

CLARK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ZONING / SUBDIVISIONS / LAND USE
AGENDA ITEM

Petitioner: Nancy A. Amundsen, Director, Department of Comprehensive Planning

Recommendation: ORD-21-900341: Introduce an ordinance to consider adoption of a Development Agreement with Roohani Ramak for a residential subdivision (Duneville and Oleta) on 4.1 acres, generally located east and west of Duneville Street and north of Oleta Avenue within Enterprise. MN/ab (For possible action)

FISCAL IMPACT:

None by this action.

BACKGROUND:

The Board of County Commissioners (Board) approved a land use application ZC-0274-17 for a residential subdivision (Duneville and Oleta) on 4.1 acres, generally located east and west of Duneville Street and north of Oleta Avenue within Enterprise. Conditions of approval included the developer and/or owner entering into a Development Agreement prior to any permits being issued in order to provide their fair-share contribution towards public infrastructure necessary to provide service in the southwest portion of the Las Vegas Valley.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 278.0203 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, a Development Agreement must be approved by ordinance.

Staff recommends the Board set a public hearing for July 21, 2021.

Cleared For Agenda
07/07/21

BILL NO. _____

SUMMARY - An ordinance to adopt the Development Agreement with Roohani Ramak for a residential subdivision (Duneville and Oleta) on 4.1 acres, generally located east and west of Duneville Street and north of Oleta Avenue within Enterprise.

ORDINANCE NO. _____
(of Clark County, Nevada)

AN ORDINANCE TO ADOPT THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH ROOHANI RAMAK FOR A RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION (DUNEVILLE AND OLETA) ON 4.1 ACRES, GENERALLY LOCATED EAST AND WEST OF DUNEVILLE STREET AND NORTH OF OLETA AVENUE WITHIN ENTERPRISE, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO.

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA, DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 278.0203 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 30.20 of the Clark County Code, the Development Agreement with Roohani Ramak for a residential subdivision (Duneville and Oleta) on 4.1 acres, generally located east and west of Duneville Street and north of Oleta Avenue within Enterprise, is hereby adopted.

SECTION 2. If any section of this ordinance or portion thereof is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such holding shall not invalidate the remaining parts of this ordinance.

SECTION 3. All ordinances, parts of ordinances, chapters, sections, subsections, clauses, phrases or sentences contained in the Clark County Code in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and the publication thereof by title only, together with names of the County Commissioners voting for or against its passage, in a newspaper published in and having a general circulation in Clark County, Nevada, at least once a week for a period of two (2) weeks and shall be effective on and from the fifteenth day after passage.

PROPOSED on the _____ day of _____, 2021

INTRODUCED by: _____

PASSED on the _____ day of _____, 2021

VOTE:

AYES: _____

NAYS: _____

ABSTAINING:

ABSENT:

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

By: _____
MARILYN K. KIRKPATRICK, Chair

ATTEST:

Lynn Marie Goya, County Clerk

This ordinance shall be in force and effect from and after the _____ day
of _____ 2021.

APN(s): **176-24-201-048 and 049**
Please Return to: Joel McCulloch
Comprehensive Planning Department
1st Floor, Clark County Government Center
500 Grand Central Parkway
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

THE COUNTY OF CLARK

AND

ROOHANI RAMAK

FOR

DUNEVILLE AND OLETA

ORD-21-900341

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into by and between the *County of Clark, State of Nevada* (hereinafter referred to as the "County") and **ROOHANI RAMAK** the Owner(s) of the real property described on Exhibit "A" attached hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "Owner") and incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 1 – DEFINITIONS

1.01 Definitions. For all purposes of this Agreement, except as otherwise expressly provided or unless the context otherwise requires, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

- (a) "Agreement" has the meaning assigned to it in the first paragraph hereof. Agreement at any given time includes all addenda and exhibits incorporated by reference and all amendments, which have become effective as of such time.
- (b) "Applicable Rules" means and refers to the following:
 - (i) The specific code, ordinances, rules, regulations and official policies of the County as adopted and in force at the time of permit issuance or map recordation and as amended from time to time, regarding planning, zoning, subdivisions, timing and phasing of development, permitted uses of the Subject Property, density, design, and improvement standards and specifications applicable to the Planned Community, including the Public Facilities Needs Assessment Report, and the fees incorporated herein, except that:
 - (1) The fees required in the County Code specifically for the Major Projects shall *not* apply to the Planned Community, unless and until the parties agree that the development of the Planned Community will be processed as a Major Project;
 - and
 - (2) The zoning established by the Concurrent Approvals will not be amended or modified during the term of this Agreement without Owner's prior written approval.
- (c) "Best Efforts" means, in the case of any contingent obligation of County or Owner, that the party so obligated will make a good faith effort to accomplish the stated goal, task, project or promised performance, provided such term does not imply a legal obligation to take any specific action if:
 - (i) In the case of a County obligation, such action would, in the reasoned opinion of the County Commission, be imprudent given competing public needs and projects; or
 - (ii) In the case of an Owner obligation, such action would, in the reasoned opinion of the Owner, be commercially unreasonable.

In either case, upon request, the responsible party shall give written notice to the other party that it has considered such contingent obligation and the reason for its decision not to perform.

- (d) "Builder" means any person or entity, which constructs final improvements (other than off-site improvements or infrastructure) with respect to a subdivision or parcel of the Subject Property.
- (e) "CCRFCD" means the Clark County Regional Flood Control District.
- (f) "Code" means the Clark County Code, including all rules, regulations, standards, criteria, manuals and other references adopted herein.
- (g) "Concurrent Approvals" means the zoning, land use or map approvals and authorizations, relating to the subject property, together with the applicable conditions, as granted by the County Commission, including without limitation those approvals and conditions of approval per **NZC-0274-17**, the Agenda Sheet, Notice of Final Action and agenda map attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and incorporated herein by this reference.
- (h) "County" means the County of Clark, State of Nevada together with its successors and assigns.
- (i) "County Commission" means the Board of County Commissioners or Planning Commission of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- (j) "County Master Plan" means the comprehensive plan adopted by the County Commission in 1983 and all amendments thereto including, but not limited to, all adopted land use, development guides and elements, including the land use and development guide and the general plan map for unincorporated portions of the Las Vegas Valley adopted by the County Commission on January 24, 1974, except as amended by the adoption of more recent plans in effect as of the Effective Date.
- (k) "Development Agreement Ordinance" means Chapter 30.20 of the Clark County Unified Development Code (Title 30) along with any other Chapters of the Clark County Code that are relevant to this agreement.
- (l) "Effective Date" means the date, on or after the adoption by the County Commission, of an ordinance approving execution of this Agreement whereas the Agreement has been executed and signed by both parties, that this Agreement is recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.
- (m) "NDOT" means Nevada Department of Transportation.
- (n) "NRS" means Nevada Revised Statutes.
- (o) "PFNA" means the Southwest Las Vegas Valley Public Facilities Needs Assessment Report, dated December 1, 2000, incorporated herein by this reference and approved by the County Commission on January 2, 2001.
- (p) "Planned Community" means the Subject Property and the proposed development of the Subject Property described in this Agreement.
- (q) "Street Improvements" means public or private facilities that may include but are not limited to fire hydrants, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, pavement, gravel, aggregate base, streetlights, street name signs, traffic signals and signs, pavement markings, other applicable traffic control

devices, survey monuments, flood control and drainage facilities which are permitted within public rights-of-way as required by the County.

