. Chair. Uh, we're gonna have a presentation today from, uh, Joanna and Justin from our team with regards to short term rentals and where we're going on the ordinance, but we're also gonna have a, uh, a Community Meeting at Desert Breeze from 5:30-7:00 P.M. on Thursday, March 24th. Assemblywoman Rochelle Nguyen is going to be there. Uh, she was, uh, the, l- lead effort at the legislature. Um, I know there's been a lot of interest in this issue from the community and, uh, so we'll able to, uh, receive that community input, um, coming up on the 24th.

Uh, coming up on the 26th we're gonna have a bike park kickoff event. Uh, that's gonna be in the southwest. Our Parks and Rec team has been amazing in, uh, setting up that event, working with partners. Um, that'll be at Faiss Park, which is, uh, Wet N' Wild. Um, we, we're gonna have food trucks, games for kids. Uh, we're grateful to the Southern Nevada Bicycle Coalition and many other partners for, uh, participating. They'll be, uh, giving out free bike helmets. Uh, this is, this bike park is a SNPLMA funded project. It's gonna be at Warm Springs, uh, and, uh, and Fort Apache. Gonna be very exciting, uh, first time in, in Southwest, first in Clark County, uh, unincorporate Clark County, uh, for this type of, uh, park.

And then at, uh, Desert Breeze we've got the, uh, egg run event. I've had the, uh, opportunity to be there in the past. Uh, this is 5:30 to 7:00 P.M. at Desert Breeze, April 8th, uh, and there's going to be new, this is new, a glow in the dark family egg run. Uh, so something a little different than what we've done in the past. So, uh, if you're interested you can register in advance, uh, to receive the egg run wrist bands and, uh, make sure you register no later than April 7th. Thank you.

GIBSON It's important, I think, to follow up on a comment that, uh, Commissioner Naft made a moment ago about, uh, safety on the roadways. Uh, in my District, uh, young Rec- Rex Patchett, 13 years old, was run over as he scooted home on a, a, um, on a sidewalk. Driver was, it is estimated that he was doing eighty miles an hour or something like that and he lost control of, uh, his vehicle in a roundabout or near a roundabout. Uh, that's a needless kind of, uh, loss of life and so impactful to, uh, Sam and, um, Jason Patchett, to the la- to the Patchett and the Burr families, t- terrible tragedy. Um, I don't know what it takes. I've seen the Police Chief in Henderson, uh, pleading with people to drive sanely and obey the, uh, posted traffic route, uh, notices that are on the freeways and roadways, um, and to stay alert. But this is the kind of thing that wakes an entire community up and our hope is that through this Office of Public Safety that we can, or Traffic Safety, we can have an impact in a way that will be meaningful. It surely has registered in, in my mind.

In the District, last Saturday we hosted our Movie in The Park at the Paradise Recreation Center. Our Whitney Rec Center is, uh, closed because of the aquatics facility that's being built there. We had a great turnout, lots of families. We couldn't have asked for a better weather. You think back to

GIBSON

Saturday, and you just dream about having that day every day. Many thanks to my staff, the parks and rec staff, and of course, Abuela’s Tacos for providing outstanding food for our guests. It was a lot of fun and a beautiful night to do it.

On April 15th, uh, we'll be hosting our, uh, Annual Spring Job Fair. There'll be a lot of details that will be coming, but this will be a very large and important job fair. It'll be held at the Las Vegas Convention Center in the West Hall, similar to what we did a year ago. It's an absolutely beautiful venue and this year we'll be able to access more of technology that is available there for us. All employers are required to offer jobs at a minimum of \$18 per hour. We expect to have over a hundred employers, and many will be hiring on the spot. We hope that folks will pay attention. I'm, we're, we're learning that a lot of people who, uh, are, have not been employed over time as a matter of choice or rethinking things. We hope this is an opportunity to get some of them back to work. We encourage you to keep an eye out on our social media channels for more information as it becomes available. Okay. Miss King, that takes us to the next order of business.

**ACTION**

No action was taken by the Board

**ITEM NO. 46** Appoint Art Villezcas to serve on the Moapa Valley Television Maintenance District Board of Directors until the 2022 General Election. (For possible action)

KING

Chair Gibson, that does conclude your public hearing section. We can move back to the business, um, items. Uh, we are on Item Number 46, which is to approve Art Villezcas to serve on the Moapa Valley TV, or excuse me, in the Moapa Valley Tele- Television Maintenance District Board of Directors until the 2022 General Election.

GIBSON

There is, uh, is there any discussion on this item? If not, I'll entertain a motion.

KIRKPATRICK

M-mister Chair, I make a motion to approve Art Villezcas to the Moapa Valley Television Maintenance District Board

GIBSON

There's a motion by Commissioner Kirkpatrick, please cast your votes. And the motion carries.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Marilyn Kirkpatrick and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 47** Receive a report from the Clark County School District regarding their reorganization. (For possible action)

KING

Next, we have Item Number 47, which is to receive a report from the Clark County School District regarding, um, their reorganization. Uh, they are here

KING

to talk about the reorganization and it's my understanding that they do not have a formal presentation this morning.

KELLIE BALLARD

Good morning. Uh, Kellie Ballard for the record. I'm the Chief Strategy Officer for the Clark County School District. Um, I'm here to speak on the update regarding the reorganization. My colleague, Region Superintendent Melissa Gutierrez is here in case there are questions specific to Clark County Schools, schools in Municipal Clark County. Um, since we met with you last in November, uh, we have been going back and forth with the State Board of Education and the Nevada Department of E- Education regarding some items of compliance with the reorganization law. Um, outstanding still at this point, um, the two major pieces are, uh, the requirement that 85% of, uh, unrestricted funds are allocated to school budgets and also the requirement that principals have the authority to select the staff for their schools. Uh, the 85%, uh, requirement we're up to about seventy-nine from where we started at fifty-five. So, we've seen a lot of progress in that area. We're down to challenging, uh, decisions where, uh, we would need to move major services such as transportation, uh, to schools, which we don't think schools should be operating. So, uh, we are working with the Department of Education, uh, to help us move forward on that one. Uh, with regard to principals having the authority to select staff for their school, um, that requires some negotiations and as you know, negotiations require the agreement of both parties. We've been unable to reach an agreement that aligns with the, uh, reorganization law at this point. Um, we also anticipate from the State Board of Education some adjustments to, um, NAC that would provide some, uh, uh, regulation changes to give us some specifics that would help with those, uh, negoti- negotiations we hope. Um, I anticipated, um, those coming this Thursday, um, but after seeing the, uh, subcommittee meeting last night, um, of the State Board, I don't anticipate those, um, solutions to be ready for us quite yet this week, so. Um, in addition, uh, since we met with you last, our Board of Trustees took some action related to the, um, reorganization in that we, um, requested and they approved to the transfer of authority, um, for several items that schools were, um, responsible for through service level agreements. Those service level agreements did not meet the requirements in the NAC, so we've, um, removed all those ser- service level agreements so that we could put agreements in place that, um, we believe schools should have full control over and that can be in, uh, put in place in full, um, compliance with that NAC. Um, that's pretty much it. Since, uh, November, we're continuing to work toward compliance. We're continuing to work with the State Department, um, and the State Board, um, and I'd be happy to answer any questions you might have.

GIBSON

Thank you. Are there questions of Members of this Board? We appreciate, uh, an update on the item that we typically calendar this for. We've received lots of updates, but this is more to the point. Um, and it sounds as though much of the legislation has been, um, incorporated into the procedures and processes of the District up to this point, but there remain some significant sticking items, uh, and you'll be continuing to keep us updated. And if there are things that you would have us know that would be helpful to us or our constituents, we're very happy to reach out and make sure the community understands those things. We just need to know them.

BALLARD

Right.

GIBSON

And if you'll communicate those things to us, then we'll be happy to help

GIBSON anyway we can.

BALLARD Fantastic. Thank you so much.

GIBSON Thank you very much.

BALLARD Thank you.

GIBSON Thank you, ma'am.

**ACTION** No action was taken by the Board

**ITEM NO. 48** Accept and approve the Business Impact Statement pursuant to NRS 237.090 for the proposed ordinance amending Title 20 of the Clark County Code, Chapter 20.09-Ground Transportation Companies, by amending Section 20.09.010, to annualize the rates and charges for service and delivery vehicles operating at Harry Reid International Airport; and provide for other matters properly relating thereto; or take other action as appropriate. (For possible action)

KING Next, we have Item Number 48, which is to accept and approve the business impact statement pursuant to NRS 237.090 for the proposed ordinance omitting Title 20 of the Clark County Code Chapter 2.09, ground transportation companies, by amending section 2.0, uh, 20.09.010 to annualize the rates and charges for, uh, service and delivery vehicles operating at the Harry Reid International Airport and provide for other matters properly relating thereto or take other action as appropriate.

GIBSON Thank you. Good morning.

ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS Good morning. I am Rosemary Vassiliadis, uh, from the Department of Aviation. This is actually the sister Item to 44, the introduction of ordinance. The legislature, uh, authorized transportation network companies, TNCs, to include commercial operations. Therefore, we are updating Title 20 of our Code to include the change. In addition, we are annualizing the fee for consistency and, within the County and cleaning up minor, uh, administrative language. This then required a business impact statement process, so we sent out forty-four, uh, notifications to ground service delivery companies and we did not receive any comments or questions. So, we are asking you, the Board, to determine the up- that these updates do not impose a s- a significant economic burden. Thank you.

GIBSON Thank you very much. Are there questions or concerns for, um, Misses Vassiliadis? There are none. Thank you. So, at this point, our responsibility is to accept and approve the business impact statement. Uh, I'll entertain a motion to do that.

JONES Motion to approve, uh, accept and approve the business impacts statement.

GIBSON And is there anything more that needs to be in that motion? Because the bus- it makes the case, right? So.

LISA LOGSDON Right. Exactly. Your, your motions complete

GIBSON Thank you very much. There's a motion, please cast your votes. And the motion carries. Thank you.

VASSILIADIS

Thank you.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones and carried by the following vote that the recommendation be approved.

**VOTING AYE:**

Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, William McCurdy II, Tick Segerblom

**VOTING NAY:**

None

**ABSENT:**

Ross Miller, Michael Naft

**ABSTAIN:**

None

**ITEM NO. 49** Approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign the Ordinance authorizing the issuance by the County of its "Clark County, Nevada, Highway Revenue Bonds (Indexed Fuel Tax and Subordinate Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax), Series 2022", in the maximum principal amount of \$200,000,000, as if an emergency exists. (For possible action)

KING

Next, we have Item Number 49, which is to approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign the ordinance authorizing the issuance by the County of its Clark County Nevada Highway Revenue Bonds, Indexed Fuel Tax and Subordinate Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax Series 2022 in the maximum principal amount of \$200 million as if an emergency exists.

GIBSON

So, I'll introduce the ordinance and uh, I'll (laughs) Your, your, your hand was faster than mine and my brain was slower than both. So, I'll introduce the ordinance, uh, authorizing the issuance by the County of the noted bonds, um, and ask the Clerk to read the ordinance by title.

LYNN GOYA

An ordinance authorizing the issuance by Clark County of its Clark County Nevada Highway Revenue Bonds, Indexed Fuel Tax and Subordinate Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax Series 2022 in the maximum principal amount of \$200 million for the purpose of financing street and highway construction within the county and improvements incidental there too, providing the forms terms and conditions of the bonds and with other details and connection there with, providing for adoption as if an emergency exists.

GIBSON

Commissioner Jones.

JONES

I'll make a motion to adopt the ordinance as if an emergency exists.

GIBSON

There's a motion on the floor. Any discussion on the motion? Please cast your votes. The motion carries. Thank you.

**ACTION**

It was moved by Commissioner Justin Jones that the ordinance (Bill No. 3-15-22-2 for Ordinance No. 4929) be adopted as if an emergency exists.

**VOTING AYE:**

Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II

**VOTING NAY:**

None

**ABSENT:**

Ross Miller

**ABSTAIN:**

None

**ITEM NO. 50** Approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign the Ordinance consenting and agreeing to the provisions of the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority bond resolution authorizing the issuance by the Authority in the

name and on behalf of the County of its "Clark County, Nevada, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority Refunding Bond (Additionally Secured with Pledged Revenues), Series 2022", in the maximum principal amount of \$15,370,000, as if an emergency exists. (For possible action)

KING Item 50 is to approve, adopt and authorize the Chair to sign the ordinance consenting and agreeing to the provisions of the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority Bond Resolution authorizing the issuance by the Authority in the name and on behalf of the Clark, of the Clark, or excuse me, of the County of its Clark County, Nevada General Obligations Limited Tax, Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority Refunding Bond. Additionally secured with pledged revenues, Series 2022 in the maximum principal amount of \$15,370,000 as if an emergency exists.

GIBSON I'll introduce the ordinance and ask the Clerk to read the ordinance by title.

GOYA An ordinance concerning the issuance and payment of the Clark County, Nevada General Obligation Limited Tax, Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority refunding bond. Additionally secured with pledged revenues, Series 2022 in the maximum principal amount of \$15,370,000 consenting and agreeing to be bound by the provisions of the Las Vegas Vi- Convention and Visitors Authority resolutions designated by the short title 2022 refunding bond resolution. Ratifying, approving and confirming actions taken, authorizing the issuance of the bonds and prescribing other details in connection therewith. Providing for the adoption of this ordinance as if an emergency exists and providing the effective date thereof.

GIBSON C- Commissioner Jones.

NAFT Uh, Commission Naft-

GIBSON Commission Naft.

NAFT ... I move for adoption, uh, of the ordinance as if an emergency exists.

GIBSON There's a motion on the floor. Any discussion? Please cast your votes. Motion carries.

**ACTION** It was moved by Commissioner Michael Naft that the ordinance (Bill No. 3-15-22-3 for Ordinance No. 4930) be adopted as if an emergency exists.

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>VOTING AYE:</b> | Jim Gibson Justin Jones, Marilyn Kirkpatrick, Michael Naft, Tick Segerblom, William McCurdy II |
| <b>VOTING NAY:</b> | None   |
| <b>ABSENT:</b>     | Ross Miller  |
| <b>ABSTAIN:</b>    | None   |

**ITEM NO. 51** Receive a report and discuss Assembly Bill (AB) 363 of the 2021 Legislative Session and the authorization of short-term rentals in Unincorporated Clark County. (For possible action)

KING Item Number 51 is to receive a report and discuss Assembly Bill 363 of the 2021 Legislative Session and the authorization of short-term rentals in Unincorporated Clark County.

GIBSON

Good morning.

JOANNA JACOB

Good morning, Mister Chairman. Uh, Joanna Jacob, Government Affairs Manager and I'm here with Mister Justin Harrison, and we're gonna be handling, um, this item. I will say first, um, Mister Harrison intends to give a brief presentation on the survey that we conducted of the community on this issue. And then structurally I'll hand it over then on the discussion that we are hoping to have with the Board today on direction, um, to us as staff on drafting of the ordinance. So, Mister Harrison.

JUSTIN HARRISON

Thank you.

GIBSON

Good morning, Mister Harrison

HARRISON

Morning Mister Chair and Members of the Board. Justin Harrison with the Department of Administrative Services, here today as you already spoke with Miss Jacob, uh, to talk about Assembly Bill 363, give you a brief overview of that legislation, uh, and also to review the survey results from the Clark County survey, uh, that was administered to the public, uh, regarding public perception of short-term rentals in Clark County. So, the survey opened, uh, December 21st of 2021 and closed January 31st of 2022. The survey was open for forty-two days and received just shy of 6,000 responses. 5,811 responses were received. Um, since the survey's been closed, the department has continued to solicit public comment through an email, uh, [stacomment@clarkcountynv.gov](mailto:stacomment@clarkcountynv.gov), and that email remains open and we would encourage any of the members of the public, um, listening to provide feedback to that, um, to that email, um, through the rest of this process until, uh, an ordinance is brought to the Board.