(r) "Subject Property" means that certain real property, which Owner owns or has the right to acquire, located in the County and more particularly described on Exhibit "A".

(s) "Term" means the term of this Agreement together with any extension agreed upon pursuant to Section 7.02 hereof.

SECTION 2 – RECITAL OF PREMISES, PURPOSE AND INTENT

2.01 Recitals. This Agreement is predicated upon the following facts and findings:

(a) Statutory Authorization. The County is authorized, pursuant to NRS §278.0201 through 278.0207, inclusive, to enter into binding Development Agreements with persons having a legal or equitable interest in real property to establish long range plans for the development of such property.

(b) Ownership Interest. Owner represents that it has, will acquire, or has the right to acquire, fee title ownership of the Subject Property.

(c) County Authorization, Hearing and Ordinance. All preliminary processing with regard to the Planned Community has been duly completed in conformance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The County Commission, having given notice as required by law, held a public hearing on Owner's application seeking approval of the form of this Agreement and the execution hereof by the County. At the described meeting, the County Commission found that this Agreement is consistent with the County's plans, policies and regulations, including the County Master Plan, that the Agreement meets the requirements of Title 30 of the Clark County Code, and that the execution hereof by and on behalf of the County is in the public interest and is lawful in all respects. During the same meeting at which the public hearing was held, the County Commission adopted the Ordinance approving this Agreement and authorizing the execution hereof by duly constituted officers of the County. Said ordinance was scheduled to be effective two weeks after adoption. County agrees to record a certified copy of the ordinance as required by NRS §278.0207.

(d) County Intent. The County desires to enter into this Agreement in conformity with the requirements of NRS, and as otherwise permitted by law, and this Agreement to provide for public services; public uses and urban infrastructure; to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the County and its inhabitants; to minimize uncertainty in planning for and securing orderly development of the Planned Community and surrounding areas; to insure attainment of the maximum efficient utilization of resources within the County at the least economic cost to its citizens; and to otherwise achieve the goals and purposes for which the State statute and County ordinance authorizing Development Agreements were enacted.

(e) Owner Intent. In accordance with the legislative intent evidenced by NRS §278.0201 through §278.0207, inclusive, authorizing Development Agreements and the intent of the County in adopting an ordinance allowing Development Agreements, Owner wishes to obtain reasonable assurances that Owner may develop the Planned Community in accordance with the conditions established in this Agreement. Owner acknowledges that there are insufficient public services, which includes facilities and infrastructure, existing or planned at this time. In order to develop the subject property, Owner is willing to enter into this Development Agreement in order to pay Owner's fair share of the costs to provide certain public services, facilities, and infrastructure in

the area of this Planned Community. Owner further acknowledges that this Agreement was made a part of the County Record at the time of its approval by the County Commission and that the Owner agrees without protest to the requirements, limitations, or conditions imposed by this Agreement and the Concurrent Approvals.

(f) Acknowledgment of Uncertainties. The parties acknowledge that circumstances beyond the control of either party could defeat their mutual intent that the Planned Community be developed in the manner contemplated by this Agreement. Among such circumstances is the unavailability of water or other limited natural resources, federal regulation of air and water quality, and similar conditions. Owner recognizes that water shortages could affect the County's ability to perform its obligations hereunder. Owner further acknowledges and agrees this Agreement does not relieve the Owner from compliance with existing, changed, modified or amended rules regulations, laws, ordinances, resolutions, fees codes, etc., of other governmental agencies. Such rules, regulations, laws, ordinances, resolutions, fees, codes, etc. of governmental entities must be complied with by the Owner and are not locked in nor a part of this Agreement. It is not the intent of the parties nor shall this Section be construed as excusing the County of any obligation hereunder or depriving Owner of any right under this Agreement, which can be performed.

(g) Provision of Water and Sewer Service. Owner clearly understands and agrees that, amongst other requirements, water commitment and sanitary sewer system development approval must be obtained from the proper governmental entities namely the Las Vegas Valley Water District and the Clark County Water Reclamation District. Fees and services for such commitments and systems are established by said governmental entities and must be paid and complied with by the Owner in accordance with said governmental entities requirements as amended from time to time. This Agreement or the County does not guarantee or provide the provision of water and sewer services.

2.02 Incorporation of Recitals. The foregoing recitals shall be deemed true and correct in all respects with respect to this Agreement and shall serve as the basis for the interpretation of this Agreement.

2.03 Permitted Uses, Density, Height and Size of Structures. Pursuant to NRS §278.0201 and the Code, this Agreement must set forth the maximum height and size of structures to be constructed on the Subject Property, the density of uses and the permitted uses of the land. County agrees the Planned Community may be developed to the density and with the land uses set forth in the Land Use and Development Guide/Plan, along with the development standards set forth in the Concurrent Approvals and the Applicable Rules.

SECTION 3 – DEVELOPMENT OF THE PLANNED COMMUNITY

3.01 Time for Construction and Completion of the Planned Community. Subject to the terms of this Agreement and Applicable Rules, Owner shall have discretion as to the time of commencement, construction, phasing, and completion of any and all development of the Planned Community. Nothing herein shall be construed to require the Owner to develop the Planned Community or any part thereof.

3.02 Reliance on Concurrent Approvals and Applicable Rules. County hereby agrees that Owner will be permitted to carry out and complete the entire Planned Community in accordance with the uses and densities set forth in the Concurrent Approvals subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and the Applicable Rules. Pursuant to the terms of this Agreement and subject to Owner's infrastructure obligations described in this Agreement, the development of the Planned Community may proceed as if all of it were in an area designated "Community District 2" notwithstanding that portions of the Planned Community which otherwise have the characteristics of "Community District 3".

3.03 Air Quality Conformity. Owner acknowledges County has adopted an air quality plan and agrees to comply with the applicable provisions thereof, including any state and federal rules and regulations.

3.04 Dust Mitigation. Owner will educate builders and contractors within the Planned Community of the applicable rules of the Clark County Department of Air Quality & Environmental Management with respect to dust mitigation and will encourage compliance therewith.

3.05 Water Conservation. Owner agrees to encourage water conservation in the Planned Community. Owner agrees to design any open space using the best available, water conserving techniques, including but not limited to proper soil preparation and water conserving irrigation systems and equipment. Landscaping adjacent to public streets shall be limited to water conserving plant materials.

3.06 Temporary Storm Water Construction Permit. Owner agrees to educate builders and contractors within the Planned Community on the requirements for a Temporary Storm Water Construction Permit issued from the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP).