So, we'll, we'll jump right into some of the survey results. Um, we wanted to understand the audience and really who in the community was being affected by short-term rentals, who was responding to the survey and who was engaged on the issue. And you can see from the chart, um, the majority of respondents self-identified as impacted neighbors at, uh, roughly 38%. Um, this was followed by community members at just under 33% and 13 and half percent identified as thinking about becoming short-term rental owners or operators, and approximately 9% self-identified as current short-term rental operators. There were, uh, over 30 questions on the survey, uh, all of which we received. Um, all of those questions had to be completed in order for the survey to be submitted. So, uh, again, roughly 6,000 respondents answered all of those questions, many of which were open ended. So, we've created this infographic to give you some of the highlights of, uh, what was, what were some of the overwhelming responses in the survey. Uh, we've also provided the, um, the multiple-choice answer, uh, survey results as well, uh, if you have any questions there. But quickly running through the infographic, your, your top left chart, um, shows a majority of respondents have a negative perception of short-term rentals at, um, fifty, over 55% responded, um, that short-term rentals have a negative perception in their neighborhoods. To the right, the second pie graph, um, respondents also felt strongly that neighbors-

GIBSON

Excuse me, Mister Harrison.

HARRISON

Sure.

GIBSON

Are you able to hear him out there?

AUDIENCE MEMBER

No, not really

GIBSON

No. So we need the volume turned up, uh, so that people who are here, uh, in the public seating area can hear the presentation. Would you please do that up in the booth? Thank you.

HARRISON

Perfect. That, oh, I can hear it now. (laughing). That's much better. All right. So, I, I will start again at the top left of that infographic. Um, (laughs) a majority of the respondents had a negative perception of short-term rentals at just over 55%. Uh, to the right, that second pie chart shows that a, um, respondents felt strongly that neighbors should be involved in the short-term rental process at just over 66%. Uh, they believed at a minimum neighbors should be informed if a property, uh, nearby received an authorization and to be empowered to report issues should there be any. Um, you also see safety inspections were, um, very prevalent in the survey. 72% of respondents indicated that safety inspections should be required in licensed short-term rentals. Um, 80% of survey respondents indicated that short-term rentals, um, that hosts should lose their authorization or their permit, um, if the County receives multiple, multiple substantiated complaints. Um, we have heard that in public feedback from, from really hosts and, um, and neighbors that, um, the complaints should be substantiated. We believe that, um, that that is an, uh, integral part of the process. Um, in addition, 87% of survey respondents believe that the designated local representative, this is a portion of AB363 that is mandated in the bill, there must be a designated local representative. Um, survey respondents believe that that, um, representative should respond in less than one hour. Uh, and lastly, occupancy. Um, respondents, uh, believed that, uh, they'd like to see the number of authorizations or the number of permits in unincorporated Clark County capped, or that the Board would institute a cap, um, in the number of respondents or I, I'm sorry, in the number of, uh, licenses. Also 76.2% of respondents would like to see the maximum number of guests permitted in a short-term rental to be less than sixteen. Sixteen is the maximum number allowed, um, through AB 6- 363. And 25% of respondents believe that a maximum of eight total guests should be allowed in short-term rentals. Uh, the last two questions at the bottom right of the, the infographic are, um, relative to, uh, occupancy by bedroom. Um, 77% of respondents would like to see a limited number of guests per bedroom, and over 70% of respondents believe that the maximum, uh, number of guests should be two per bedroom. Um, so those were, were really the highlights, um, and the overwhelming responses from the survey. Again, we have those results in their entirety, entirety if, if you have any additional questions. Um, if there are no questions on the survey, uh, I'll, I'll move through to the next part of the presentation.

Um, so current policy, just to, um, go over this for, for the public, the current public policy in Clark County regarding short-term rentals is that they are not allowed for, for rentals of less than 31 days. Um, there are, uh, allowances in specific zonings, however. Um, however, residential zoning is not one of them. Um, for members of the public, you can continue to report short, short-term rentals through, uh, the County website and the County's app, Fix-It Clark County, uh, where code enforcement will respond to those in, uh, reported incidents of short-term rentals and there's a link on the slide. Lastly Mister Chair, uh, this is a, a quick overview of the mandates in Assembly Bill 363. I

HARRISON

won't go over them. Um, but to let you know, there are a number of requirements that must be in our ordinance, as passed in AB363. Uh, our purpose today is really to receive feedback from you all, from the Board, uh, as to where the Board does have local authority, where, where the Board would like to go, uh, in either, um, being more or less restrictive where we have those abilities, um, and that, that latitude within the bill. Uh, and with that, I will turn it over to Miss Jacob.

JACOB

So good morning, Commissioners. Um, Mister Chairman, we have a number of staff recommendations for each of the six kind of categories that we have listed on this last slide. So, what I'm proposing to do is kind of take them in turn and then I'll stop and see if there's Board, um, questions or feedback after each. I apologize there's a lot to cover. So, we're gonna try and move, um, efficiently through this and kind of trade off with Mister Harrison. So, um, again, I, I would also like to say these are staff recommendations. These are just proposed. So, the Board is free to give us alternate direction, um, if that is your wish, and we have, in preparing these recommendations, taken into account the input from our multiple departments who are part of our internal working group, that includes Code Enforcement, Business License, Fire Prevention, Building. Um, and I know that we also have taken into account, some of the public comment and I know we've, we've held Commissioner Segerblom had a town hall, so we received numerous comments there. And that is the basis for our recommendations, along with surveys of other jurisdictions who have also had to regulate short-term rentals in their community.

So, I'll start at the top on limits in prohibitions. Mister Harrison had noted the survey results, that there's a feeling that maybe we should put some sort of limit or cap on the total number of short-term rentals in our community. This is partly because of concerns due to our affordable housing crisis we've discussed at length at this board and our low inventory that we have in this state. Um, and also with a sense that maybe we should start slowly, um, and on the basis from what we've seen in other jurisdictions, that we could reassess and always offer more or reassess after our initial ordinance, if we want. Um, we're proposing here, we looked at another community, um, San Diego, California, which really had set a limit of 1% of their available housing stock in their community. And this we thought ex- um, was a fair staff recommendation. It would help to address concerns about concentrating short-term rentals in one particular area in the County and is also an objective standard using data that is compiled by Comprehensive Planning, um, which is also a member of our working group that we could look to. Um, and also that number may change. Um, so if we measured it and set it to that basis, that is our recommendation. Um, there other jurisdictions have set caps and we're happy to talk to you about those, but that is our staff recommendation. We could also, um, set different, you know, that allows us to look at the particular needs of our unincorporated areas as well. So, that would be adjusted accordingly into some of our smaller areas or outlying areas. So, that is our staff recommendation there.

SEGERBLOM

Mister- Mister Chairman, can I ask you-

JACOB

And I'll stop there.

SEGERBLOM

...can I ask-

GIBSON Uh, Commissioner Segerblom has a question. Sure.

JACOB Yes, sir.

SEGERBLOM Thank you. So, uh, I know we talked about this. I, um, you're actually looking at, at, at sub- or making that smaller. Well, you're looking at each township as having a, a separate limit, right?

JACOB Correct.

SEGERBLOM So that'd be like... what is it? 1800 is 1%.

JACOB It's a, if we did to 1% and Mister Harrison, I think we have this chart, um, here and that we could, we can demonstrate. This is Comprehensive Planning's, um, data. So, the total, if you can see in the yellow, um, in the unincorporated areas, if we did a 1% threshold, it'd be 2,849, but you can see, um, Commissioner Segerblom, how that would break out in our unincorporated areas. So, if the Board wishes to give us that direction, we could do 1% overall, but also divide it up on these, um, town boundaries.

SEGERBLOM Thank you.

NAFT Mister Chairman.

GIBSON Yes. Commiss- Commissioner Naft.

NAFT The, you answered my question in this chart, but, um, for the s- the Searchlight as an example, so that means 1% means they get one-

JACOB One.

NAFT ... in the whole-

JACOB Right.

NAFT ... township. Has there been...? I support the 1%. I think that's the much better approach to start slow. Um, but you also want it to be viable. Right now, I think we have two or three operating illegally. Um, is there, has there been thought or analysis of those really small townships and giving a minimum to them or keep it flat at 1%?

JACOB Yeah. I can speak to this personally and Commissioner Naft, because I went last week to the Searchlight Town Board.

NAFT Thank you for doing that.

JACOB I know. (laughs) To talk to them about this. Um, lovely community and they actually were in favor of having their own community standards. So, I actually, uh, emphasized like if they wanted to propose, you as the Board have the authority to set an alternate, um, percentage, if you want for these smaller communities where you see, and this comes up, um, in other areas, of course, that there would only be the result if we did it as a standard 1% metric. There are other communities where there would only be one. And I, you know, if

JACOB that's not right for the local community, then we could set an alternate threshold and that may be the direction for us to come back. Yep.

NAFT To, I'm sorry. Just, just to be clear on that point though. So, it could be a separate threshold for Searchlight specific, so I wouldn't say impact, Good Springs if Commissioner Jones didn't want a minimum for Good Springs. Is that, is that fair to say you can do each- each Township?

JACOB Commissioner, Commissioner, I'll answer it this way in looking at other jurisdictions ordinances such as the City of New- New Orleans, they do set separate numbers per neighborhood. So, I believe there's precedent for us to that via ordinance of course, will defer to the DA if they feel that that's not possible. But I do believe that is within the discretion of the Board, um, to give that direction.

NAFT Thank you.

GIBSON Commissioner Kirkpatrick.

KIRKPATRICK Thank you. So, I wanna continue on this conversation. So, um, the opposite way so where people don't want them. Right?

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

KIRKPATRICK Um, so, you know, I think of places like Mount Charleston has never had them. Um, and I thought that was by ordinance. So, we have the ability... Do we have the ability, um, do we have the ability within the ordinance, because most of these fall under some Town Board or something, to allow any, uh, outline areas as defined-

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

KIRKPATRICK ... To establish their own regulations, to determine what that looks like? 'Cause then... Because what I would... and I'm trying to... I know there's precedence, then we wanna be careful what that looks like. And more of a, not to exceed, um, as opposed to putting the right number on it. So, like, I- I think Moapa Valley look there- there's no houses for people that even live out there.

JACOB Right.

KIRKPATRICK So, I don't know how you would do ten and then maybe do, um, is that ten rooms? Is that ten people? Is that... I mean, I think they kind of do their own thing out there, but then I think to, um... I- I think to some of my other areas like Bunkerville three- three is way too many. They don't want any, right? So rather than me decide, I think their Town Board should decide because each unincorporated outlying area has that Town Board that can be somewhat flexible, that's my thought.

GIBSON Co- could I round that question out by saying simply if we do this in one town board, are we creating a situation where we have to go to other town boards?

LOGSDON Well, I think as far as the Town Board issue, they are only advisory. So only this Board can really set those ordinance standards so that you can definitely get the input from the Town Advisory Board, but this Board would have to set

LOGSDON that standard. Um...

GIBSON All right. And- and so I guess I oughta... The follow-on question then is so can we set different standards for different places?

LOGSDON Yeah.

GIBSON Different parts of the County?

LOGSDON Yeah, yeah, I think you can.

GIBSON Okay. Thank you. Any other questions? We interrupted you.

JACOB I'll just note, um, for, I- I know that that local voice is really important, I think. Um, and so we are planning to go to Laughlin Town Board. Um, in addition, Mister Harrison has gone up to Mount Charleston Town Board. So, um, I think I'm gonna say this to the public and to the town boards who are watching, um, if there's an advisory recommendation, we've been encouraging everybody to give us those comments so that we can consider it in drafting the ordinance. Um, and that's, uh, that would be, um, my comment, (laughs) I guess. So, I'm gonna move on if there are any other comments (crosstalk) on that. Okay. All right.

GIBSON Is there anything... Any other questions on this point? Then they're being none, Miss Jacobs, please- please go forward.

JACOB Okay. Um, so I'll move on next, um, to distance separation, the issue of distance separation, um, the- the bill mandates that we consider at least a six-hundred-and-sixty-foot distance separation, um, between short-term rentals. When we had originally started looking at this, we, um, we were looking at our neighboring jurisdictions to see what their distance separation is. Only Henderson has adopted their ordinance right now implementing AB363. They were at one thousand feet. I was under the impression, I think that maybe other, um, cities were considering adoption of that standard, but to date... And I'll just tell the Board that to date only Henderson has the one-thousand-foot um, distance separation Las Vegas and North Las Vegas remain right now at six hundred and sixty feet. So, our recommendation here is to, is to consider adopting a one-thousand-foot, um, distance separation. And that's really based on the community input, um, about proposing limits, but the alternate direction could be that we remain at the six hundred and sixty feet.

SEGERBLOM Miss....

NAFT Commissioner Segerblom

JACOB And I think Mister Harrison has maps. Um, we can take a... I know that might be a question that we... I'm anticipating that question perhaps from the Board, if you'd like to see what that may look like.

GIBSON Commissioner Segerblom and then Commissioner Naft.

SEGERBLOM I want to, uh, ask about... I know there's, uh, uh, a different standard for apartment buildings-

JACOB Yes.

SEGERBLOM ... or condos. What... And how does that affect the thousand foot and- and how many can be you know, in a building?

JACOB That's an excellent point Commissioner Segerblom, thank you for the question. That... it is a different standard for, um, multiunit buildings. There are no short-term rentals permitted in apartments. So, this is multi-unit, um, dwellings. This is a mandate in the bill that we cannot, um... That is a 10%, um, of available rooms or units within that building. So, there's a limit, they did not do a distance separation for multi-unit dwellings. So, 10% of the units.

GIBSON Commissioner Naft.

NAFT Thank you. Um, a couple things on this. I know the next bullet you have is enforcement. I... So, I just wanna say out loud before any other questions

JACOB Yeah.

NAFT ... to me and I- I think a lot of people that probably should be first, I think none of this works without understanding it, and I wouldn't support any ordinance without fully understanding how we're getting to a point where enforcement is truly achievable because it's- it's illegal now. And we've got, you're probably estimating eight, nine thousand, of these operating and we've had a horrible track record prosecuting these. Um, so I- I know that'll be the next point, but- but before we get to that, on the separation piece, do we know one why Henderson made the policy change from the six-sixty to the thousand?

JACOB Commissioner Naft, I believe that their original policy was one thousand, so it's not a change necessarily. It was a... They did not change their existing standard to reduce it to six-hundred and sixty feet with the passage of AB363.

NAFT I see.

JACOB So, they were already at a thousand feet and they- they maintained that.

NAFT Thank you.

JACOB Yeah.

NAFT And then is there, uh, is there thought, or does the legislation give us the opportunity... A thousand feet I think in one place is not the thousand feet in another place. As an example, in an R&P a thousand feet-

JACOB Right

NAFT ... is a lot less versus in some areas where, you know, a thousand feet might be across, uh, ten huge arterials.

JACOB Right.

NAFT Um, so is there a process anywhere, or have we contemplated putting in either a waiver process or some discretion by this body or someone else?

JACOB Okay, I'm gonna answer it this way. Um, I do not believe we have discretion to go less than six-hundred and sixty feet.

NAFT But if- if we put in a thousand, if we followed the recommendation of a thousand feet.

JACOB If you went, um, if you... If the Board wished to set a one thousand, um, foot limit, I think there are... And I- I don't have the specific jurisdictions, but we've looked at a lot of ordinances and I guess I will also defer to Miss Logsdon unless she disagrees, but I think there is, there could be direction that we could, um, reassess it, or if there was a... The Board could, uh... Miss Logsdon, I don't know if it's a, if it's a specific request that would have to come to the Board for a variance or something similar to that?

LOGSDON Yeah, it probably depends on how we write it in the ordinance. So, it could be something that we could consider that if you wanna give, you know, a director of a department, the ability to waive a distance requirement, as long as it stays within state law.

NAFT Okay. I guess if I could ask that, that be evaluated during this process.

JACOB Sure. We can take that direction and evaluate that, um, as part of the ordinance drafting.

NAFT Thank you.

GIBSON Commissioner Kirkpatrick.

KIRKPATRICK So, can I ask a question then of Commissioner Naft then? So- so if we are sticking to the 1% and then we allow people to come in for the waiver-

NAFT I'm not suggesting wave the 1% well I'm, I'm... Oh, well I'm sorry finish.

KIRKPATRICK So- so no, that's what I'm asking. So, if we are sticking to the 1% and then we allow people, is it the people after the lottery that they've been picked, that this is where the... Okay.

NAFT Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK I- I just wanted to be clear for myself.

JACOB We can, we can go to that Commissioner. I can try and address logistically how we're thinking about how this is gonna work, because it is difficult to try and figure out, but, um, you mentioned a lottery and we can... We will get into that, it's in our bullet about license applications. In this initial round, I think we would need to assess uh, how to do the distance separation, when we have built into the legislation, a mandate that we hold these licenses that the process open for six months for people to come into compliance. It is, um, it is a challenge, I think in one of the fairest ways that we have gone back and forth on how to do this in the initial round at least is by lottery in order to be the fairest way that we do this also consistent with some of our existing county processes. Then, and I don't wanna speak for the departments, but I think they contemplated after that initial lottery, if we do not meet our cap, that the board were to set, then we could do... then we could reassess. Um, and that could be

JACOB first in time. Um, or there could be a way to do it after this initial period where we're bringing people into compliance. Um, I know I have the departments here if I misspeak, but that's what we have been discussing, um, with the departments.

So, I think that you bring up an excellent point, um, and we could consider that in the drafting of the ordinance. Um, if we... The alternate direction would be, if you wanted to maintain the six hundred and sixty feet, we could reassess, um, in a year time. Um, but the issue, once we do issue licenses, what we've seen in other jurisdictions is there's a due process, um, considerations about, um, grandfathering in existing licensees, and then going forward, we have to, if we were to change our standards, would have to then apply to licenses thereafter.

KIRKPATRICK Well, for me personally, um, I know where the six hundred and sixty feet is within statute on other types of homes. So, I'm assuming that's where that number came from. So, I'm good with a thousand and maybe a process to once they get to that final piece to. Because is what we don't wanna do is, uh, right? So, in an old R1 that could be every other house, right?

JACOB Right.

KIRKPATRICK Or as to, um, Commissioner Naft's point, it could be across the arterials. But-but so let me, um, let me, I- I'm trying to understand this condo thing, 'cause this is problematic in my mind.

JACOB Hmm.

KIRKPATRICK Um, because one, what if there's no HOA then sometimes, they're- they fall under NRS 116 A or B sometimes they don't, and we can't possibly enforce it. Do we have to allow them in condos?

JACOB The answer is no, you don't have to al- allow them in condos. Um, I think that you, um, I...

KIRKPATRICK Well, I mean, across valley, it's very different.

JACOB Well, done are you- are you bringing up the issue of HOA? I- I guess, let me answer it this way, um, you bring up the issue of the HOA. And I think that, um, I wanna say for the public that this is... there are no... Unless the HOA documents expressly authorized this, um, short-term rentals in the HOA, they are not allowed in HOAs, and we are trying to work through this. Um, we're with the state real estate division to try and make sure that we partner it with HOAs on that. Um, so then I just wanna say that for the public who is listening, because I think there's a fair amount of education on this bill. Um, I do not ma, uh... Commissioner Kirkpatrick I have to go probably look back a little bit closer about, (crosstalk) I believe that it is, um, I believe we have the flexibility to not permit them in condos, but I will take that direction back if you want and make sure it does set a threshold for those condos. And I would wanna maybe just go back and take a quicker, uh, closer look at the legislation, um, because I think that that remains in the Board's discretion. And we can take that direction back.

KIRKPATRICK

Well, I mean, here, we're doing 1%, but then condos are allowed 10%. That's... I mean, why would you not go to the condos first? If I was a businessperson and... You know, here's my real worry is that, especially in condos, um, we have a hard of time placing people in a place to live, and now they're gonna take it out so that they can make some money, right? And I'm not here to help the hedge funds or the people that live in other states to take away the housing that we need ourselves, and so it just seems unreal. And I get that there's different kind of condos within the resort corridor, or I get that there's other condos, but I mean, at least for me, I need every bit of housing I can get, and condos is a lifestyle that people like, and now if I'm making it, um, short-term rentals. So, I- I'd be curious. I- I'm not making any determination. I'm- I'm just voicing my concern because 10%'s a lot, if everybody else is doing 1%. And a, and a thousand unit, taking those ten folks out that- that's make it even harder.

HARRISON

So, commissioner, just- just to clarify that 10% in, uh, in AB363, that refers to condominiums that was put in place, uh, because a distance requirement really couldn't be put in place. So, it's not a, uh, 10% of condos is allowed, that's a- a maximum threshold, um, in order to not have a- an entire condo unit be short-term rentals. Um, I believe, and- and this is another question for Miss Logsdon, I hate to put it on the hotspot. Um, but I believe that the Board has that within their authority to make that less, um, you know, if- if it was the decision of the Board to make that, you know, 5%, 1%, whatever it may be, uh, of condo units, um, I think that's within, within the purview of the Board.

LOGSDON

I- I would agree with that. The statutes it's- it's a not more than 10%, so it could go less.

JONES

Mister Chair?

GIBSON

Mister Jones?

JONES

Can I clarify, I know that there are lots of condo units within the resort corridor that can- can do this. Can you clarify, what's actually legal right now?

KIRKPATRICK

(laughs)

HARRISON

Sure. So, um, there are currently, and- and this was taken up in the bill in AB363 in section 1.5 refers to condo units that are commercially zoned and owned by a, um, the ownership has to have a gaming license. So, this is... It's a very restrictive, um, part of the bill to allow for those that are currently operating in that H1 Zone to continue their process, um, as is, uh, we've been in communication with, with some of those operators to see if there is something in code that they need or would need to be clarified. Um, and at this time, are continu- continuing to work through that process.

JONES

Uh, like for... I'm just thinking Grandview, which is South of South Point. Is that associated with a, with the gaming property? "Cause I don't think they have an association with- with South Point, right- right now.

HARRISON

That I don't know offhand, uh, to- to fall under that section of the bill, it would, it would have to have a gaming license.

JONES

(crosstalk) Okay. Thanks.

GIBSON And the timeshares are... They're not af- affected by any of this, right? I mean their business model is what it is, and they already do short-term rentals.

JACOB So, the- the, um, exemption that Mister Harrison is citing here to this bill is that this is, um, that you have to be located on a land that is not permitted for residential use. Um, it's a commercial zone and then owner operated by a non-restricted, um, license for gaming. The timeshares, Mister Chairman, I have to take that back. I- I think that this is, this was intended to do short-term, um, (laughs) I guess that's a very good question, I'd have to take that back and we'd have to study that. Um, I don't believe that that was the intent of the bill was to capture timeshares. So, um, because it's a different business model, so we'd have to look and see if we need to specifically address that in the ordinance.

LOGSDON Yeah. We can go back and look at it, but it's- it's specifically meant for... Located on land that's not zoned for residential. So, we're talking about, you know, residentially zoned things, timeshares are generally, um-

GIBSON Not on.

LOGSDON ...not on-

JACOB Yeah.

LOGSDON ... zoned residential land.

JACOB I think we could-

LOGSDON I think there's only commercial.

JACOB Right.

GIBSON All right. So...

SEGERBLOM Could- Could I ask just one more question? So hypothetically we have the, um, lottery and two people show... Win and they're within a thousand feet of each other.

JACOB Okay. Just to repeat your hypothe... You know under your hypothetical; we have a lottery, and we draw first one person and then a second person. And they're both within one thousand feet of one another, how we're currently contemplating that, um, would be that the first person who's drawn, if they're in the same neighborhood would be the point from which we... The distance separation would be measured. Um, I think logistically it is the only way that we think that we could do this. And I see the counsel for Business License may have some additional thoughts on this, but that's sorta... That's really our current, and understand this is something that we've been working on with our departments, but that would be my response is that the first person, if they have in the same neighborhood... Um, if they are in the same neighborhood, we would measure from that first, um, first number one.

SEGERBLOM So, the second person who won the lottery would lose?

GIBSON Because we already have that issue. (inaudible) in a number of-

SEGERBLOM Sounds like Las Vegas.

GIBSON (inaudible). So, we would just need to designate the method for the measurement. Is it the- the way the crow flies, is it the distance the crow-

JACOB Our, our proposal is to measure it as, um, Business License currently measures this- this. Our... we, we are proposing and I'm jumping around here a little bit, but we're proposing to model this after business, a business license. And I believe that they measure from the property line. So that would be our propo... our staff recommendation. (silence)

GIBSON Help us through the remainder of these issues.

JACOB So, I'll help you. This is what I heard Mister Chairman. And I think, let me know if I misheard, I heard that perhaps there's Board appetite to consider a thousand feet as the distance separation with maybe an appetite that we may want to revisit that, um, after our initial lottery. And- and I think it might be wise anyway, to do a look back. Um, I heard...

GIBSON I think you're right.

JACOB I heard... That's what I heard (laughs) I think. And then this is, um... And, but I think, and that the direction would be to follow Business Licenses' process that we would measure by, um, the property line and that if we had the lottery, which is our staff recommendation, that the, the distance separation would be measured from that first, that number one drawing.

GIBSON From property line to property lane as the crow flies.

JACOB As the crow flies. Yup.

GIBSON Um, are there other, uh, other concerns about what we've covered so far? I mean, we have, we have coming up a significant discussion about enforcement.

JACOB Yup.

GIBSON Um, but before we get there, let's take care of the other issues that you feel the need to take care of before we get there.

JACOB So, the other, just a- a few other things, um, there is a separate distance separation from resort properties that this was, um, set in AB363 is twenty-five hundred feet. Our staff recommendation is just to adopt that distance separation. Um, it's something that was worked on extensively through the legislation, so that's our recommendation. So, there's a separate distance separation to adopt.

GIBSON It looks like we're probably all right with that.

JACOB Okay. Um, the other occupancy limit that... So, we talked about distance separation, we talked about capping the overall number in our community. Um, there's also been a discussion. There is a mandate in AB363 that we not permit more than sixteen people in a short term rental. Um, we can be more restrictive, um, as Mister Harrison noted, um, we had some significant survey

JACOB responses on this, that there were overwhelming number of people who did want us to be restrictive on occupancy. It is important to think about how we wanna define, um, this occupancy, because whatever limit that we set overall, like sixteen persons, that is our definition of party, um, in the bill. So party, if we were to say, we have ten people when we come to enforcement, and it fits enforcement because parties are banned, weddings, large events are banned. And so, parties would be defined by whatever occupancy limit we choose. Um, we have some survey responses on this that really the community felt that it should be judged by bedrooms... number of bedrooms in a property, because it could take into account, we may have larger or smaller, um, properties, and so the recommendation is that we set the occupancy by bedroom, um, bedrooms in the property and two per bedroom, which was our survey response. Um, and then bedroom would be judged by our assessor records. So, whatever's on file with our County Assessor. (crosstalk) Yeah.

GIBSON So, we would have, for instance, two per bedroom up to a total occupancy of sixteen.

JACOB Correct.

GIBSON Is that what you're suggesting?

JACOB Thank you, sir. Yeah, that's correct. And we could never exceed sixteen persons.

NAFT Chairman. We- we can limit it under sixteen though, if...?

JACOB You may.

NAFT Okay. But the recommendation is sixteen. Is there a recommendation on other than two per bedroom?

JACOB I believe in... Uh, Mister Harrison summarized this survey response and I'm going off the top of my head, but I think the next recommendation on this, and it was only a recommendation from the survey that the... I think the ten was it ten, the people thought, um, but then that was not overwhelming. We thought in the survey. So that's why we had suggested it was more overwhelming to approach it by bedroom. Um, but you could choose.

NAFT So, I- I think that public input's important on that one, but I... It would be nice if we got some input from Building and Fire on that piece. Um, particularly on the response side, it'd be nice to know how they're going to respond to an incident when there's potentially sixteen people in eight different rooms and how that differs from, um, hotels that have sprinklers as an example, or other mitigation measures. And- and I don't know that that's appropriate right, this moment, just as we go through the process, I'd like that input.

JACOB Um, Building and Fire is in our working group. And I think that is relevant, um, because if you get to a larger occupancy limit, um, they... We do have those- those things kick in, I guess, on sprinklers and public safety, this is something we will discuss that we are building this into our inspections process there. And there is a mandate in the bill that there are fire, um, fire safety provisions that have to be required in the ordinance, um, that...(crosstalk) So, this is gonna be built into our inspections process and then

JACOB whatever you choose, we will, um... The direction I've received from Building and Fire or at least the Building Department is that they will have their code mirror. We have to do some reconciliation.

NAFT Okay.

JACOB Yeah. Um, but we certainly will get some more input on that Commissioner Naft. And that is part of the discussion that we are having.

GIBSON If there isn't anything more on that particular item, uh, let's go back to the thousand feet separation for just a moment.

JACOB Okay.

GIBSON So, we rub up against the, uh, boundaries of cities. We have, uh, the City of Henderson that has already adopted an ordinance. It- it would be well for us to look, to see what they propose in the area. There are not many of them, but there are a couple of areas where there are, um, residential subdivisions literally across the street-

JACOB Yep.

GIBSON ... from one another. And you wouldn't get to a thousand feet. Uh, City of Las Vegas, I think is even more complex because we have some donut holes-

JACOB That's right.

GIBSON ... in the city. So, it would be well for us to confer with them. Uh, and if there is nothing there, then we need to think about that.

JACOB So, it-

GIBSON Because it... So, if you have people, if- if- if for instance, a thousand feet is really important to us to preserve whatever is happening in a neighborhood, um, we can undermine all of that, if the City has one on a border and we have one on a border, a thousand feet from nothing else in the County, but it's, you know, three houses from... Or just fifty feet or a hundred feet away from another one. In another... in the City, in another ju... in another jurisdiction.

GIBSON

JACOB So Mister Chair, um, this actually has come up recently in our discussions and I- I see Mister Queano is here. So, I'm making eyes with him to see if I misspeak, but I believe that he- he does do some of this measuring with respect to taverns. And so, this... That could be the direction that maybe we could go back at. That we need to... we talked about this in our working group, and we are going to have to need... We're going to have to need (laughs). And if we're going to have to work with the cities on this, um, because there are areas of the County exactly that you mentioned where we have boundaries, trans versing streets.

NAFT Right.

JACOB So that would be... Uh, my suggestion would be-

GIBSON What?

JACOB ...that we could mirror it after what Business License does with respect to taverns.

GIBSON Well, I think that anything we have in code already, if- if we don't have to torture it, it makes sense if we... If for some reason there's a better alternative, fine, but at least we oughta start with what's in our code.