SECTION 4 – PUBLIC FACILITIES

4.01 Public Facilities. Owner agrees that prior to issuance of any building permit for a single family dwelling, multiple family dwelling, retail, office, industrial or hotel use in the Planned Community, they will pay the fees as set forth in the Public Facilities Chart below, hereinafter referred to as Chart 4.01-A, except as modified by this Section 4.01.

In addition, the fees set forth in Chart 4.01-A below may be increased or decreased from time to time during the term of this Agreement if the modified fees are uniformly applied to all development and construction within the Public Facilities Needs Assessment area. The County and Owner agree that any fee modifications shall be applied only for building permits not yet issued. Owner and the County will not be entitled to any payment or reimbursements for fees paid for building permits issued prior to any such fee modification.

CHART 4.01-A PUBLIC FACILITIES CHART			
Type of Development	Infrastructure Category		Total
	Parks	Public Safety¹	
Single Family Dwelling Unit (per dwelling unit)	\$532.93	\$900.81	\$1433.74
Multi Family Dwelling Unit (per dwelling unit)	\$532.93	\$883.24	\$1416.17
Retail (per square foot gross floor area)	N/A	\$0.60	\$0.60
Office (per square foot gross floor area)	N/A	\$0.67	\$0.67
Industrial (per square foot gross floor area)	N/A	\$0.40	\$0.40
Hotel (per room)	N/A	\$902.27	\$902.27
¹ Fees only for Fire; no Metro			

4.02 Parks. In addition to the fees for parks in Chart 4.01-A above, Owner agrees that this development is subject to the Residential Construction Tax, as set forth and defined in Nevada Revised Statutes.

4.03 Traffic Study. Owner shall prepare and submit to the County (and NDOT if applicable) a Traffic Study (if required) acceptable to the County (and NDOT if applicable) for the Subject Property prior to submittal of any final map for technical review, or prior to County issuance if any grading or building permits; whichever occurs first, and Owner agrees to comply with said Study as approved by the County. Any modification to the Transportation Study must be approved by the Director of the Department of Public Works.

In addition to the fees in Chart 4.01-A above, Owner agrees to construct at its sole cost and expense and dedicate to the County (or NDOT if applicable) any such roadway and traffic improvements identified in the traffic study as approved with conditions by the County (and NDOT if applicable), which are necessary for the Subject Property or for the mitigation of any traffic impacts caused by the development of the Subject Property.

Each facility must be built in the manner prescribed by the Code, NRS, and in accordance with the, “Uniform Standard Drawings for Public Works Construction, Off-Site Improvements, Clark County Area, Nevada”, as amended by the Concurrent Approvals as approved by the County, and the State’s Design Manual prior to issuance of any building permits for the area impacted by the facilities, as identified in the Traffic Study as approved with conditions by the County (an NDOT if applicable). Nothing herein shall be construed to require Owner to construct the applicable traffic improvements if Owner does not develop the impacted area. Owner acknowledges it shall be responsible for all public and private roadway construction (if applicable), utility installations and modifications, lighting, traffic control equipment and signage, and aesthetic improvements relating to the development.

4.04 Drainage Study. Owner shall prepare and submit to the County a Drainage Study, if required by the Clark County Department of Public Works, acceptable to the County for the Subject Property prior to recording any final map or the issuance of any grading and/or building permits. In addition to the fees in Chart 4.01-A above, Owner agrees to construct at its sole cost and expense and dedicate to the County such flood and drainage facilities identified in the drainage study which are necessary for the flood protection of the Subject Property or for the mitigation of any downstream flood impacts caused by the development of the Subject Property.

Each facility must be built, in the manner prescribed by Code, prior to issuance of any grading and/or building permits for the area impacted by the facilities as identified in the approved Drainage Study in accordance with Code. Notwithstanding any other provision in this section no grading or building permit shall be issued in any area not protected by the drainage facilities identified in the approved Drainage Study.

SECTION 5 – REVIEW AND DEFAULT

5.01 Frequency of Reviews. As required by NRS §278.0205 and the Development Agreement Ordinance, at least once every twenty-four (24) months during the Term of this Agreement, Owner shall provide and County shall review in good faith a report submitted by Owner documenting the extent of Owner's and County's material compliance with the terms of this Agreement during the preceding twenty-four (24) months. If at the time of review an issue not previously identified in writing is required to be addressed, the review, at the request of either party, shall be continued to afford sufficient time for response.

5.02 Opportunity to be Heard. County and Owner shall be permitted an opportunity to be heard orally and in writing before the County Commission regarding their performance under this Agreement in the manner set forth in Development Agreement Ordinance.

5.03 Procedures in the Event of Noncompliance. In the event of any noncompliance with any provision of this Agreement, the party alleging such noncompliance shall deliver to the other in writing a courtesy notice, not less than thirty (30) calendar days prior to declaring a default under this Agreement. The time of notice shall be measured from the date of post mark which may be sent by regular mail.

The courtesy notice shall state the reason for noncompliance, any action necessary to correct the noncompliance, specify the nature of the alleged default and, where appropriate, the manner and period of time in which the noncompliance may be satisfactorily corrected. During the period of time the default letter is pending, the party alleged to be in default shall not be considered in default for the purposes of termination or institution of legal proceedings. If the default is corrected, then no default shall exist and the noticing party shall take no further action. If the default is not corrected within thirty (30) calendar days, the following courses of action shall apply:

(a) County Procedures

(i) Intent to Remedy Noncompliance. After proper notice and the expiration of the above-referenced periods for correcting the alleged default, the Director of Development Services, or his or her designee, may do one or both of the following options:

- (1) Immediately direct County staff to recommend that all future zoning, land use, and mapping applications within the Planned Community be conditioned so that the building permits to be issued as a result of those approvals shall not be issued until the default is corrected, or;
- (2) Issue a letter providing notice of County's intent to set the matter for hearing before the County Commission. The letter shall notify Owner of the action taken. In the event the County selects this option, County shall give Owner at least seven (7) business days notice to correct the default before the matter is scheduled for a hearing. The letter notifying Owner of the hearing shall contain the intended hearing date. The seven (7) business days will be measured from the date of the certified mailing of the notice.

(ii) Hearing Schedule. If the default is not corrected within the time specified above, the matter shall be scheduled and noticed as required by law for consideration and review by the County Commission on the next available Commission zoning agenda.

(iii) Review by County Commission. Following consideration of the evidence presented before the County Commission and a finding based on substantial evidence that a default has occurred by Owner and the default remains uncorrected, the County Commission may authorize the suspension of building permits within the Planned Community or may amend or terminate this Agreement. Termination shall not in any manner rescind, modify, or terminate any Vested Right in favor of Owner, existing or received, as of the date of the termination. Owner shall have twenty-five (25) calendar days after the date of notice of the County Commission's decision is filed with the Clark County Clerk, Commission Division, to institute legal action pursuant to Sections 5.05 and 5.06 hereof, to determine whether the County Commission abused its discretion in determining whether a default existed and remained uncorrected.

(b) Owner Procedures

- (i) After proper notice and the expiration of the above-reference periods for correcting the alleged default, Owner may issue a letter requesting a hearing before the County Commission for review of the alleged default. Upon receipt of the letter, County shall schedule an item to consider the alleged default on the next available Commission zoning agenda.
- (ii) Review by County Commission. Following consideration of the evidence presented before the County Commission and a finding based on substantial evidence that a default has occurred by County and remains uncorrected, the County Commission shall direct County staff to correct the default. Owner shall have twenty-five (25) calendar days after the date of notice of the County Commission's decision is filed with the Clark County Clerk, Commission Division, to institute legal action pursuant to this Section hereof to determine whether the County Commission abused its discretion in determining whether a default existed and remained uncorrected.
- (c) Waiver. Failure or delay in giving any notice provided for herein shall not constitute a waiver of any default. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, any failure or delay by any party in asserting any of its rights or remedies in respect to any default shall not operate as a waiver of any default or of any such rights or remedies, or deprive such party of its right to institute and maintain any actions or proceeding which it may deem necessary to protect, assert, or enforce any of its right or remedies.
- (d) Notices. All notices provided for herein shall be sent to and in the manner provided in Section 7.08 of this Agreement.

5.04 Option to Terminate. After proper notice and the expiration of the above-referenced period for correcting the alleged default, the party alleging the default shall give notice of intent to amend or terminate this Agreement pursuant to NRS §278.0205 (the "Notice of Intent"), with notices sent in the manner provided by Section 7.08 of this agreement. Following any such Notice of Intent, the matter shall be scheduled and noticed as required by law for consideration and review by the County Commission.

5.05 Unavoidable Delay or Default, Extension of Time for Performance. Neither party hereunder shall be deemed to be in default, and performance shall be excused, where delays or defaults are caused by war, acts of terrorism, insurrection, strikes, walkouts, riots, floods, earthquakes, fires, casualties, acts of God, restrictions imposed or mandated by governmental entities, failure of governmental agencies (other than County) to perform acts or deeds necessary for the performance of this Agreement, enactment of conflicting state or federal laws or regulations, new or supplementary environmental regulations, litigation, or similar matters beyond the control of the parties. If written notice of any such delay is given to County within thirty (30) calendar days after the commencement thereof, an automatic extension of time, unless otherwise objected to by County within ten (10) business days of such written notice, shall be granted coextensive with the period of the enforced delay, or longer as may be required by circumstances or as may be subsequently agreed to between County and Owner.

5.06 Institution of Legal Action. The County and Owner agree that the County would not have entered into this agreement if it were liable for damages under or with respect to this Agreement. Accordingly, the County and the Owner may pursue any remedy at law or equity available for breach, except that neither the Owner nor the County shall be liable to the other or to any other person or entity for any monetary damages whatsoever. Prior to the institution of any legal action, the party seeking legal action must give the thirty (30) day notice of default as set forth in Section 5.03. Following such notice, a public hearing must be held by the County Commission where the allegations will be considered and a decision

regarding their merits will be reached. Any judicial review of the County Commission's decision or any legal action taken pursuant to this Agreement will be heard by a Court under the standard review appropriate to Court review of zoning actions, and the decision of the County Commission shall be overturned or overruled if their decision is clearly arbitrary and capricious. Judicial review of the decision of the County Commission shall be limited to the evidence presented to the County Commission at the public hearing. If a party desires to present new or additional evidence to the Court, they may petition the Court to remand the matter to the County Commission to consider the additional or new evidence. Jurisdiction for judicial review or any judicial action under this Agreement shall rest exclusively with the Eighth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada.

5.07 Applicable Laws. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the law of the State of Nevada.

SECTION 6 – CONFLICTING LAWS

6.01 Conflicting State or Federal Rules. In the event that any conflicting state or federal laws or regulations enacted after the Effective Date prevent or preclude compliance with one or more provisions of this Agreement or require changes in plans, maps or permits approved by the County, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect as to those provisions not affected, and the conflicting laws or regulations shall not be applied retroactively, and:

(a) Notice and Copies. Either party, upon learning of any such matter, will provide the other party with written notice thereof and provide a copy of any such law, regulation or policy or an account of any such action or inaction together with a statement of how any such matter conflicts with the provisions of this Agreement; and

(b) Modification Conferences. The parties shall, within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice referred to in the preceding subsection, meet and confer in good faith and attempt to modify this Agreement to bring it into compliance with any such federal or state law or regulation, or accommodate any such action or inaction.

6.02 County Commission Hearings. In the event the County believes that an amendment to this Agreement is necessary pursuant to this Section 6 due to the effect of any federal or state law or regulation, the proposed amendment shall be scheduled for hearing before the County Commission. The County Commission shall determine the exact nature of the amendment or suspension necessitated by such federal or state law or regulation or action or inaction. Owner shall have the right to offer oral and written testimony at the hearing. Any suspension or modification ordered by the County Commission pursuant to such hearing is subject to judicial review as set forth in 5.06. The parties agree that any matter submitted for judicial review shall be subject to expedited review in accordance with Rule 2.15 of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada.

6.03 Cooperation in Securing Permits. The County shall use its best efforts to cooperate with Owner in securing any County permits, licenses or other authorizations which may be required as a result of any amendment or suspension resulting from actions initiated under this Section 6. Owner will be responsible to pay all applicable fees in connection with securing of the permits.

SECTION 7 – GENERAL PROVISIONS

7.01 Enforcement and Binding Effect. Subject to the limitations of NRS §278, this Agreement is enforceable by either party in accordance with its terms notwithstanding any change (which, except for this Agreement, would otherwise be applicable) in any of the Applicable Rules. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the County from increasing "cost based fees" which are deemed to be administrative fees for issuance of land use approvals, building permits, plan checks, or inspections which are based upon actual costs to the County and which are uniformly applied to all development and construction subject to the County's jurisdiction. "Cost based fees" do not include the fees addressed in Section 4.01 of this Agreement.

7.02 Duration of Agreement. The Term of this Agreement shall commence upon the Effective Date and shall expire on the date the land use application expires or upon the eighth (8th) anniversary of the Effective Date, whichever occurs earliest, unless extended by written agreement executed by County and Owner.

7.03 Assignment.

(a) Transfer Not to Relieve Owner of its Obligation. Except as expressly provided herein, no assignee or transferee of any portion of the Planned Community within the area covered by a recorded subdivision map shall be subject to the obligations of Owner as to the portion of the Planned Community so assigned or transferred nor be deemed to have assumed all such obligations, and such assignment or transfer shall not relieve Owner of its obligation as to the assigned or transferred portion of the Planned Community.

(b) Transfer to an Affiliate of Owner. The rights of Owner under this Agreement may be freely transferred or assigned to any entity, partnership, or corporation, which Owner controls, or in which Owner has a controlling interest, or which controls Owner; provided, such entity shall assume in writing all obligations of Owner hereunder.