JACOB Okay. We can do that. Okay. Um, I would... I don't wanna belabor this point, but one other, um, mandate in the bill is that the AB363 sets a cap on the number of authorizations or permits that one entity could hold. So, the- the mandate in the bill is no entity can hold more than five, meaning five properties, um, for short-term rentals, we can be more restrictive. Um, we've been cognizant of the- the community concerns about limits, but we've also been cognizant about concerns about, um, people holding multiple properties. And if we are proposing limits they need to maybe try and spread out the licenses and make them accessible. So, this is one of our recommendations is to limit it to one authorization per person. Um, and of course that can be, um, adjusted by the Board.

KIRKPATRICK Um, thank you, Mister Chairman. I have a clarifying question. So, as it works, like in, um... I think one is great by the way, I wouldn't want more than that. Um, so Newport, St. George, um, I think of West Coast things-

JACOB Hmm.

... 'cause those are- our likely travelers-

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

KIRKPATRICK ... in this, um, scenario. So, um, are you gonna clarify that they could have a different... They could all have the same property management company, so right, in Newport, there are certain folks, um, but it would be limited to one. 'Cause I think that it'll be important 'cause I'd prefer they have a property management company because that really helps us because then they become responsible. Um, and look, if it were me, I would go to the point that St. George did. It's great, 'cause the housing it's really opening now. Um, they say you gotta live on property at least, um, half of the year. So, I'm not opposed to that either. I- I... it's working, and they've had literally fifteen-thousand homes come back on the market since they passed that ordinance. It's great (laughs) for reasonable price too hmm.

JONES Just on- on that note, I know I've stated short-term rentals in St. George, they... And I haven't paid attention to it, but I know they've had entire communities where they were able to have short-term rentals 'cause I stayed at Ledges for example (laughs) in the past. So, has changed too?

KIRKPATRICK Yep. All of that has changed.

GIBSON Okay, um-

KIRKPATRICK So yeah. And that was the problem. And we- we've seen that just in housing as a whole here, people are buying whole subdivisions and becoming landlords. So that, so that changed in the last about six weeks and there's a lot of mad

KIRKPATRICK people that didn't live there to begin with, but there's a lot of happy people that live there.

NAFT Mister Chairman.

GIBSON Commissioner Naft.

NAFT Just so we're wide-eyed about this. When you say one per person, that's one per entity or per LLC, there's nothing stopping-

JACOB I think it would be...

NAFT ... somebody from having ten LLCs.

JACOB Correct. Commissioner Naft, that's the intent is per legal entity owning the property. So, it would be-

NAFT It seems like a pretty simple loophole though. Is there a way to-

KIRKPATRICK Yeah.

SEGERBLOM Yeah.

NAFT ... button that up?

SEGERBLOM I, cuz you, that would be the point. So, we couldn't... you look at the ownership of the LLC and you... If the ownership went back, one person couldn't have ten LLCs, and right?

NAFT So, that's correct?

JACOB So, we've... I don't wanna jump in, I apologize. Do you have additional comment? Yeah.

SEGERBLOM I'm just gonna say that my thought was, would- would you look at the ownership and if there's fifty-one or more percent, then you couldn't... All that you would allow as one LLC per person or per corporation or per anything.

NAFT That'd be good. But is that wh-what... That's what, that's what I would support, but is there a mechanically we can do that?

JACOB So, uh, we've had discussions about this, and I know Comprehensive Planning... I thought I saw... There's Sami. Um, they do already gather this information, I think on membership interest in the LLC. And so, this is something that we can ask for, um, as part of our application process that we can build into the application process. And so that we would identify the managing members and if... As Commissioner said, if that's the board direction more than fifty-one percent um, ownership or something of the like we could, we could take that direction.

NAFT Yeah. I just... I think if we're gonna set a limit, we shouldn't (laughs) we should-

JACOB Define.

NAFT ... we should be serious about it.

KIRKPATRICK Ca- can I?

GIBSON Commissioner yes (inaudible)

KIRKPATRICK Yeah. Can I also, um... So, what I also wanna make sure that they can't get, uh, affordable housing property tax, the second layer within the property tax and that they pay the full commercial property tax.

GIBSON Yeah.

JACOB So, thank you, uh, Chair Kirkpatrick. We actually spoke to the Assessor about that. Um, and I... she... if that's the Board direction and I sense that that's the Board direction. There's process already built in from Business License communication to the Assessor's Office. So, we could do it that way is what, um, Brianna, our Assessor had thought that we could structure it that way, um, that there's a notification upon licensure to the Assessor. And then the notification goes out to, um... By the Assessor to that property. I will say, um, that may also require, and I say this as our Board, we may need some help, um, on that because I'm not sure... Um, and something I'd have to discuss with the cities if they also are doing a similar allocation.

KIRKPATRICK Well, I don't care about the cities, I care about Clark County because the property tax is something-

JACOB Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK ... that we rely on. It's something that education relies on and it's a big part of what we do. So, look, what I would tell you today is there's a lot of landlords that are skirting the property tax thing today on the affordable housing component. And I don't want this to fall into that because at end of the day, no matter how much money we get, it's gonna cost us a fortune to enforce this as a whole and, you know, upfront. So, I don't, I mean, I don't care what the city's doing, I care what the County's doing, (laughs).

JACOB Yeah, I... Thank you, Madam Chair. I think that that's something that we'll take back and, um, I... We've had some preliminary discussions with the Assessor's office, but that's something that we can consider as policies. Or if we need to put it into the ordinance, we will or if it can be adopted as a policy, um, we can take the direction. Absolutely.

KIRKPATRICK Bu- but I, but Joanna, what I'm saying is...

JACOB Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK ... it has to be in the ordinance. I don't care if it's preamble or something.

JACOB Yup.

KIRKPATRICK Because here's the thing. There's gonna be a million loopholes, right? Whether it's the LLC, whether it's the corporation, whether it's... Uh, right? This was meant for mom and pops to have a little extra income on their home.

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

KIRKPATRICK Um, but there's gonna be tons of loopholes and we're seeing 'em today. But at the end of the day, we have a responsibility for housing. So, it has to be in a preamble that you file a business license as commercial or whatever, just so that...

JACOB Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK ... they know going in, in our ordinance, whether we're all here or not, that we considered all of that because, um, we don't want it to get lost.

JACOB Thank you for that clarification. That's very clear. Thank you.

KIRKPATRICK Sorry.

GIBSON No. Okay.

JACOB (laughs)

GIBSON Next item.

JACOB Next item. Um, So, we had a discussion about the LLCs and corporate ownership. We know that's been a discussion. So, I think that, um, we... Uh, the... What I heard, Mister Chair is that we will ask for the membership of an LLC and that's the Board direction. Um, I... We've talked about the one limit per entity. And then I think, um, I will move on to transferability of license. This is something that we were going to recommend is that the license does not automatically tri- um, stay with the home, but that it... nontransferable upon sale of the home. Um, that is another staff recommendation to build into the ordinance.

KIRKPATRICK Can I ask a question on that? So,

JACOB Yes.

KIRKPATRICK -that also means that, um, if they don't sell the home, they still can't transfer the license, correct?

JACOB We could look...

KIRKPATRICK Because I wouldn't want them... And we see that happen all the time. Um, so it's more than just not, um, real estate transfer tax, how will we track that? Um, but even so that they can't say, "You know what? I'm only gonna be forty-nine percent of it. Here you go."

JACOB Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK Um, because they didn't sell the house. So, we're gonna say that their license is non-transferable period? Because I like that idea.

GIBSON One of the concerns I think we have to take into account, we can decide however we want to do that. But for instance, in estate planning...

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

GIBSON ... um, if there is a, uh, an asset that becomes, uh, it comes under the ownership of a trust, for instance um, we just need to make sure that we noodled this so that we gather in alternatives that people should have when they own something in terms of generational gift giving and that sort of thing.

JACOB Uh, thank you, Mister Chair. Um, we could certainly look at that, in drafting the ordinance. There are some provisions from other cities such as Maui that they take into consideration, family, uh, transfers into trust um, or some of those more traditional transfers. So, I think if that's the direction to have us look at specifically, um, certain estate planning transfers in that, you would want to allow for those without banning that, that's something that we could consider in drafting the ordinance, if that's the direction.

GIBSON Well, I think we need to look at it.

JACOB Yeah.

GIBSON I, I, I don't know what we determine. But I think that we're best off if we consider it, irrespective of what the outcome is.

JACOB Yeah.

GIBSON And then we'll decide what we think is. The smartest way to handle it.

JACOB We can certainly do that. Uh, I think my... We would take that back and look at what other jurisdictions have put into place on that issue.

GIBSON All right. Thank you.

JACOB Okay. Um, uh, so we... I... This is still a lot. I think we can move more into; I think... I don't have the presentation in front of me anymore, but I think the next big category is kind of licensing and fees that we would set for our licensing.

GIBSON Mm.

JACOB Um, and we've talked a little bit about our license award process, which had seemed clear to me that the Board is okay with a lottery. Um, with our award process um, please tell me if I misheard. But when moving into the fees, we have a recommendation really from our CFO, um, that we set a fee that at least covers our costs of additional staffing that, um, or costs in implementing this bill. We've- are in the middle of our budget process. We believe, um, that we know that a sta- a authorization fee of between maybe a range of five hundred to a thousand dollars would cover those initial staffing costs for our departments. It also puts us in line with our neighboring jurisdictions. Um, Las Vegas charges five hundred dollars North Las Vegas charges up to nine hundred dollars for, uh, license fees, so we would be consistent if that is important. Um, but we believe that, that's, that, if that's... Our staff recommendation is to set a range because we've also heard some comments about, some public comments about tiering, um, ap- authorization fees for smaller properties, and a larger authorization fee for larger properties. So, a range would allow us, um, to consider that in the drafting of the ordinance of

JACOB five hundred to a thousand dollars for the application.

NAFT Chair- Chairman.

GIBSON Yes, Commissioner-

NAFT I heard that the fee covers the, uh, it covers the cost. Do- does it cover the cost for us to do this well?

JONES (laughs).

NAFT And I, I don't mean... And I, and I'm not trying to be flippant, but I know that it, uh, there's a baseline there, and then there's the reality. And I also balance that with, you know, five hundred dollars is a lot for some. But we know, we know at least in the current market, they're gonna make more in this, in Clark County than anywhere on the planet. Um, to, is it true to the cost? And, and uh, I, I don't know if e- that's a question for either of you, or Miss King, or somebody else.

JACOB Yeah.

NAFT But that would be my intent at least that it covers performing this well, so that when we get the complaints, which is what will happen no matter how many property managers there are, we'll get the complaints that we'll have the tools to respond adequately.

HARRISON Sure.

GIBSON So, in other places at the County for instance in Business Licenses if somebody gets a bar, uh, if it's a big bar is the fee larger than if it's a small bar? It's not. So, I mean... I, I think that's what we need to take into account that none of it is. That it's not just processing, the licensing, but there's a whole (laughing)...

NAFT Correct.

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

GIBSON ... a whole lot more that is kind of the elephant in the room that we haven't talked about yet.

JACOB No, we haven't.

GIBSON Um, that has to be covered. And I... It seems to me that, uh, we ought to set a fee. I wouldn't see any reason to have a sliding scale. You wanna do one of these, you pay a thousand dollars, you get approved and off you go. I, I... That's how I would see it. I don't know if a thousand dollars is the right number but feels like at least a thousand dollars ought to be the number.

KIRKPATRICK I agree (laughing).

JONES Is, is, is the thousand dollars in terms of covering the cost, is that just the cost associated with the application or enforcement because (laughing)...

GIBSON Right.

JONES That's, that's, that's the big question. I- if, if it covers the, um, if application process, and we're expecting that the penalties that we're gonna talk wh, about in a minute will cover the real cost of enforcement, okay, we can have that discussion.

JACOB Yep.

JONES But if, if those penalties aren't gonna cover what we anticipate the enforcement is, then, yeah, we've gonna have a big discussion about what the front-end costs are.

KIRKPATRICK Right.

GIBSON Well, and I think that there's a whole lot of front-end cost, right? Because we, we have a six-month grace period or whatever that grace period ends up being. Uh, I've heard estimates of over ten thousand, uh, such properties, um, in Clark County.

JACOB Yep.

GIBSON Um, and I don't know how on earth we would plan to do differently from how we do today with Code Enforcement. So, I... You know, we don't have capacity to enforce our code today really. Um, so when you think about it, we need to, we, we need to get enough that we can process the background checking or whatever it is that we do, and processing with that application. But we have to feed something into, I'm sure what will become an enterprise fund that will be responsible for managing, uh, all of the enforcement that is gonna have to happen. It's gon- it's not going to be inconsequential. It's gonna be very large.

GIBSON

KIRKPATRICK So, I think that- didn't a thousand dollars come from what, whatev- where everybody else was charging around the valley?

JACOB It's-

KIRKPATRICK But they don't work on the weekends. I mean, we actually have staff seven days a week, and we learned that during COVID. So, they don't, they don't send their officers out, they send parking officers out, but not Code folks, so...

JACOB Right.

NAFT They also don't... Excuse me, they also don't have the Las Vegas Strip. I mean, we're not... No offense (laughing) to our neighboring jurisdictions, but we a- we sh- ought to be realizing what we have here, um, and valuing it.

KIRKPATRICK I, I'm good with twenty-five hundred to be quite honest, because you can always go back and lower it. But I think that the cost is gonna be ridiculous, and the- they're gonna make that that first week.

NAFT Right. (laughs)

KIRKPATRICK We already see it. All you have to do is apply.



GIBSON how to clean up what isn't licensed.

HARRISON So, Commissioner...

GIBSON Commissioner.

HARRISON Oh...

GIBSON Go ahead.

HARRISON To, to hopefully answer a part of that question. What we've done is solicited from the departments what they would need based off of a, a hypothetical number of, of short-term rental units. Um, that is part of the reason for, for asking for direction from this Board for a cap. Once we have a number, we can go back to staff and see how many more business license agents is that gonna take, how many more Code Enfor- Enforcement officers is that gonna take. Um...

GIBSON Yeah.

HARRISON ... and what we've done is based that off of the one percent that we've proposed as a staff re- staff recommendation. Um...

GIBSON Excuse me, so over the course of time, we'd love to be able to get to that point. But when we start out, we have, pick a number five or ten thousand that are operating outside the boundary of our, of, of this discussion. And the only way we respond to that I, I assume we'll just keep doing what we're doing, but we're gonna get lots of complaints about people who are not licensed. And, um... So, you have to take that into account. It just... It is not just twenty-eight hundred licensees that we're gonna be dealing with. More than, more likely than not we're gonna be chasing thousands. Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK Well, I take whatever that number is and double it, first and foremost 'cause we've browbeat our staff to work as lean as possible. So, I'd for sure start with doubling it. But, um, at the same time, you know, wha- The, the big concern that I have is Code Enforcement can only do so much and at the end of day our, our other Constituents who are not making dollars off an adult playground 'cause that's what where they'll be.

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative). Mm-hmm (affirmative).

KIRKPATRICK Um, we have to make sure that our own constituents can get it too. So, I feel like you're backwards. So, we should tell them what the cost for the first two years is to get up and running at the high level. So, if were asked, the fire department they to me they need nine-million dollars just to get started for all the special events that we're doing, right? So, I think that our department should do the same thing. 'Cause it's not just the inspections, it's everything that goes around it. And you know, you're gonna have those neighbors who don't want them period, and I'd probably be one of them. I call every time they (crosstalk) were parking in the street near a fire hydrant, I'd call every time that they put the trash out because when I go stay at one of those, at the beach and it's a family atmosphere, it's way different than if you're going to a bridal party in Nashville. Right? So, wha- folks we're bringing you're gonna have this many of you look today on the website, you have this many family venues, this

KIRKPATRICK many playground venues. So, we have to account for that upfront. I mean, we wouldn't let the hotels get away with, um, the bare minimum.