(c) Third Party Assignment. The rights and obligations of Owner under this Agreement may be freely transferred or assigned to a third party not affiliated with Owner, provided such third party assumes in writing all obligations of Owner hereunder as to the assigned or transferred portion of the Planned Community along with a copy of the sale, transfer, conveyance, or assignment agreement wherein the third party assumes the obligations of the Owner. Upon any such assignment hereunder, the Owner shall be relieved of all obligations and liabilities under or in connection with this agreement. In connection with the conveyance of any portion of the property, Owner shall provide County with written notice of any sale, transfer, conveyance or assignment of any unimproved portion of the Planned Community.

(d) Financial Transactions. Owner has full discretion and authority to transfer, assign or encumber the Planned Community or portions thereof in connection with financing transactions, without limitation on the size or nature of any such transaction, the amount of land involved or the use of the proceeds there from, and may enter into such transaction at any time and from time to time without permission of or notice to County.

7.04 Amendment or Cancellation of Agreement. Except as otherwise permitted by NRS §278.0205 and Section 5 of this Agreement, this Agreement may be amended from time to time or canceled only upon the mutual written agreement of the parties hereto.

7.05 Indemnity; Hold Harmless. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, Owner shall hold County, its officers, agents, employees, and representatives harmless from liability for damage or claims for damage for personal injury, including death and claims for property damage which may arise from the direct or indirect operations of Owner or those of its contractors, subcontractors, agents, employees, or other persons acting on Owner's behalf which relate to the development of the Planned Community. Owner agrees to and shall defend County and its officers, agents, employees, and representatives from actions for damages caused or alleged to have been caused by reason of Owner's activities in connection with the development of the Planned Community. Owner agrees to indemnify, hold harmless, and provide and pay all costs for a defense for County in any legal action filed in a court of competent jurisdiction by a third party challenging the validity of this Agreement. The provisions of this Section shall not apply to the extent such damage, liability, or claim is solely caused by the intentional or negligent act of County, its officers, agents, employees, or representatives.

7.06 Binding Effect of Agreement. Subject to Section 7.03 hereof, the burdens of this Agreement bind, and the benefits of this Agreement inure to the parties' respective successors in interest.

7.07 Relationship of Parties. It is understood that the contractual relationship between County and Owner is such that Owner is an independent contractor and not an agent of County for any purpose.

7.08 Notices. All notices, demands and correspondence required or provided for under this Agreement shall be in writing and delivered in person or sent by overnight courier or mailed by certified mail postage prepaid, return receipt requested. Notices shall be sent to the address on file to Owner and/or Applicant, as shown on "Exhibit B" and the Comprehensive Planning Department and Office of the District Attorney-Civil Division addressed as follows:

To County: COUNTY OF CLARK
Department of Comprehensive Planning, Current Planning Division
Clark County Government Center
500 South Grand Central Parkway, 1st Floor
P.O. Box 551741
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1741
Attn: Joel McCulloch

With a Copy to: COUNTY OF CLARK
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY-CIVIL DIVISION
Clark County Government Center
500 South Grand Central Parkway, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 552215
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215

Either party may change its address by giving notice in writing to the other and thereafter notices, demands and other correspondence shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address. Notices given in the manner described shall be deemed delivered on the day of personal delivery or the delivery date by overnight courier or mail is first attempted.

7.09 Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties. This Agreement integrates all of the terms and conditions mentioned herein or incidental hereto and supersedes all negotiations or previous agreements between the parties with respect to all or any part of the subject matter hereof.

7.10 Waivers. All waivers of the provisions of this Agreement must be in writing and signed by the appropriate officers of the County or Owner, as the case may be.

7.11 Recording Amendments. Promptly after the Effective Date, an executed original of this Agreement shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. All amendments hereto must be in writing signed by the appropriate officers of County and Owner in a form suitable for recordation in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. Upon the completion of performance of this Agreement or its earlier revocation or termination, a statement evidencing said completion or revocation signed by appropriate officers of County and Owner shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada.

7.12 Release. Each residential lot within the Subject Property shall be automatically released from the encumbrance of this Agreement without the necessity of executing or recording any instrument of release upon the issuance of a building permit for the construction of a residence thereon.

7.13 Headings, Exhibits, Cross-references. The headings and captions used in this Agreement are for convenience and ease of reference only and shall not be used to construe, interpret, expand or limit the terms of this Agreement. All exhibits attached to this Agreement and the recitals at the front of this Agreement are incorporated herein by the references thereto contained herein. Any term used in an exhibit hereto shall have the same meaning as in this Agreement unless otherwise defined in such exhibit. All references in this Agreement to Sections and Exhibits shall be to Sections and Exhibits of or to this Agreement, unless otherwise specified.

7.14 Severability of Terms. If any term or other provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced by any rule of law or public policy, all other conditions and provisions of this Agreement shall nevertheless remain in full force and effect, provided that the invalidity, illegality or unenforceability of such term does not materially impair the parties' ability to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby. If any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the parties hereto shall, if possible, amend this Agreement so as to affect the original intention of the parties.

7.15 Voluntary Agreement. Owner acknowledges that they had the option of conducting their own public facilities needs assessment study, but instead voluntarily chose to accept the findings, conclusions and fee schedule contained within the County PFNA defined in Section 1.01(p) of this Agreement. Owner further acknowledges and agrees that it voluntarily, willingly and without protest and duress freely enters into this Agreement and accepts the terms and conditions herein.

7.16 No Third Party Beneficiary Rights. This Agreement shall inure solely to the benefit of each party hereto and its successors and permitted assigns and nothing in this Agreement, express or implied, shall confer upon any other person or entity, including the public or any member thereof, any rights, benefits or remedies of any nature whatsoever.

[signatures appear on following page]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed by the parties on the day and year first above written, as authorized by Ordinance No. 1579 of the Clark County Code, to be effective on the date shown in Section 2.01(c).

COUNTY:

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,
COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA

Attest:

By: _____
Marilyn K. Kirkpatrick, Chair

Lynn Marie Goya, County Clerk

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

STATE OF NEVADA)
)ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

This instrument was acknowledged before me on the _____ day of _____, _____,

By _____, Chair of the Board of County Commissioners, County of
Clark, State of Nevada

NOTARY PUBLIC

Signature

My Commission expires: _____

My Commission expires: _____

OWNER:

Ramak Roohani

PRINT OWNER NAME

By:



Owner Signature

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

STATE OF NEVADA)

)ss:


COUNTY OF CLARK)

This instrument was acknowledged before me on the 17th day of June, 2021.

by Ramak Roohani

(Printed Name of Document Signer)

NOTARY PUBLIC




Signature

My Commission expires: 12-11-24

OWNER:

RAMAK ROOHANI

PRINT OWNER NAME



By:



Owner Signature

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Exhibit “A”
Legal Description

(see next page for attachment)



Duneville and Oleta

APNs: 176-24-201-048 & 176-24-201-049

Legal Description

APN:176-24-201-048

THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE ¼) OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER (NE ¼) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW ¼) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW ¼) OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.B.&M., CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION AS CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF CLARK BY GRANT, BARGAIN, SALE AND DEDICATION DEED RECORDED APRIL 12, 2017 IN BOOK 20170412 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 01551 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