GIBSON Other comments on whatever this subject is? (laughs).

SEGERBLOM Can I, can I make a... Since you're asking for comments. Uh, one of the things I didn't realize was that when they passed this law, and we're gonna tax these, these entities, the tax, the room tax is actually going to the regular room tax. So, I think we should ask the legislature to revise that, so the room tax from these properties come to us. If we can use that to fund this, the supervision because that's part of what we're doing. We have no idea what's gonna cost us but it's gonna be a huge amount. And it, it'd be helpful to have some of that room tax be able to use that.

GIBSON We can do that again. (laughs)

JONES We can do it again. (laughs).

HARRISON Ju- just one more, uh, quick, quick point uh, Chairman to your point, about all of the, the illegal operating properties um, that's a number that we currently don't know. I mean, we've heard a range of anywhere from six thousand to twelve thousand to possibly more. And uh, I will jump forward a little bit to reporting, but with the passage of the bill and with your discretion in the ordinance, that reporting that's required of the platforms that these hosts list on, will allow us to know what, what does that universe even look like? Uh, because right now enforcement is completely done based off of, of reporting from, from neighbors and constituents, so...

KIRKPATRICK So, so will there be something then? I mean, based on all the emails that I've gotten from all the out of state folks that don't want us to do anything with short term rentals, I was assuming there's thousands of them. Um, and there are only some here in town. Um, so when that platform is, um, done, and they're licensed properly, they're gonna take all those other ones down? 'Cause they haven't done it today. And at the end of the day, they should be helping us today.

JACOB So, Commissioner I feel like now's a good time-

KIRKPATRICK Sorry, (laughs) I should probably take a break here. And leave ya'll alone...

JACOB Yeah. No, I, I'm just gonna move into enforcement. Okay. Because I think this is part of what you're struggling with, and I know it's all we have a whole thing. But, um, the platform, Commissioner, to answer your question directly. um, we're recommending as part of our recommendations that we write that into the ordinance, that we've had discussions with Airbnb, for example, the largest platform and they fa- they said that they can put a process in place called notice and take down. So, if they give, if we give notice to the platform, for example, that there is a license that has been suspended or revoked, or if we find somebody who's, um, illegal but they are advertising on the platform. Now there is a requirement in the legislation that any advertisement has to have now, the Clark County business license or authorization number so we will know. We wanna build that into the reporting and the data that comes back to us, um, from the platforms, we want to know who is listing on the platform, um, and various data I think is gonna be important for Code and for

JACOB Business License in trying to enforce this. So, um, when you talked about taking down, I think that's what you were talking about. When... We've met with Airbnb, and they have said that they have a process in place. If we can work with them on their process, they can take it down in between forty-eight hours to seven days upon that notice. My recommendation is we write in forty-eight hours. (crosstalk) Um, and that's, that is something that I recommend that we include in our ordinance. It is permissive in the bill to put in requirements on the accommodation's facilitators and so, if that's the Board direction, uh, we'll take it.

KIRKPATRICK W- w- well, here's what I would say, I think you're generous. 'Cause in seven days those people are long gone, made their money, paid their fees. So, uh, that, ehh, No. (laughs) And two, I mean, look at the end of day, these are now commercial venues. So, they should be licensed for trash at commercial and if they have overflow on their trash, then they should have to pay that in forty-eight hours, you gotta be kidding me. They can put that stuff up in six minutes. So why are we giving them so long? I mean, here... And there's more than just one. I think... I personally think that the platform should say, "You have twenty-four hours." Period. At the end of the day, we are digital, we're faster. And you should know who you're doing business with. They get their fee within twenty-four hours 'cause you got to pay that free first. So why, why are we waiting, cause in forty-eight hours they've rented it nine times.

GIBSON One of the other things that comes to mind is that, just going from what you indicated if somebody is licensed and then we revoke the license, uh, that's one thing. What, what you'd hope is that these platforms would receive notice from us of all who are licensed and anyone who is not would come down. So, are they willing to do that?

JACOB Commissioner, I think that's actually what we want to build, um, is, and what they do in other jurisdictions is a variety of different ways to transfer that information back and forth. And so, that's really, um... We had a discussion with them with Code and Business License and there are, they are willing... I'm gonna put them on the record here. They are... They said that they will work with us on that and I, my intent is, would be that they do because that's going to be very important to us. And we have expressed the community's request for enforcement really in our discussions on this. So yes, is my short answer. Um, and I would also recommend because it's permissive in the bill, that we write this into our ordinance that the reporting of that data is required.

KIRKPATRICK So, so...

GIBSON So-

KIRKPATRICK ... can I ask a question? Oh, sorry. Yeah.

GIBSON Well, let me just finish this thought. It seems to me that the eyes wide open approach...

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative)

GIBSON ... would require number one that we remember that there are however many, ten thousand of these out there. We're planning on replacing them on their platforms with a mere twenty-eight hundred. So, uh, it, that becomes a really

GIBSON important part of the conversation with them. It's hard for me to believe that's a real easy negotiation. But, um, but I, I... It's anxi- I'm anxious for us to know what we're faced with there.

JACOB So, uh, uh, Commissioner I'll just answer it this way. There is a provision of the bill that we're also recommending that we write into the ordinance. Um, it says that we may require that the platform verify licensure before listing and accepting a fee. So that is where the basis for this data transfer back and forth would lie. If we wrote that into our ordinance, then they would ha- it would be a requirement and they would, uh, we would then start that reporting of data back and forth. And then the co- So that would be that nothing but a authorized, as you noted, short-term rental will be listed on the platform. Now if we find one in our investigation by Code, um, we know that there are people who list outside of Airbnb and those things, but there's cross listings across. It's not an easy feat as we just discussed. Um, so, I think we're trying to write in as many, um, of the permissive requirements of AB363 on this piece, specifically on the requirement to check the licensure before accepting a fee. Um, on the mandating reporting back and forth, um, that we would like to recommend uh, at least monthly reporting to the County of all, everybody who's listing on the property and URL website for the property. Um, and then there is additional quarterly reporting for the tax purposes that we would like to also receive. So... And this includes who's operating whose listing, um, you know, year to date, booking value, average date, and the length of stay of a rental. These are, this is val- valuable information for Code to have. So, we would, that's our recommendation on the platforms. We also have a recommendation for platform licensure. This is consistent with, um, with Business License-licenses, this type of platform. And we could... Then that's our licensure, and then the reporting would then follow through the licensure.

GIBSON Commissioner Kirkpatrick, did you have a comment?

KIRKPATRICK I, I do. So let me ask this, Joanna. So, knowing today, they're illegal in Clark County...

JACOB Mm-hmm (affirmative).

KIRKPATRICK ... what have these platforms done to help us address that? Because at the end of the day, they truly know how many they have, which if they would share that information, we could plan a little bit better. But, but two what, what are they doing today? I... Because I wanna determine what kind of partners we have. So, much like, you know, the Door Dash thing that we went through, right? "Oh, yeah, yeah. We're in, we're in. Yep, we get your ordinance, but oh, we're gonna find a loophole." So, I mean, what, what are they doing today? Because I can look today and go rent tons of things in Unincorporated Clark County. Um, and they're illegal today.

JACOB I'm gonna have Mister Anderson, um, come up to microphone on that Commissioner Kirkpatrick from Code because he has worked with the platform, and he can tell you where we are today, I guess. And then, we'll, I'll help at the end if, on the discussions we've had.

JIM ANDERSON Mister Chairman, Commissioners, Jim Anderson with Code Enforcement. Uh, the reality is there's a couple hundred different venues where people can list these properties, uh, out there and there's a couple of major players out there.

ANDERSON Um, and some of them are more willing to work with us than others. Um, and as Joanna mentioned, they- they often cross-list between multiple venues, so if we are successful getting them pulled down from, from one their listing is still up in others, um, where they wi- may not be willing to work with us at all. Some of these are overseas in countries where subpoenas, warrants, court cases mean nothing to them as well, so we don't have a lot of teeth in some of those inst- instances.

To answer your specific questions about how willing they are work, to work with us today is, they're not willing to work with us, uh, today to remove listings other than violent crimes and major, uh, major events that have occurred on those properties. They will work with us on those. But by and large, for just all the, they're all illegal right now. And there's, there's thousands of listings, so, to date, they're not working with us on that.

GIBSON All right, so...

JACOB Okay. So...

GIBSON We're- You, you can tell that the level of energy has, uh, declined a little bit.

JACOB Yeah.

GIBSON Go ahead and let's, uh, try and get through the rest of your presentation (laughing).

JACOB I know. I apologize. Uh-

GIBSON But there was no way to do this. You, you wanted to have feedback, is a public setting, and we all get to hear the feedback here. So...

JACOB Yeah. Um, I will, will go quickly through. Um, let's stay on enforcement, okay? And I will just say because of, that's our rep, uh, that's why we wanted to write in the licensure and the mandated reporting because of the concerns that Mister Anderson noted. So, let's talk about penalties. Um, this is where we do need Board direction. AB363 gives us two categories of penalties for enforcement. We can go up to one thousand dollars for violation of our ordinance, uh for, so legal uh, short-term rentals and up to ten thousand dollars um for, illegal short-term rentals. And this is... If we go up to the-

GIBSON What was the illegal number? Up to...

JACOB One thousand sir. Yeah. And up to ten thousand per illegal... Uh, we do need to take into account when we assess the larger penalties the severe, the bill requires as taking into account the severity of the violation, uh, history of violations, good faith efforts, etcetera. So that would, uh, be... Our recommendation would be to adopt those, uh, thresholds in the ordinance and allow staff to, um, to propose those taking into account those factors.

GIBSON So, uh, so a thousand dollars a day?

JACOB Yes.

GIBSON Or a thousand dollars for each violation?

JACOB It's for each violation. I believe, in the, in the legislation. Each violation.

HARRISON Violation.

GIBSON Does that prevent us from doing a thousand dollars a day for each violation?

JACOB Uh, it's actually-

GIBSON So, let's say for instance, there's a party house-

JACOB ... uh, actually I need to correct myself. It's a one thou- For the legal short-term rentals it's on thousand dollars per violation. Um, but if or nightly rental value, if that number exceeds one thousand dollars, which it could in some of these properties. So that's the, that's the mandate in the bill.

GIBSON All right.

JACOB So that's our recommendation is to adopt those, those thresholds. Okay

GIBSON Yes.

JACOB Okay. Um, adver- We talked about the advertisement. Our recommendation is to write everything in that's in the bill to verify the, the advertisements, um, and to write into a recommendatio- uh, write in a recommendation mandating that a platform, take down the listing if they're notified by the County. Um, we also have the ability to prohibit an individual from actively advertising, um, uh, without the authorization. So that would be our, our recommendation to also consider because it's an important enforcement tool for Code to, if people choose to list without, um, a license. We also have a recommendation to provide for um, we talked about revocation or suspension of licensure. This was in our survey, that if there are multiples, um, violations in one year, that would give the ground for business license to suspend or revoke.

GIBSON I'd, just go back to the, uh, listing without a license. So, with that then fit in the category of the ten thousand dollar per offense, uh, for illegal activity?

JACOB It could be an additional, um... There's some, some Ninth Circuit case law that our DA's have looked at that affirms our ability to kind of regulate that as a kind of the evidence of, um, of listing a sh- illegal short-term rental if we find an advertisement. And so that would be a tool that we would recommend bringing into our ordinance.

GIBSON So that would be a violation of our ordinance?

JACOB Correct.

GIBSON And so, they would attach to it some fine?

JACOB Yep. Correct.

GIBSON All right. Thank you.

JACOB Okay. So, I didn't hear anything there. Um, we talked about the platform,

JACOB reporting and licensure. And then, you know, there are things that we can build into the ordinance. I think I feel from the Board that you would like us to consider noise provisions, trash provisions, um, anything that we can do to build into, um, the ordinance we will do that. Um, I think that I'll wait and see if Mister Harrison thinks we need to cover anything under there, um...

NAFT Mister Chairman.

GIBSON Yes.

NAFT Ha- has it been contemplated things like septic, um, and water trying to get these people in compliance, or, or, um, towards compliance, I guess?

HARRISON Are you referring to like, illegal installation or construction of (inaudible)

NAFT Well, no, no- nothing new

HARRISON Okay.

NAFT Look, I would assume if they're doing something illegally, that would be enforceable, but, uh, what if this body is expressed some and The Water District has expressed some policy interest in moving away (crosstalk) from septic. So, in the inspection process, would that be seen and before they can qualify for the lottery would they have to convert? Shou- should, should it be I guess is...

JONES I second that (laughs) question.

HARRISON Commissioner to your point, I think that's that's something you have discretion on. Um, the difficulty in that is the Southern Nevada Health District. And I'm sure Commissioner Kirkpatrick, can talk to us more about septic.

KIRKPATRICK (laughs)

JONES (laughing)

HARRISON Um, but they, they are charged with inspecting septic in, in Southern Nevada. Um, and whether or not we wanna go down that path I think is entirely up to this Board, but...

NAFT Well, I, I'm thinking, just required the application to prove that you have water and sewer.

ANDERSON Mm-hmm (affirmative)

JONES Simple.

ANDERSON Okay.

GIBSON And if you don't, then you don't qualify.

JONES Nope.

KIRKPATRICK Oh, I love that idea. (laughs)

GIBSON Here, here's... I think it's important for us to say this. I mean it, we... The studies show that... And I think I'm right about this, if I'm wrong then one of my learned colleagues can correct me. But, um, a house that has uh, a septic service as opposed to conventional sewer service uses, consumes six and a half times the water, uh, that the house that has sewer connection has. And so, th- this is all about us in this community. When we're talking about water being a precious commodity. It's precious to those of us that live here, maybe not so much of, to those who move here or, excuse me, visit here. So, what, what I would say is that, uh, that's something that ought to be a part of what we consider. We're kind of talking off the top of our heads here right now. So, we want you to look at that, we want to look at the legal issues if there are any, and we want to take that into account.

HARRISON So, Commissioners, just to clarify, um, that would be a requirement for Municipal Water connection and Municipal Sewer?

NAFT To qualify for the lottery would be my suggestion.

JACOB Before the lottery. (crosstalk) Okay. We'll take that back for discussion. Yep. Yeah

KIRKPATRICK (crosstalk) Hold a second.

JACOB Yeah. Okay.

GIBSON Did you, did you just ask us a question? We're busy.

JACOB Nope. I said, "We'll take it back." I know to, our, um, I'm looking over at Vince over there. We'll take that back and figure out how, how to, how to do that. And I think I'm hearing from The Board that, that it's something to assess before entering the lottery.

KIRKPATRICK Well, can I ask this, though? I think we should add all those things in, right? Do you have commercial trash? Do you have all of these things? Right? Because if you go, say in Newport, you have to have all that stuff because you're... You accumulate a lot more trash. I think that it's just all part of the utilities that we're asking, because they're in, essentially, a business, so they shouldn't get to reap the benefits if you're not. I mean, and septic is, a lot of people that are... can't do it... Can't even build their own custom home because of the sewer. And so-

JACOB Right.

KIRKPATRICK ... we just... It's good stewards of taking care of Nevadan's first.

JACOB I think that's clear. So generally, any kind of, well, we'll, t-yes, that's clear. I think everywhere (crosstalk)

KIRKPATRICK Well don't think that I ain't gonna bring it up at the-

GIBSON Yeah, that was.

KIRKPATRICK ... Health District that they gotta have a permit there too. So, FYI.

GIBSON Yes.

JACOB We'll go... Yes.

GIBSON That was the word we were looking for.

JACOB Yes (laughs).

GIBSON Now you can move on.