APN:176-24-201-049

THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW ¼) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW ¼) OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SE ¼) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW ¼) OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 60 EAST, M.D.B.&M., CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION AS CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF CLARK BY GRANT, BARGAIN, SALE AND DEDICATION DEED RECORDED APRIL 12, 2017 IN BOOK 20170412 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 01551 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

Exhibit “B”
Development Agreement Owner Correspondence

Exhibit "B"
Development Agreement Owner/Applicant Correspondence

In accordance with Section 7.08, all notices, demands and correspondence required or provided for under this agreement shall be sent to the Owner and/or Applicant as follows:

Address all Correspondence as follows:

Owner	<u>Roohani Ramak</u> <u>9500 Hillwood Dr. #201, Las Vegas, NV 89134</u> <u>kroohani@gmail.com</u>
--------------	---

Applicant/Correspondent	<u>Richmond American Homes of Nevada Inc.</u> <u>7770 S. Dean Martin Drive, Suite 308, Las Vegas, NV 89139</u> <u>Angela.Pinley@mdch.com</u>
--------------------------------	--

Exhibit “C”

Agenda Sheet, Notice of Final Action, and Agenda Map

(see next page for attachments)

**SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
DEVELOPMENT
(TITLE 30)**

**UPDATE
DUNEVILLE ST/OLETA AVE**

PUBLIC HEARING

APP. NUMBER/OWNER/DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

NZC-0274-17 – ROOHANI, RAMAK:

HOLDOVER ZONE CHANGE to reclassify 2.5 acres from an R-E (Rural Estates Residential) Zone to R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone and to reclassify 2.5 acres from an R-E (Rural Estates Residential) Zone to R-2 (Medium Density Residential) Zone.

WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS for reduced setbacks.

DESIGN REVIEWS for the following: 1) building orientation for 1 proposed lot; and 2) a proposed single family residential development on 5.0 acres in the MUD-3 Overlay District.

Generally located on the east and west sides of Duneville Street and the north side of Oleta Avenue within Enterprise (description on file). SB/dg/ja (For possible action)

RELATED INFORMATION:

APN:

176-24-201-043

WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS:

1. a. Reduce the front yard setback for a proposed single family residence to 10 feet where a minimum of 20 feet is required per Table 30.40-1 (a 50% reduction).
- b. Reduce the rear setback for a proposed single family residence to 5 feet where a minimum of 15 feet is required per Table 30.40-1 (a 67% reduction).

LAND USE PLAN:

ENTERPRISE - RURAL NEIGHBORHOOD PRESERVATION (UP TO 2 DU/AC) &
RESIDENTIAL LOW (UP TO 3.5 DU/AC)

BACKGROUND:

Project Description

General Summary

- Site Address: N/A
- Site Acreage: 5.0 (total)/2.5 (proposed R-1)/2.5 (proposed R-2)
- Number of Lots: 8 (proposed R-1)/19 (proposed R-2)
- Density: 3.2 (du/ac) (proposed R-1)/7.6 (du/ac) (proposed R-2)
- Minimum/Maximum Lot Size (square feet): 9,063/9,443 (net)/10,263/11,492 (gross) – proposed R-1
- Minimum/Maximum Lot Size (square feet): 3,468/5,185 (net & gross) – proposed R-2
- Project Type: Single family residential
- Number of Stories: 1 and 2 stories

- Building Height: Up to 29 feet
- Square Feet: 2,025 to 3,319 (proposed R-1 zoning)/1,849 to 2,512 (proposed R-2 zoning)

Neighborhood Meeting Summary

The applicant conducted a neighborhood meeting in March 2017, as required by the nonconforming zoning amendment process, prior to formal filing of this application. The applicant also conducted a second neighborhood meeting in April 2017. All owners within 1,500 feet of the project site were notified about the meeting. There were approximately 15 to 20 participants present with discussion on several issues regarding the proposed development. All the attendees were opposed to the request. The following is an overview of the issues and concerns: 1) too dense with too many units; 2) traffic; 3) stay with the current master plan designation; and 4) single story homes. The applicant has fulfilled all provisions of Title 30 regarding pre-submittal and submittal of a nonconforming zone boundary amendment.

Site Plans

The plans depict a proposed single family residential development that is bisected by the dedication of Duneville Street with the following: 1) proposed R-1 zoning on the east side of Duneville Street consisting of 8 lots on 2.5 acres for a density of 3.2 dwelling units per acre; and 2) proposed R-2 zoning on the west side of Duneville Street consisting of 19 lots on 2.5 acres for a density of 7.6 dwelling units per acre. The lots within the proposed R-1 zoned area will have access from a 41 foot wide private street with a sidewalk on 1 side of the street. The private street will have access to Oleta Avenue. The lots within the proposed R-2 zoned area will have 12 lots that access a 41 foot wide private street with a sidewalk on 1 side of the street with 7 lots that front directly on Duneville Street. The private street will have access to Oleta Avenue.

One lot within the R-2 zoned area is on the end of a cul-de-sac and is proposing a residential model that will be oriented parallel to the street rather than perpendicular with the front of the home facing the south property line and not the street. Therefore, the request includes a reduction in setbacks.

Landscaping

The plans depict a 6 foot wide landscape area with attached sidewalk along Oleta Avenue for both proposed zoning areas. The proposed R-1 zoned area will also have a 6 foot wide landscape area along Duneville Street. The landscape area is a designated easement on the lots adjacent to the streets.

Elevations

The plans show 7, up to 20 foot high, single family detached residential models for the R-1 zoned area. Each model has potential variations including covered porches, building pop-outs, etc. All elevations on plans depict fenestration on windows and doors, and enhanced architectural elements.

The plans show 3, up to 29 foot high, 2 story single family detached residential models for the R-2 zoned area. Each model has potential variations including covered porches, building pop-outs, etc. All elevations on plans depict fenestration on windows and doors, and enhanced architectural elements.

Floor Plans

The plans depict homes ranging in size from 2,025 to 3,319 (proposed R-1 zoning) and 1,849 to 2,512 (proposed R-2 zoning) (livable area) with options which may further increase the area of each model. All models will have 2 car garages (front loaded).

Applicant's Justification

The applicant indicates that the current request is appropriate and compatible with the majority of the developed parcels in the area. Multiple public facilities are planned adjacent to the proposed site making the transition more appropriate for the area. The proposed density and intensity will result in a land use that is consistent with the surrounding residential area.

Surrounding Land Use

	Planned Land Use Category	Zoning District	Existing Land Use
North	Residential Low (up to 3.5 du/ac) and Rural Neighborhood Preservation (up to 2 du/ac)	R-E & R-E (RNP-1)	Undeveloped & single family residences
East	Residential Low (up to 3.5 du/ac)	R-D	Single family residential development
South	Public Facilities (Planned Park) and Residential Suburban (up to 8 du/ac)	R-E & R-2	Undeveloped & single family residential development
West	Public Facilities (Metro)	R-E	Undeveloped

The subject site and the surrounding area are located in the Public Facility Needs Assessment (PFNA) Area.