JACOB We're gonna move on. I think that we have, last thing is our... that we've talked about the grace period. Um, we had... And I would like one other thing that we need to talk about is we can consider, we are considering a cap, this grace period. And I just say this, it's important for the public to understand what this means. It means that we need to hold our license application, our license process open for s- six months. We have to give 30-day notice of the notice of that application period. So, we're really seven months that we're talking about here. If we do cap, we can restrict licenses for example, the provision of the bill allows us to say, we can hold aside fifty percent of those licenses for natural persons. Um, we can set parameters there. I just bring that up. Um, this is the grace period also, um, really applies to anybody who listed their property or was in, doing illegal short-term rental before June 1st. Now we've heard from the Community a lot, and from this Board, about illegal short-term rentals and could we disqualify people from entering into the lottery? And so, one thing we would like to talk to the Board about is their... The grace period does allow us to disqualify from licensure for any other reason than just being an illegal short-term rental. So, if there's a history of noise complaints, code complaints, other types of violations on the property, that's something that we did want to get input from the board. This is our suggestion about, um, these... have... These properties that have been problems for the neighborhoods. We know that it's a touchy subject, and so I think that we can put into place a requirement or that no, that we can look at the history on the property, aside from just merely listing your property in violation of our ordinance. So, looking at noise and other code violations, and then they would not then be qualified to pursue licensure.

GIBSON Commissioner ah, Naft, did you have... you- you don't now.

NAFT I would've just said yes, but...

GIBSON Oh, okay.

JONES No, I just not. Maybe this is for Miss Logsdon. If- if someone applies and then we have this, or frankly, any of the other requirements we're talking about today, is there- what's there, um, appeal-ability? Miss Ly, yeah.

LOGSDON I think, so you're saying, like, if they apply for a license and they don't get it, do they get to appeal? Is that your, your question?

JONES That well, I mean, even if during the application process, we say, "no, you don't qualify because you've had two complaints against you" or something like that. What, what can they do?

SHERRY LY Um, so...

JONES I'm just trying to make sure we don't get sued too many times.

LY Um, I think the simple answer is it depends on what procedures we write into the order.

GIBSON Would-Would you speak closer to that microphone, please?

LY Sure. Um, so the simple answer would be, it depends on what type of procedures we put, put into the code itself. Um, if we're relating it to other existing business license, um, processes, um, a denial would basically go to a hearing officer meeting if should they, uh, choose to appeal. And the hearing officer would, uh, um, receive a presentation from both the department and, um, the, the applicant, um, and issue a decision. Uh, and, uh, if the applicant is unhappy with that decision, then they would, um, uh, submit a, submit a petition for judicial review to the district court. That's the typical process.

GIBSON Just to be... kind of play the devil's advocate here. If you have someone who is maintaining a home in whatever condition they're maintaining it, I, I mean, for whatever purpose, they're maintaining it, today. And they've been a problem when it comes to noise, and we allow them, and they are drawn in a lottery. Now we have them in a completely different setting where, rather than having the kinds of issues that we have just with homeowners who violate the law, this is a, there is a Business License, there is an investment. There is something more that we, we, uh, are putting at risk to, for them, they're putting it at risk because of their conduct. And so, it, that the other side of this might be a, a way to do away with some of these problems. So, anyway, think about that.

KIRKPATRICK So, so Commissioner, um, Mister Chair, can we also add in calls for service? So currently today we know that some of our party houses have tons of calls for service and calls for service, arrange things for our em, uh, EMS services to loud music services, to potential violence services. So, could we add in calls for service?

GIBSON I think that's a good idea. I mean, we're all dealing with projects and places, living quarters, where there are lots of calls for service, which bleed off so much of the, um, efficiency of the department that it makes so much sense to make sure that's, that's something we consider.

JACOB We can do that. We can do that. So, I think Mister Chairman, that, um, we've received clear direction, and I think that's covered the bulk of the, uh, the subjects that we had to talk to you about. I apol... it's a very complicated issue, and very complicated bill that we had to discuss with you. So, thank you for your patience. Um, and we will take the direction today and, and there's...

NAFT I'm sorry, just, just one more, one more question...

JACOB Yeah.

NAFT Mister Chairman.

JACOB Sure.

NAFT So is the idea that, so presumably we do the lottery, you get the, um, viable candidates, they get their license, some drop out, fall through, for a variety of reasons. Does this happen annually? Is that the idea? Or how often do we have to be doing lotteries?

JACOB Yeah, I think that we've contemplated annual licensure. Um, and, but then we would have to, we've at least contemplated the lottery for the initial round. And then I think we could look back and see what we do in the next years upon on renewal. Um, and try and build that into the ordinance.

NAFT Thank you. Thanks for tackling this so well, it's a really comp, much more complex than I think meets the eye, and you, Justin, you both, both, uh, Joanna, have done a really exceptional job. Thank you.

JONES I'm just gonna say ditto on that. I know it's, uh, you spent a lot of time on it up in the Legislature and have spent a lot of time on it since then. So, thank you for doing all of the work, the survey and everything, to make sure (crosstalk) that when we all go to our districts and they ask us for, how did we come up with things, we can say we did all the work on the front end. So, thank you.

GIBSON I, we thank you for all of that. And you have just redefined clarity. Thank you.

JACOB Thank you.

HARRISON Commissioners.

**ACTION** No action was taken by the Board

**ITEM NO. 52** Receive a presentation from Code for America regarding its record sealing program and discuss funding using marijuana licensing funds. (For possible action)

KING Commissioners, the next item we have is Item Number 52. It's to receive your presentation from Code for America regarding its record sealing program and discuss funding using the marijuana licensing fees. Uh, this is a Commissioner Segerblom request. We I believe have a presentation, which is virtually...

SEGERBLOM We do, um, hopefully they're out there in the ether somewhere.

ALIA TORAN-BURRELL Yes, we are here.

SEGERBLOM Oh, fantastic. Thank you so much. Um, miss- Members of the Commission, we had a presentation by this, uh, about a month ago or so, uh, there were questions raised, uh, about, m-mor... so, I asked them to come back with more detail as far as what they're proposing so that this should be a short summary of, of specifically what, what, what the money would go for and what they're hope to do. So please, please go ahead and present.

TORAN-BURRELL Thank you so much. Um, and, uh, I guess it is still good morning. So good morning, uh, Mister Chairman and Board. It's great to be back. My name again is Alia Toran-Burrell. And I'm the Associate Program Director for criminal justice at Code for America. And I am joined by my colleague.

GIBSON Would you spell your name? Would you spell your name for our clerk please?

TORAN-BURRELL

Sure. It's the first name is A L I A. Last name is T O R A N hyphen B as in Boy, U R R E L L.

GIBSON

Thank you very much.

TORAN-BURRELL

And I also with, no problem. Um, my colleague Julia, um, Orin, is also joining me today. Uh, and, uh, so grateful to be back talking about a potential partnership between Code for America and Clark County, Nevada, related to automatic record clearance. Um, so this hopefully should not take too long, but welcome questions at any point, of course. Uh, if you could go to the next slide, please. Um, so, uh, just wanna do a brief recap of, um, who Code for America is and what the project that we're proposing is. Um, and then get into a little bit more detail about what it is that we're actually going, uh, hopefully gonna be delivering.

Uh, so very, again, briefly, Code for America is a national nonprofit, and we use technology and design to improve the way that government delivers services. Next slide, please. Julia and I work on the Clear my Record team, which is squarely focused right now on automatic record clearance, and more specifically on providing technical expertise around, uh, crafting good policy and processes, and then helping government actually implement those processes, um, once they're passed or once a government has decided to pursue them. And we've done this, um, which we'll talk a little about a little bit later, with counties and, and states across the Country. Next slide, please.

So very, again, briefly, wanting to talk about why automatic record clearance and why we're hoping that we can partner with Clark County, Nevada, um, around an assessment specifically to identify how your county might be able to do this. Um, and if you could actually go back, oh yes, great, we're on the right slide. Um, automatic record clearance we think is really important because the current process for getting record clearance is really burdensome to people. Um, we know that across the country and in, in, even in Clark County, Nevada, there are thousands of people who have eligible convictions to be cleared but are not accessing that. Uh, and so we see automatic record clearance as a way to get rid of some of those barriers, um, where government is doing the work on behalf of people. Um, we talked, or I've heard, um, listening in today, uh, about the housing crisis in, in Nevada and in Clark County. Um, and of course there are so many folks looking for jobs right now, and we know that criminal record is a big barrier to accessing resources like jobs, housing, education, loans, and more. And so, record clearance is really a way forward for people to be able to move forward with their lives and, and, um, and by default helping communities, um, in the process as well. Please go to the next slide, please.

Uh, just a very, uh, a quick data point here, folks from the University of Michigan, um, did a pretty comprehensive longitudinal study finding that people who get expungements were twenty-two percent more likely to be employed and earned eleven percent more one year after their records were sealed. Um, and this study was specifically through, uh, um, looking at people who accessed the petition-based process. So, thinking about how, um, um, impactful this could be with an automatic record-clearance process that can clear records in scale or in a scale that is, um, pretty unheard of, or um, impossible with the status quo. Next slide please.

So, what we're proposing with your County is doing an assessment to see how your County might clear eligible cannabis records. And these includes arrest and conviction records across the County's relevant court and law enforcement system. So, to be clear, um, this is not an implementation project yet, at least, um, it is just an assessment to make sure that we understand what's going on in your, in your systems to be able to make recommendations, should you choose to pursue, um, an actual implementation of automatic record clearance. Next slide please.

Um, so what we didn't talk about as specifically before we're hoping to do now is talking specifically about what activities and what deliverables we're hoping this project will entail, uh, including the budget. Next slide. Uh, Code for America is, uh, pretty cool in that we've got a number of different, different disciplines, uh, on board and our team, and in the organization that helps with projects like this. So, we have folks who are, um, uh, tasked with overall project management, uh, people like Julia, who are engineers, and can really provide that technical expertise and analysis. And then we have researchers and designers whose job is to deeply understand, um, the status quo as well as design potential prototypes, um, or potential solutions. Next slide, please.

So, when thinking about what activities would be involved in this. Uh, there are a number, uh, the first is legal and political search and analysis. So, we would want to really understand what the current record clearance, um, statute and policy landscape is in order to make sure that what we are proposing falls in line with current policy, or to be able to recommend changes to existing policy. Um, we'd also be doing technical research and analysis. So, what is the state of technical infrastructure in the County, including what vendors exist? What kind of data management structures exist and understanding that to be able to break down any information silos and really create a picture of what technical opportunities are, are, are here as well as challenges that we might have to overcome. Um, we'd also be doing service design research and analysis carrying out extensive interviews with relevant stakeholders, um, to be able to, as I mentioned before, really synthesize the status quo and identify recommendations that are based in what people are doing on the ground. And then of course, documentation, we wanna take all of this information that we're learning to prepare reports and other relevant materials that give you a picture of the status as well as the recommendations that we would make in order for Clark County to be able to move forward, um, on automatic record clearance. Next slide please.

Uh, so, uh, at the end of this, two main deliverables, one is what is going on right now in record clearance in Clark County. Um, and then second, what are recommendations based on what's going on now, um, uh, that you can do to actually implement a new process? Obviously, we have to know what's going on now to be able to recommend, um, um, implementation processes and policies, and then briefly the budget we're asking for two hundred and twenty thousand, around, um, for six months of work. Um, and this is mainly staff, um, staff time. I wanna emphasize that we are a nonprofit, so this is, um, not meant to create profit for us. We're doing this because this is our focus of our program. And, um, we see, uh, an exciting opportunity in, um, in your County. Next slide, please.

And then I wanna end with kind of a sampling of similar projects that we've done across the Country, um, that, uh, grounds us and, and how we are coming

TORAN-BURRELL

to, to you today. Next slide. Um, the most recent, um, uh, kind of in the news work is that we were asked by the Utah, um, Administrative Office of the Courts to help 'em implement their recent automatic record clearance policy, um, that was passed on the state level back in 2019. Um, we helped their, their courts identify approximately six-hundred-thousand convictions to be cleared, um, which is, which is pretty exciting. That announcement was made, um, just about a month ago. Um, next slide please.

Uh, back in 2019 and 2020, uh, we helped five counties in California, um, implement their, um, uh, local policies that district attorneys had taken on, on their own, to clear eligible cannabis convictions in their county. So, we helped identify approximately eighty-six thousand convictions to be cleared. Um, while we were doing this pilot work, actually, a statewide policy passed that mandated every district county attorney or district attorney, um, to identify convictions and clear those. So, we were able to expand the technology and release it statewide. Um, about a hundred and forty thousand um, convictions were, were identified to be cleared using our technology across the state of California. And then, last slide.

And then pretty similar to what we are proposing with your County, um, is providing technical analysis and consult, uh, consultation services to states across the country who are, um, crafting policies on a state level to do automatic record clearance. So, these are a couple of the states, um, that we have worked with recently, or are currently working with. And I, um, wanna mention that Michigan, Connecticut, and Delaware have passed automatic record clearance policies on the state level since we've worked with them, which is pretty exciting.

And I believe that that... oh, one more slide here, which is just a, a map, um, view of the landscape of automatic record clearance across the Country. Um, you'll see the states in blue are places there where we have worked in some capacity. Um, states with the stars are states that have passed automatic record clearance policies and are, um, in various stages of implementation currently. Next slide, please.

So, I hope that was a little bit more context of, of what we are hoping to do. I'm happy to take more, um, more questions or, or comments or concerns at this time.

GIBSON

All right. So, do we have questions from members of the Board? And Mister Segerblom? Oh, Mister Jones?

JONES

No, I guess I'm just trying to understand. And, and I asked this question the last time around, is what do we anticipate the cooperation, from the District Attorney's Office and the court system? Cause at the end of the day, it's really on them to figure out what needs to be done. So, have there been any discussion since last time around with the DA's office or with the court system? Cause I mean, I guess I'm trying to understand what the outcome at the end of the day is. We already know that it's a mess and it's burdensome, so it's more like, what, what do we do? And, and maybe that's what the idea here is, but I dunno, Miss Logsdon?

LOGSDON

Yeah. Just give you a little bit, uh, background on record sealing. So, in Nevada, this, ah, process wouldn't work without legislative change. So right

LOGSDON

now, our, our current process is a petition-based process that the authority resolves with the court. So that is where our hands are right now. So, it's a petition process that involves the court in 2019, we did pass the Nevada second chance act that streamlined that process a little bit more and made, uh, marijuana convictions eligible to participate in that process. But that is still the current legislative process. So, there would be no ability without legislative change to do any type of implementation of an automatic sealing process.

GIBSON

All right. Commissioner McCurdy.

MCCURDY

Uh, thank you, Mister Chairman. And both of those policies were bills that I sponsored. And I, I don't know where we would like to go with this. Um, and I'll defer to Commissioner Segerblom, but from what I understand is, it would be a very cumbersome process, just with all of the different jurisdictions that would have to participate, to include our own District Attorney. But also, we would have to have Metro involved, uh, as well as central repository, to make sure that we facilitated that process. Uh, but I'll defer to Commissioner Segerblom as to whether or not, uh, we have the infrastructure already in place to do it. But, um, from what I've been able to learn in the past is that we still have records in boxes, uh, that need to be digitized.

GIBSON

Commissioner Segerblom, you...

SEGERBLOM

Okay. All right. So essentially what we're trying to do here is figure out what we need to do. Um, it's two hundred thousand dollars, which is not an insignificant amount of money, but the reality is this, this group, uh, knows how to come in to analyze things and then hopefully come back to us and hopefully we can go to the Legislature and say, here's what we need to change, or here's what we need to do. Um, you know, we do fund the District Attorney. We do fund Metro. We do fund all these groups. So, I would anticipate that they would at least work with us, but, end of the day, the problem is gonna be, if we don't do something now, then next legislative session, they're gonna be faced with the same problem. And then as you, as Commissioner McCurdy knows, they're gonna end up with the, end probably in June with, well, let's try to do something. And then we're gonna wait two more years. Where I'm just trying to, to expedite the process, get the out in front of this. And if you have to go to Carson City with, we will go to Carson City with something, then at least they have something to work with. But the Nevada, the government, when you have- don't have annual sessions, you don't have a full-time Legislature. Uh, sometimes the local government just have to grab the bull by the horn. We're seventy-five percent of the population. We probably have ninety percent of the convictions in, right here in Clark County. And this is what I think we, we intended with the marijuana money. We're trying to address problems which have arisen because marijuana, which is now a multi-billion-dollar industry, uh, incarcerated people, have put things on their records, which are hurting them. And for two hundred thousand dollars, if this helps one person get a job for four years, uh, we we've, we've, we've accomplished something right there. So. But anyway, that's why I wanted to bring it back to see what people think. Uh, I, I support it, but if, if people don't like it, then...

GIBSON

So, Commissioner Kirkpatrick, and then Commissioner McCurdy. So...

KIRKPATRICK

So here, here's where I'm at, as a whole. I'm, I'm not opposed to this, but here's

KIRKPATRICK

what I am opposed to. We have done, we... it's like candy, handing out all these projects under the marijuana money. And I just would feel more comfortable if we did some RFQs or some RFPs for some of this stuff. So, we can see everybody that's out there. Because that was not the initial intent, was one piece of it was to do on homeless, and we supported social justice reform. But, but now we're getting, vendors are coming directly to the Board as opposed to going out. And we've funded three or four in the last six months, at least. So, for me, I'm a no, in principle because we gotta do RFQ's. We gotta do RFP's. We gotta do scope of works. We gotta do all these things so we know what we're getting and we can hold them accountable. In my opinion, I'm not opposed to this, but again, I asked last time let's put a program together and this could be part of our whole program on record sealing, because when all of us aren't here, we wanna ensure this stuff keeps going. We have hundreds of thousands of people that gotta get this process, right. And it's a, a long-term investment and we can plan better as a County if we know what we need to set aside each time. So, I'm, I'm not opposed to this. And it's the same information I got last time. I'm opposed to the process. And I would just feel, and listen, I am not the one to be running to say, we gotta have a purchasing thing. But do think that when we do our RFQs, we see all kinds of options that are out there. And let's just see what everybody else has. And you know, if there's a local company, of course we can, you know, look at them first, or maybe we need two or three companies. Because it's gonna take all kinds of steps. So that, that's where I'm not opposed to the records sealing, but I've been asking for a full-on program so we could fund it correctly. And we could, um, it could be long-term because on this Board, what happens is unless somebody, your, new, a new person picks it up, things tend to go by the wayside. And then, um, so that's where I'm at. Sorry.

GIBSON

Commissioner, Commissioner McCurdy, then Commissioner Naft.

MCCURDY

Uh, thank you, Mister Chairman. And I think that, you know, um, I certainly get where Commissioner Kirkpatrick's coming from and also see, um, where Commissioner Segerblom is trying to go. And this is something, quite frankly, that I've supported from day one from my time in the legislature. And if we are saying at this point that we want to give direction to the staff, to look at all the options that are available for us to present a package that would lead us to a more, um, you know, just system, as it relates to record selling, with all of the pieces of policy that have been passed to include possible policy proposals in the next legislative session to include, um, you know, identifying individuals like Code for America and others, opening up the, the, you know, the, the gates to all those who are in this space, I think that is certainly something that we should do. So that we can have one comprehensive plan while we have the resources with, uh, the dollars that are coming in, uh, that we've decided that we wanted to allocate for the social justice reform. I would totally support that. If that's what we're saying here today. Um, not taking this completely off the table. But really giving us an opportunity to put one comprehensive package together. To put all the minds in the room. To include all the stakeholders to make it happen. So, I would certainly enthusiastically support that.

NAFT

Yeah, I, I agree. I think if an RFQ gives us the best bang for our buck, if it ensures that we have something that can live beyond right now, but actually holistically come up with policies that, uh, the Board supports, that it's more than just these, the two deliverables in front of us right now, but what are the next steps, so that I can understand where these dollars are going, uh, I, I'm all

NAFT

in.

GIBSON

Commissioner. Commissioner Segerblom

SEGERBLOM

Well, I think we're back to the same place we were. Um, I, I, I just view this differently. I think if we give this to staff, you know, it's gonna be another two years before we come back with something. When we're talking to the people who would actually prepare the, what we're trying to look for. I mean, they're not gonna do this. They're just gonna come back and say, here's what you need to do. Uh, we hire consultants all the time to make these requests and come back with a here's what you need to do. And that's what we're trying to do here is find the best person in the world. We've looked all around, truthfully, this is the smartest best group of people in the world doing this project. And if they would come out with, come back with a deliverable and say, here's where you go from here. That that's what I'm trying to do. Um, you know, this, we're trying to use this money to help people now, as opposed to five years or ten years from now. But ...

GIBSON

So, Commissioner Segerblom, I would say this, that, um, yeah, I, I, I have no reason to doubt your judgment about who is the very best, but the problem that we have is the, of the process by which you arrive at that conclusion, and maybe even arrive at some other deliverables, is the, is the, um, the RFQ or, uh, eventually something different from that. But it is, it is more of a shopping experience than it is, um, uh, just an ordering experience. Commissioner Jones, did you have a comment?

JONES

Yeah, just real quick. I, I agree that in terms of process, yes, we have the ability, but I think it, it probably makes sense to do it. My only question is in terms of timeframe, I don't disagree with, with Commissioner Segerblom, that it often takes a long time to get it done. So, is there some way that if we, we, we know what we sort of want here, so is there a way to get the RFQ- RFP out on the street quickly and have a quick turnaround time, so we're not bleeding into the legislative session? Cause I think we need to know, and get somebody moving, so that there are ideas, recommendations, etcetera, by the legislative session.

KING

So, Commissioners, um, in terms of time, it's probably easily going to be from the time we start developing some sort of scope of work, get it out there on the street, allow for, um, those who are interested in submitting for an RFQ or an RFP, um, allowing time for them to submit back and then doing an evaluation. You you're easily looking at two months, at least.

GIBSON

Well, two months is nothing in government.

KIRKPATRICK

So, but let me be clear though, that's a RFQ. So, what we, and basically, and, and we did this, and I don't remember who was on the Board with us when we did it, on affordable housing options that were out there. And we basically said, give us a proposal of what you can do. And here are some basics that you want. And then it gave us the ability, and the beauty of it is it doesn't just disqualify anybody. It gives you a list of who can do what and sometimes, and what, that's, where we kind of came up with the whole partnership on the affordable housing, because they couldn't necessarily do the recreation component and the housing. So, we partnered people together. So, I just think a RFQ, and we could have some basics and, even if it's three months, that takes

KIRKPATRICK

us right to the fiscal budget. And then we could, um, then we could kind of somewhat plan on where we gotta start, how many bodies that we need out of the marijuana money. And then we'll have still six months to the legislature to see what that is. And I, I would like a presentation myself on all of these different pieces and not just, I, I think it's a good thing to do. We just gotta do it right. Or it'll, it be a never-ending money pit.

GIBSON

So, the, um, I, I guess in fairness to Commissioner Segerblom, and, for that matter Code for America, we probably should have gone down this road when we last heard this item. Today, we had two items on a half-a-million dollars in grant money we would be spending to, uh, do the work of cleaning up people's, um, records. Uh, it makes sense to do this. I mean, I, this is the way to, I mean, and eventually, if we could persuade the legislature to follow what some of our neighboring states have done, it would dramatically change this arena. But I think that under the circumstances we ought to charge the staff. And I, I don't know what kind of action this is. I don't think that's an action item. I think we ought to charge the staff to, um, immediately move forward to put together, uh, a request for, um, qualifications. Um, the, the, the, you know, an RFP is something we have to put together. I would, I would, I think it would be better for someone to... for us to determine who ought to be helping us understand what we ought to be asking for. And that's what I think we get when we do an RFQ. So, I... Commissioner Segerblom, I- I don't think anyone here wants to strip this away from you.

SEGERBLOM

Yeah.

GIBSON

But I think that the concern is that we could, we could probably come to a consensus if we could really do a- a- a very, um, focused, um, request for a quota- for qualifications, from people who are qualified in the area, we might find all kinds of folks. We might find that Code for America really is the only one. They're the one that is around the world, but in order to get this going. And then I think the other piece of this is, um, we would probably need help in getting something drafted. And these folks have worked in other states where there are laws that have been approved. I think that there could be great value in doing that, but I- I think the- the timing is probably critical to us. Just, it's very critical, but we can't take six months or a year or something. I mean, we got a legislative session coming up. So, our goal ought to be to try and get something and get someone engaged and get after this. Uh, and-

KING

And Commissioners keep in mind, um, we- we probably, within the next couple months will start a conversation with the Board with regard to what our bill draft looks like. Um, that's due... believe it's maybe the first part of September, we usually approve it sometime in August. So, um, that's coming sooner rather than later because of the timeline that we will be required, if that is what the board would like to do is to include that as part of, um, or as one of our BDRs.

GIBSON

Okay.

KIRKPATRICK

I think we should. (laughs)

GIBSON

Commissioner Segerblom, I mean you put this item on, you kinda got ambushed, so, still alive?

SEGERBLOM Kind of I'm still here. Well, anyway, um, I- I- I can read the handwriting, so I- I will say this. I just, I think it's important to try to get something before the legislative session. I- I'm sure we can get a BDR with the, any one of the committees up there, but it's just, we- we can't afford another two-year cycle. I mean, if we're gonna do this, let's just do it. And so, I think this is the best group, but they, if they can apply going down the road and we give the staff direction to please come back in two months with something, then that would give us time to get somebody hopefully by December and Code for America, please don't, um, please realize that this is the legislative process, and- and we're not saying no to you. We're just saying, um, hang in there and don't- take any other states

GIBSON We appreciate very much, uh, your participation in our meeting today.

KIRKPATRICK So- so, can I ask Miss King, so, as far as direction, so, the direction is for staff to do an RFQ, um, within the next few months to... on record sealing as a whole. Correct? So, that's, um, tell us everything that they have including, um, automatic, um, wiping this and will that include that... Um, I don't, I don't, um, necessarily wanna say mandating, but will that include that the, uh, Metro and the DA's, and the municipalities, uh, regional approach or... Cause I think that we gotta somehow, um, figure out what they need to work with those. So, we can and include that in the final, in a, in a final qualification or something.

GIBSON So, we, we might get bogged down and make this too broad if we're not careful. I mean, at the end of the day, it, I think it oughta be limited to, um, automated record sealing.

KIRKPATRICK I'm fine with that, but at some point I wanna do a second one then-

GIBSON Yeah.

LOGSDON -so that we can actually have a record sealing program. And do you wanna limit it only to cannabis or?

GIBSON O- only to what?

LOGSDON To cannabis or to marijuana or? (inaudible)

SEGERBLOM Well, the- the reality is, the problem is, you know, there's a lot of these convictions are not cannabis in the name. So, I think if you focus on misdemeanors, then we can separate it out when we get there. But I don't think you wanna just say cannabis to start with. Cause that's one thing we discovered is that- that a lot of the- the people plead guilty to things other than marijuana offenses.

LOGSDON Okay.

GIBSON We're not gonna say another word on this item.

SEGERBLOM A- and thanks, thanks to the Commission, for, for listening to me. I- I think everyone agrees what we're trying to do. So, um, thank you. And thank you to Code America.

TORAN-BURRELL And thank you so much for the time. No, we- we so appreciate the time of the

TORAN-BURRELL

Commission. Thank you.

GIBSON

Thank you.

**ACTION**

No action was taken by the Board.,

**ITEM NO. 53** Discuss amending the Clark County Burglar Alarm Code (Chapter 6.76) to update terminology for electronic alarm systems; add definitions and clarify duties of an electronic security services provider. (For possible action)

KING

Thank you. Um, so moving on Commissioners, we have Item Number 53, which is to discuss amending the Clark County Burglar Alarm Code, chapter 6.76 to update terminology for electronic alarm systems, ad definitions and clarify duties of an electronic securities, um, services provider. This was Commissioner Kirkpatrick's request.

KIRKPATRICK

Thank you. Um, Mister Chairman. So back in, uh, 2019, 2020, the, um, burglar alarm folks came to me, and we were in the middle of COVID so I kind of pushed 'em off for a long time. Uh, but really this is updating the terminology, making it clear that how they report with, uh, Metro. Um, so I'd like to just bring an ordinance to kind of update everything. And if I wait too long, it was ten years before it might be (laughs) another ten. So, as I told you, I had that checklist of everything I'm trying to clean up. So, it's up to you guys.

GIBSON

Thank you. Vince, did you have something you want to add to this?

VINCENT QUEANO

Yes. Uh, morning, Mister Chair, Members of the Commission, Vince Queano, Director of Business License. So, I just wanted to do a follow up on, uh, what Commissioner Kirkpatrick stated, um, the proposed amendments to update the burglar alarm provisions, uh, to- to keep the terminology and the requirements in line with current industry practices. Some of the changes include changing the title of the code from "burglar alarm systems" to "electronic security system", and also to add terminology and definitions such as security guard, security cameras and life safety system. There's also a requirement that's currently not in the code that requires burglar alarm companies to notify the end user when there's a burglar alarm system, um, burglar alarm signal. And if that notification is unsuccessful, then the burglar alarm companies would be required to dispatch an employee to the location of the alarm signal and then notify the police department depending on the outcome of the site visit. And finally, there's, uh, there's an inconsistency for the standards of denial in the work identification card, denial code 6.10.040 and the burglar alarm code employee section 6.7690 regarding felonies and crimes of moral turpitude, Uh, 6.10.04, which was updated in 2012 sets timeframes for when those convictions would go stale. Whereas 6.76.090, which was updated in 1970 does not. So, the recommendation would be to update the denial standard of 6.76090 and have it referred back to 6.10.040 as the controlling language. Thank you.

KIRKPATRICK

Okay.

GIBSON

Thank you.

KIRKPATRICK

Can we bring an ordinance, everybody good with it?

GIBSON Yeah.

KIRKPATRICK Okay. Bring an ordinance. (laughs)

GIBSON You got your marching orders.

QUEANO Thank you.

GIBSON That was clear. That was short. If we could deal more with burglars than, than arrest records, we'd... All right. Thank you.

QUEANO Thank you.

**ACTION** No action taken by the Board.

**ITEM NO. 54** Discuss amending Title 30 to clarify the standards for acceptance for administrative review applications and public hearing requirements for cannabis establishments. (For possible action) Note: Item No. 54 will be trailed to the Wednesday, March 16, 2022, Board of County Commissioners Zoning Meeting.

**ACTION** Deleted from the agenda (held to April 5, 2022)

KING All right, commissioners as noted at the beginning of the meet- meeting Item 54, um, has been held until the, um, April 5th Board County Commissioners meeting Item 55 are your closed-door items. We do not have any closed-door items with this point. You can go into the second portion of the public comment.

**ITEM NO. 55** Go into closed session, pursuant to NRS 241.015(3)(b)(2), to receive information from the District Attorney regarding potential or existing litigation involving a matter over which the Board has supervision, control, jurisdiction or advisory power, and to deliberate toward a decision on the matter, and pursuant to NRS Chapter 288.220, to receive a report on the status of ongoing labor negotiations; and direct staff accordingly. (For possible action)

**ACTION** No closed session held - no action was taken by the Board.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

GIBSON This is the second public comment, period. We'd invite anyone who wishes to make a comment to come forward. State your name, spell your last name, and please limit your comments to three minutes. We have, uh, a card from Mike Wolther.