Related Applications

Application Number	Request
TM-0058-17	A tentative map for 27 single family residential lots on 5.0 acres is a companion item on this agenda.

STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL:

The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed request meets the goals and purposes of Title 30.

Analysis

Current Planning

This request does not conform to the Enterprise Land Use Plan. Nonconforming zone boundary amendments must provide compelling justification that approval of the nonconforming zoning is appropriate. A Compelling Justification means the satisfaction of the following criteria as listed below for proposed nonconforming zone boundary amendments:

1. *A change in law, policies, trends, or facts after the adoption, re-adoption or amendment of the land use plan that have substantially changed the character or condition of the area, or the circumstances surrounding the property, which makes the proposed amendment appropriate.*

Staff finds that there are no changes or trends, subsequent to the adoption of the land use plan, that make this amendment either appropriate or justified. No unique conditions or circumstances have occurred in the immediate area or on this property since the adoption of the Enterprise Land Use Plan in 2014 to make this request appropriate. The immediate area has seen the development of land uses in accordance with the adopted plan. Therefore, there has been substantial reliance on the land use pattern that was considered and adopted as part of the participatory planning process of a major land use plan update.

2. *The density and intensity of the uses allowed by the nonconforming zoning is compatible with the existing and planned land uses in the surrounding area.*

Parcels to the north and east are zoned R-E (RNP-I) and R-D. During the 2014 Enterprise Land Use Plan Update process, there was a request for the land use category designation of Residential Suburban (up to 8 du/ac) on the entire 5 acres that make up this application. The request was found to be incompatible with the Town Advisory Board, Planning Commission, and Board of County Commissioners either recommending or finding that the current designation of Residential Low (up to 3.5 du/ac) and Rural Neighborhood Preservation (up to 2 du/ac) were appropriate. Approval of this project will allow more dense zoning to intrude into an existing RNP area and will not be cohesive or functionally integrated with any adjacent parcels to the north and east of this site. The intent of a balanced land use plan is to encourage an orderly development pattern with an appropriate spatial distribution of land uses that complement each other. Therefore, staff finds the proposed project is not compatible with the density of the existing and planned land uses in the surrounding area or street network.

3. *There will not be a substantial adverse effect on public facilities and services, such as roads, access, schools, parks, fire and police facilities, and stormwater and drainage facilities, as a result of the uses allowed by the nonconforming zoning.*

Various Clark County service departments have reviewed this development proposal and found adequate services available or have specified the type of improvements that are needed for this development. Sufficient public services may not exist in the immediate area and because the site is in the Public Facilities Needs Assessment (PFNA) area, a standard development agreement will be necessary prior to issuance of any building permits to mitigate any short falls in needed public facilities.

4. *The proposed nonconforming zoning conforms to other applicable adopted plans, goals, and policies.*

Staff finds that this request does not comply with Land Use Goal 1 of the Comprehensive Master Plan which encourages, in part, the implementation of a comprehensive land use plan by promoting development that is compatible with adjacent land uses, and that is well integrated with appropriate service and facilities. Urban Specific Policy 10 encourages, in part, site designs that are compatible with adjacent land uses and off-site circulation patterns, especially when the adjacent land use is a lower density or intensity. Staff finds the intrusion of suburban and medium density residential may adversely change the character of the planned area where undeveloped land exists.

Staff finds that the proposed single family residential development is located in an existing Rural Neighborhood Preservation, and therefore, is not compliant with Land Use Goal 6 to provide for large lot residential with 2 distinct land uses: estate homes and Rural Neighborhood Preservation. Therefore, the request does not conform to the policies in the Comprehensive Master Plan for development in this area and is also in conflict with Urban Specific Policy 8 which discourages nonconforming zone changes.

If this parcel is appropriate for suburban and medium density residential zoning as is asserted by the applicant, such a transition or designation should be done through a comprehensive plan amendment rather than a piece-meal approach of a nonconforming zone boundary amendment. An annual plan amendment, with corresponding outreach program and comprehensive analysis, is a more sound and predictable method by which staff, the applicant, residents, and the Board of County Commissioners may assess whether this area is appropriate for more dense residential development.

Summary

Zone Change

During the 2014 Enterprise Land Use Plan Update process, there was a request for the land use category designation of Residential Suburban (up to 8 du/ac) on the entire 5 acres that make up this application. The request was found to be incompatible with the Town Advisory Board, Planning Commission, and Board of County Commissioners recommending and finding that the current designation of Residential Low (up to 3.5 du/ac) and Rural Neighborhood Preservation (up to 2 du/ac) were more appropriate. Approval of this nonconforming request will do the following: 1) allow an applicant to circumvent the major land use update process; 2) allow more dense zoning to intrude into an existing RNP area; and 3) will not be cohesive or functionally integrated with any adjacent parcels to the north and east of this site.

The issue of increasing density through nonconforming zone changes and not part of a comprehensive area wide land use plan amendment or update could have adverse impacts on the immediate area. Unexpected land use patterns may have significant impacts on public facilities such as schools, traffic, and transportation and may lead to dramatic changes in existing neighborhoods. Staff finds a more prudent approach to consider this proposed density would be through a holistic and comprehensive land use plan amendment or major land use plan update as was done in 2014. A plan amendment or major plan update allows for more public review of the proposed land use density and pattern and ensures a predictable consideration of the project with corresponding impacts and a cohesive and uniform land use pattern in the surrounding area.

Staff finds there are no changes or trends, subsequent to the adoption of the land use plan, that make this amendment either appropriate or justified. No unique conditions or circumstances have occurred in the immediate area since the adoption of the Enterprise Land Use Plan in 2014 to make this request appropriate. The immediate area has seen the development of land uses in accordance with the adopted plan. Therefore, there has been substantial reliance on the land use pattern that was considered and adopted as part of the participatory planning process of a major land use plan update.

Waiver of Development Standards & Design Reviews

The applicant shall have the burden of proof to establish that the proposed request is appropriate for its existing location by showing that the uses and value of the area adjacent to the property

included in the waiver of development standards request will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner. The intent and purpose of a waiver of development standards is to modify a development standard where the provision of an alternative standard, or other factors which mitigate the impact of the relaxed standard, may justify an alternative.

The site design, building design, and development parameters, including the requested reduced setbacks, are established and dependent on consideration of the companion request, thereby requiring contingent consideration of this portion of the request. Since staff is not supporting the requested densities with corresponding site designs and lot sizes, staff cannot support the waiver of development standards and design reviews since this portion of the request cannot function independent of the requested zoning districts. Staff finds the applicant has not established that the plans satisfy the following criteria for a design review: 1) the proposed development is compatible with adjacent development and development in the area; 2) the proposed development is consistent with the applicable land use plan and Title 30; 3) site access and traffic circulation do not negatively impact adjacent roadways or neighborhood traffic; 4) building elevations, design characteristics, and other architectural and aesthetic features are not unsightly or undesirable in appearance; and 5) the project is harmonious and compatible with development in the area.

Department of Aviation

The property lies just outside the AE-60 (60-65 DNL) noise contour for the McCarran International Airport and is subject to continuing aircraft noise and over-flights. Future demand for air travel and airport operations is expected to increase significantly. Clark County intends to continue to upgrade the McCarran International Airport facilities to meet future air traffic demand.

Staff Recommendation

Denial. This item has been forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners for final action.

If this request is approved, the Board and/or Commission finds that the application is consistent with the standards and purpose enumerated in the Comprehensive Master Plan, Title 30, and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes.

STAFF CONDITIONS:

Current Planning

If approved:

- Reduction to R-D zoning on the entire 5 acres with a maximum of 12 lots;
- Single story homes only.

TAB/CAC: Enterprise – approval of the zone change; and denial of the waiver of development standards and the design reviews.

APPROVALS: 25 cards

PROTESTS: 7 cards, 1 letter with 16 signatures

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION: June 20, 2017 – APPROVED – Vote: Unanimous
Absent: Shaw

Current Planning

- A resolution of intent to complete in 3 years;
- 8 lots only on the R-1 zoned portion of the site adjacent to the RNP area;
- Single story homes only on the 2 lots adjacent to the north end of the R-1 cul-de-sac;
- No 3 story homes on any property;
- Waiver of development standards #1a and #1b applies to lot 12 only;
- Enter into a standard development agreement prior to any permits or subdivision mapping in order to provide fair-share contribution toward public infrastructure necessary to provide service because of the lack of necessary public services in the area;
- Design review as a public hearing on any changes to plans.
- Applicant is advised that a substantial change in circumstances or regulations may warrant denial or added conditions to an extension of time; and that the extension of time may be denied if the project has not commenced or there has been no substantial work towards completion within the time specified.

Public Works – Development Review

- Drainage study and compliance;
- Traffic study and compliance;
- Full off-site improvements;
- Right-of-way dedication to include 60 feet for Duneville Street, 30 to 60 feet for Oleta Avenue to accommodate the proposed realignment, and associated spandrels.

Department of Aviation

- Applicant is advised that issuing a stand-alone noise disclosure statement to the purchaser or renter of each residential unit in the proposed development and to forward the completed and recorded noise disclosure statements to the Department of Aviation's Noise Office is strongly encouraged; that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) will no longer approve remedial noise mitigation measures for incompatible development impacted by aircraft operations which was constructed after October 1, 1998; and that funds will not be available in the future should the residents wish to have their buildings purchased or soundproofed.

Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD)

- Applicant is advised that a prior Point of Connection (POC) request has been completed for this project, POC Tracking #0074-2017.

COUNTY COMMISSION ACTION: July 19, 2017 – HELD – To 08/02/17 – per the Board of County Commissioners.

COUNTY COMMISSION ACTION: August 2, 2017 – HELD – To 08/16/17 – per the applicant.

COUNTY COMMISSION ACTION: August 16, 2017 – HELD – To 09/20/17 – per the applicant.

COUNTY COMMISSION ACTION: September 20, 2017 – HELD – To 10/04/17 – per the applicant.

APPLICANT: Summit Homes of Nevada, LLC & Khusrow Roohani
CONTACT: Taney Engineering, 6030 S. Jones Boulevard, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118



Department of Comprehensive Planning

500 S Grand Central Pky • Box 551741 • Las Vegas NV 89155-1741
(702) 455-4314 • Fax (702) 455-3271

Nancy A. Amundsen, Director

NOTICE OF FINAL ACTION

October 12, 2017

TANEY ENGINEERING
6030 S. JONES BOULEVARD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89118

REFERENCE: NZC-0274-17

On the date indicated above, a Notice of Final Action was filed with the Clark County Clerk, Commission Division, pursuant to NRS 278.0235 and NRS 278.3195, which starts the commencement of the twenty-five (25) day limitation period specified therein.

The above referenced application was presented before the Clark County Board of County Commissioners at their regular meeting of **October 4, 2017** and was **APPROVED** subject to the conditions listed below. You will be required to comply with all conditions prior to the issuance of a building permit or a business license, whichever occurs first.

Time limits to commence, complete or review this approval, apply only to this specific application. A property may have several approved applications on it with each having its own expiration date. **It is the applicant's responsibility to keep the application current.**

CONDITIONS:

Current Planning

- **Reduction to R-1 zoning on the entire 5 acres;**
- **A resolution of intent to complete in 3 years;**
- **Per revised plans;**
- **Maximum 16 lots;**
- **Single story homes only;**
- **Enter into a standard development agreement prior to any permits or subdivision mapping in order to provide fair-share contribution toward public infrastructure necessary to provide service because of the lack of necessary public services in the area;**
- **Design review as a public hearing on any changes to plans.**
- **Applicant is advised that a substantial change in circumstances or regulations may warrant denial or added conditions to an extension of time; and that the extension of time may be denied if the project has not commenced or there has been no substantial work towards completion within the time specified.**

Public Works – Development Review

- **Drainage study and compliance;**
- **Traffic study and compliance;**
- **Full off-site improvements;**
- **Right-of-way dedication to include 60 feet for Duneville Street, 30 to 60 feet for Oleta Avenue to accommodate the proposed realignment, and associated spandrels.**

Department of Aviation

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
STEVE SISOLAK, Chairman • CHRIS GIUNCHIGLIANI, Vice Chair
SUSAN BRAGER • LARRY BROWN • JAMES B. GIBSON • MARILYN KIRKPATRICK • LAWRENCE WEEKLY
YOLANDA T. KING, County Manager



Department of Comprehensive Planning

500 S Grand Central Pky • Box 551741 • Las Vegas NV 89155-1741
(702) 455-4314 • Fax (702) 455-3271

Nancy A. Amundsen, Director



- Applicant is advised that issuing a stand-alone noise disclosure statement to the purchaser or renter of each residential unit in the proposed development and to forward the completed and recorded noise disclosure statements to the Department of Aviation's Noise Office is strongly encouraged; that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) will no longer approve remedial noise mitigation measures for incompatible development impacted by aircraft operations which was constructed after October 1, 1998; and that funds will not be available in the future should the residents wish to have their buildings purchased or soundproofed.

Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD)

- Applicant is advised that a prior Point of Connection (POC) request has been completed for this project, POC Tracking #0074-2017.

WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS WAS WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE.


BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

STEVE SISOLAK, Chairman • CHRIS GIUNCHIGLIANI, Vice Chair
SUSAN BRAGER • LARRY BROWN • JAMES B. GIBSON • MARILYN KIRKPATRICK • LAWRENCE WEEKLY
YOLANDA T. KING, County Manager

Commission Agenda Map

NZC-0274-17

Clark County Department of Comprehensive Planning, Clark County, Nevada



Subject Parcel(s)
17624201043

Subject Section(s)
SEC24 T22S R60E



0 125 250 500 Feet
Map Created on 4/11/2017

176 177

*This information is for display purposes only.
No liability is assumed as to the
accuracy of the data delineated hereon.*