MIKE WOLTHER I think this gentleman. Sir, I think this gentleman wants to go first.

BOB WENGERT Hi guys.

GIBSON That's fine.

WENGERT How you doing?

GIBSON Good. How are you?

WENGERT Good. Hi Mike. Um, my name is Bob Wengert W-E-N-G-E-R-T. Um, the thing up there that logo, um, Airbnb, if they put that on the rentals, they could probably charge more money as a certified, uh, rental that they have power,

WENGERT

water, all the things that you want to do. And, uh, if they don't comply, they could lose it. And basically, not charge an increased rent to the people that are acquiring their services. It's just something that's valuable. Second, uh, order for Jim. Um, our group is already working with San Diego and San Francisco to end their drought. Um, we have a real advantage here in California, needs a lot of water and they get 4.3-million-acre feet a year from the Colorado River B... uh, we were no, excuse me, I forget the group. But anyway. Um, we get 300,000-acre feet. What if California got all the water they need and they didn't need that 4.4-million-acre feet, and we kept it in a dam for us. That means every year we keep it. We have fourteen more years of water at the current rate of consumption. That's a good thing. The technology is an offshoot of Area 51 plasma technology, and we turn ocean water into plasma of hydrogen oxygen, burn that in a rocket motor at 384,000 pounds a thrust run multiple gigawatt generators, So, what happens is instead of using reverse osmosis, which is expensive, a lot of power waste and the water's not that really healthy, we take water by breaking it into hydrogen, oxygen and we burn it. You get pure water and then you add whatever minerals you want to it for human consumption, plant growth, whatever. But the interesting thing of technology makes a hundred million gallons an hour from the ocean, a hundred million gallons per hour, and is an offshoot of that, which is really cool. It also gives you 10 gigawatts of power. That's almost twice what the Hoover Dam is making now because the water level is so low that the turbines are only making 60% of the potential power. So, um, Mike, I know you sometimes we can get together, but I'd like all the help we can get, cause we have to get Utah, Nevada, California, Mexico, Arizona, and Texas to work together. And a beautiful thing about this \$10 billion profit, excuse me... Uh, 10 gigawatts is it's worth hundreds of millions of dollars in savings to the California water users and energy people. And it still makes a hell of, it still makes a hell of a profit and that we can share that revenue and pay to do all these wonderful things you wanna do. So, I'm Bob. I'm outta here.

GIBSON

Thank you, Bob.

WENGERT

Got you guys. Oh, and we're funded.

WOLTHER

Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Mike Wolther, uh, 1325 North Los Meadows drive. I am the president of the Squire Village HOA for the last 12 years, although I do no longer live at Squire Village, my elementary age grandchildren and my granddaughter in-law do live in my property there. Our 238 home gated communities starts behind the 2.8 acre site leading into the Wetlands Preserve Park. As I hope you know by now, I and my associates have been petitioning the Board of Commissioners for several months to encourage you to obtain the small parcel of land for the much-needed safe metro and county school bus stop with shaded picnic tables and public bathrooms. Also, there would be room for a nice walking path for dogs and people. May be a neighborhood basketball court as well. And approximately twenty-six extra parking spots for the weekend overflow traffic at wetlands park. I and my associates were informed that the Venture Capitalist LLC that has previously produced two totally unacceptable proposes has refiled and is trying to, again, secure the land and possibly a zone change and is planning on going forward. It would be very disappointing to all of the neighborhoods that would benefit from our proposal acquisition by the Commissioners, our proposed act positioned by the Commissioners. I am a retired professional realtor. One of the historic underpinnings of all land transactions is to be for,

WOLTHER

quote, "The best and highest use for the property and for the surrounding community." The use that I and my neighborhood associates are proposing in what we've been calling the "win, win, win proposal" is such a plan. We have asked all of the commissioners to take the time and effort to personally look at the piece of property as you will probably be voting soon on the ability to go forward.

This less than three acres of property is the cornerstone entryway to one of the County's only fee free multimillion dollar complex attractions. Yet there is no metro bus that goes there less than a mile away from the entrance. So, it makes it unattainable to see for much of the City and the Counties residents, unless they have a car, insurance, and gas to visit the free of charge community resource. The time is running out on our community to have what other communities already have. We are the unincorporated zone, yet our tab office has not been allowed to enter an opinion to the Commissioners as our community's real need to help our, uh... as our communities real need to help the guide the Commissioner's future. And by that fact, the power of our unincorporated community, citizens, and voters will be denied a very valuable and much needed asset for our community. Please get this land acquisition done for our community and for the good of all of the citizens of Clark County. Thank you for your consideration. Please visit the site and see what it's going on time is of the essence. Thank you.

GIBSON

Thank you, Mister Wolther. In fact, I'm pleased that you're associated with this effort because you're a realtor. You have the background, and you understand the complexity of the fact that there is a land purchase contract between two people. Uh, the owner is not free to do anything with that property until such time as that property's freed up from the authority that the, that the contrary the purchase contract and the options give him. Just so you know. I mean, we... I think I've told you before, I like the idea. It's something that we'd love to be able to do. Um, but getting in the middle of that private contract is not something that we can do, and it will be important for you to help your members understand your friends and those who are working on this effort to understand those legal complexities. And I- I make this comment, your time's up, my time's up but I just wanted you to understand. Thank you.

WOLTHER

No, but I appreciate your feedback, thank you.

GIBSON

Thank you, very much.

WOLTHER

I do have one- one brief rebuttal of that if that's okay.

GIBSON

Well, yes.

WOLTHER

Less than 30 seconds. What- what we're... well, what we're, what we're asking you to do is that if it's the wrong piece for the wrong place, you have a right to turn down that. That's why they have to come to you. It's the wrong piece for the wrong place. You gentlemen in the other, in the other neighborhoods have a place for this place. This guy wants to spend his money. He... we have a thousand brand new homes put in at the- the Links Golf Course now. We don't need that three-acre stuff with too much junk.

GIBSON

Thank you, sir.

WOLTHER

Thank you.

GIBSON

Good afternoon.

DANIEL BRAISTED

Good afternoon. Um, Chairman and- and, uh, Commission Daniel Braisted. B-R-A-I-S-T-E-D. Reference the comments about the traffic deaths. I requested a third party, um, review the disposition of tickets for those that were ticketed for doing seventy miles an hour or above for being reckless drivers, DUIs. And see if there is a pattern as to which judges and which attorneys, and drivers and repeat offenders if there is a correlation.

Uh, second item. Today, there was a mention again, that we need money for continuing to finance, uh, rent and help people in the County. Again, that's not in the constitution. That's, uh, (phone rings) something that we keep doing... Well, there goes fifty minutes. Uh, so in order, I... we keep having activities to- to entertain barbecues, sports, movies, food trucks, and all, but when are we gonna have more instruction for your constituents on how to set up additional sources of income? I have mentioned more than once that the Kardashians made, raised two hundred million dollars in four days, and nobody has contacted me as how they did it. I would've contacted the president of the community college and said, contact the Karda- figure out how they do it, and tell us when you can teach us how they did it. Now we mentioned short-term rentals and we, uh, mentioned the- the job fair was just announced as steps that can probably help this, but people need to learn to develop multiple sources of income, especially passive income by spending money on items that produce cash flow. We need to educate your constituents, that you're gonna spend money on that, but it's not gonna make any money back to you. Ask your constituents if their employers offer a suggestion program and to coach them on how to take advantage of it. And then ask your employers to consider offering suggestion programs. That's good for business and that's good for your community. And then in your, in your districts offer expose in your districts, that feature businesses that are offering affiliate marketing opportunities that- that the people in the community could help promote their products. I mean, like the marshmallow people, I mean, have some way that somebody could quickly send some marshmallows to somebody across the- the country and make some money. Learn something about patents. I think you've got this flyer here. I'm giving a patent talk, uh, next Tuesday. I've offered to give that talk free to your districts; I haven't heard anything about it but should consider it. And there is a way to finance patents through a pro d- pro bono program. And then two conventions are listed on this, the hardware show and the NAB, and their sources to go and learn and make some more money. Thank you.

GIBSON

Thank you, sir.

UEHLING

Don't worry. I have my phone turned off. (laughs) Uh, uh, I want to comment on the, uh, on the, um, short-term rental, uh, item, because it looks like, uh, well, from my point of view, having lived here a number of years, um, the really attractive feature of Las Vegas is its freedom. It's known all over the world as a place of freedom and I can... I've observed and can certainly observe today that we're headed toward, away from freedom and toward a police state, really. We're going to hire thousands of, uh, hundreds of, uh, of, um, code enforcement officers to make sure that this black market that you're creating is not going to exist. Um, and I think that's, uh, written in the cards and that's one of the reasons why I'm- I'm planning to leave the city.

UEHLING

Uh, and another feature of what's going on is that the, uh, the people who are against something are being given, uh, the- the authority over it. And, and, uh, I think back when I was a kid, six, seven years old, and, um, the first casino was built, the Flamingo. Bugsy Siegel built the casino, I remember that night. And, uh, and, uh, at the same time, uh, pe- women were coming from all over the Country here to get no fault divorces. And until the 60s, you could not even say the word divorce at least in- in my environment. Uh, I lived in Boulder City. And, uh, and yet if- if the people had been, if the people had been given the power, the, uh, to- to decide whether people could have divorces or not, they certainly would've said no. If people had been given, if my mother had been given the authority to say, well, should we have casinos? Should we build all these houses in Las Vegas? She, uh, she would've said, "No." She probably gossiped with tur- Tick's mom about how they were building all these casinos and bringing all these criminals in and, and, uh, and, uh, and- and doing this that they'll never be able to fill the casinos. That was the most common phrase that I heard when I was, when I was a kid. And, uh, I- I think it's, um, it's, uh, something that you should consider. Uh, certainly, uh, the way that prostitution in the city has been handled doesn't work. Nev-Las Vegas is the prostitution capital of the world virtually, and, uh, yet, uh, yet, uh, you- you, uh, (laughs) it's against the law (Laughs). So, uh, when- when you have these basic things, like people hosting other people in their homes is a basic thing, uh, and then disturb them. You're creating a black market.

GIBSON

Thank you, Mr. Uehling

JERRY XIONG

Hello Commissioners. Uh, so I'm a legal host in a different state that went through the same transition that you guys have gone through.

GIBSON

Tell us who you are and what's-

XIONG

My name is Jerry.

GIBSON

And your last name.

XIONG

Is X-I-O-N-G.

GIBSON

Thank you.

XIONG

Yeah, so I live here now and I mean, I already love Vegas as is, but I just wanna address a few things that you guys discussed concerning the nuisance and party houses. I can speak for a lot of hosts that I know that we don't want parties to occur by our place. There's damage, there's disturbance towards neighbors. I think the vast majority of hosts... party house is not our goal. And with, um, noise monitoring software, we can be able to address any concerns that our neighbors have. And I- I do believe that neighbors should have like a say in the licensing pro- process and be notified should a property in their vicinity be approved for a license. But the thing is, everyone's making a huge deal about parties, but in Ohio, which where I operated in over two thousand guests, I only had the police called on the property for two times. And it was for a domestic disturbance that was not a nuisance to a neighbor. And then, uh, another thing I wanted to talk about is the fairness of a lottery system is just because there... you guys know, there's a lot of hosts here that are already operating outside of the confines of the law. Is it just whoever shows up first and then puts their name in a lottery system? What happens to the other hosts?

XIONG

So, um, other than that, it's like right now, there's already illegals operating. I mean, if you guys have like an ordinance in place, how, I mean, are they gonna just quit? The illegals are going to still be illegal. So, I think there should be a little bit more lax requirements for hosts that are willing to follow rules that the County establishes. So, just cause I didn't get choosed in a lottery, it doesn't mean I shouldn't have the opportunity to make money as a resident of Nevada. And uh, having... me having a twenty-four-hour point of contact is one of the most important things that you guys should be considering its just because it's in my own interest to prevent disturbances for my neighbors. And then, um, and then just to address the affordable housing crisis, I mean I moved here just four years ago, so I know how much prices have gone up in the past few years, but there should be different rules for properties that are above a certain price point on the tax assessment. Because if it's a million-dollar house, you don't have a right to a mansion, but you do have a right to affordable living. So, under a certain point, it's like you shouldn't allow Airbnb like in the condos and apartments, but if it's like a twelve- bedroom mansion, there shouldn't be the same regulation for that. So, I just want you guys to make that consideration when like creating this ordinance coming up. Thank you.

GIBSON

Thank you for your input. That's on our record and we'll...be- we're aware of it now. Thank you. Hi.

WALTER SEIP

I'm Walter Seip, S-E-I-P, from Sunrise Manor. First, thank you. Some you may recall my presentation in June of 2020 advising of the science pointing to the inability of even N 95 masks to filter COVID aerosols. Thankfully none of your predecessors overly supported Governor Sisolak's mandate. Interestingly Commissioner Segerblom about the time of the Carson City edicts suggested to a group of Sunrise Manor Residents that we should ignore one of the governor's orders. About three weeks later, I was waiting in a repair shop. No employees were wearing masks and I counted the next eighteen customers weren't neither. Most folks knew the score from jump street. Now election integrity in three parts. First, why are in-person voters required to scribble their signature on a hackable computer tablet, both NRS 293 and AB 321 require voters to sign on the county roster. That tablet holder is the sole determiner of whether a person's ineligible scroll warrants access to a voting machine. She or he hopefully has had the AB 321 mandated signature matching training, probably more instruction I got as the defense department inspector general. Please direct your election department to determine the legality of using computer tablets. The 2000 E-sign act, notwithstanding. That act dictates that everyone must agree to e-sign, and I do not.

Second, a suggestion to all those watching. Search the website of Runbeck Election Services, seemingly the company that will print our 2022 election ballots. On it, Runbeck, R-U-N-B-E-C-K.net is a vis- video narrated by its CEO, which describes how ballots are produced. The eight-and-a-half-minute video convinced me that no elected integrity issues could emanate from Runbeck.

And third, pertaining to the alleged issues with the county's roster. Almost a year ago, I downloaded the roster from handout, Horsford's CD-4. I sampled almost th- 5,000 items and on that master part of the roster and found no duplicates. I also found that a lot of people that had passed were also been deleted, but I couldn't quantify the asserted existence of deceitful delivery addresses perhaps as many as 8,000 in Nevada. My recommendation: task your

SEIP election department to coordinate with the U.S. Postal Service, specifically to request that the names and addresses of the voters on the county roster be subjected to the USPS's coding accuracy support system. It can verify whether or not ballots will be deliverable. In summary, thanks for soft peddling an effective mask mandate. Do visit the Runbeck ballot video and direct your election department to cease using computer tablets and to scrub the county roster using UPS's system. Thank you.

GIBSON Thank you, sir.

SEIP I'd like a copy of this attached to your minutes.

GIBSON Yes. We'll have someone over here pick that up from you.

SEIP You got it. Thank you.

GIBSON Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak during public comment? There being no one, this meeting is recessed until tomorrow morning at 9:00 A.M. Thank you.

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, at the hour of 12:52 p.m., Chair Gibson recessed the meeting to the hour of 9:00 A.M. on Wednesday, March 16, 2022 for the Zoning agenda.

APPROVED:

/s/ James B. Gibson  
JAMES B. GIBSON, CHAIR

ATTEST:

/s/ Lynn Marie Goya  
LYNN MARIE GOYA, COUNTY CLERK